Supplemental Table 1. A representation of the studies that investigated barriers and facilitators of evidence-based injury prevention training programs (listed alphabetically).

Study	Study	Study	Methods	Injury Prevention Training	Findings on Barriers	Findings on
	Location	Study Design &	Implementation	Program Description		Facilitators
		Study	Evaluation			
		Population	Methods			
Dix et al.	United	Cross-sectional	Survey-combination	No specific injury prevention	- Potential cost of the	N/A
[32]	States	survey, n=29	of multiple choice	program was used in this	program (i.e., cost of hiring	
		coaches.	and open-ended	study—the survey sought to	additional staff to	
			questions.	understand the attitudes,	administer the program).	
		NCAA soccer		beliefs, and behaviours of	- Coaches' lack of	
		coaches in the		NCAA coaches around injury	confidence in	
		NCAA East		prevention training programs	knowledge/ability to	
		Region.		in general.	implement.	
					- Program would be too	
					time-intensive.	
					-Potential lack of staff to	
					assist in administering the	
					program.	
Frank et al.	United	Qualitative	Workshop	The study evaluated the	- Workshop increased	N/A
[39]	States	study (pre- and	intervention included	effects of an ACL injury	coaches' positive attitude	

Supplemental material

		post-	RE-AIM framework	prevention training program	and intent to implement the	
		intervention	aspects.	workshop and informational	ACL injury prevention	
		analysis), n=34		packet on club coaches'	training programs (despite	
		coaches of 15		behavioural determinants of	intent, adoption rates were	
		elite female		program implementation.	still low, 53%).	
		youth soccer		ACL injury prevention		
		clubs.		training program would take		
				place 2 times per week and		
		Athletes on		last 15-20 minutes.		
		participating				
		teams were				
		under 18 years				
		old.				
Haroy et al.	Norway	Cross-sectional	The survey was	"Adductor Strengthening	- Program was too time-	- Knowledge of injury
[30]		survey within a	designed using the	Programme:" designed to	intensive.	risk and the need for
		larger RCT,	RE-AIM framework.	prevent groin-specific		proactive injury
		n=408		injuries among football		prevention exercises.
		participants.		players. The intervention was		
				included in the regular warm-		
		Sub-elite male		up routine 2-3 times per		
		football players.		week during 6 weeks of pre-		
				season and 1x per week		

				during the regular season.		
				Athletes reported completing		
				the program within 5		
				minutes.		
Mawson et	Canada	Cross-sectional	The survey was	No specific injury prevention	- Coaches' lack of	N/A
al. [33]		survey, n=101	designed using the	program was used in this	confidence in	
		coaches.	RE-AIM framework.	study.	knowledge/ability to	
					implement.	
		Youth soccer			- Perceived time required	
		team coaches.			to complete the program.	
McGlashan	Australia	Qualitative case	Qualitative analysis	No specific injury prevention	N/A	- Coaches are aware of
et al. [27]		study, n=3	of coaches'	program was used in this		the empirical data
		community-	perceived strategies	study.		supporting the program's
		level Australian	to promote injury			efficacy.
		Football	prevention			- Emphasis on
		coaches.	implementation.			collaboration between
						key stakeholders
		Coaches of adult				(coaches and players).
		male sub-elite				- Commitment from
		football teams.				players encourages
						coaches to continue the
						program.

O'Brien &	N/A	Systematic	Included literature	Injury prevention training	- Coaches feel satisfied	- Regular contact and
Finch [40]		Review, n=52	evaluated programs	programs included in the	with their current routine.	interaction with
		trials.	using the RE-AIM	review required structured	- Coaches do not place	researchers.
			framework	exercise programs to prevent	importance on injury	- Program easily
		Team ball sport		musculoskeletal injuries.	prevention needs.	integrated into practice.
		athletes.			- Coaches feel sceptical	- Educational materials
					about the program's	designed for coaches.
					effectiveness.	- Program had variation
						and allowed for
						progression throughout
						the season.
Richmond	Canada	9 focus groups,	Focus group	"iSprint:" an evidence-based	- Program was too time-	N/A
et al.[34]		4 interviews.	discussions and	neuromuscular training	intensive.	
			interviews with	program, decreased the rate	- Difficult/confusing	
		11-15-year-old	stakeholders	of sports-related injuries by	exercises.	
		athletes.	(including school	70%. The program is	- Perceived lack of	
			principals, PE	designed to take 15-minutes	knowledge to implement	
			teachers, and	as part of the warm-up	program correctly.	
			students)	routine.		
Saunders et	Australia	Qualitative	RE-AIM framework	"Down to Earth" (D2E) [40]:	- Program was too time-	N/A
al. [28]		study within a	to evaluate an end-	a netball-specific 6-week, 10-	intensive.	
		larger RCT,	of-season survey	15 minute program	- Difficulty of the exercises	

	n=31 coaches	completed by 24	developed to specifically	- Lack of motivation from	
	from 31	coaches.	prevent lower-limb injuries.	athletes to complete the	
	different teams.		Included a 1hr educational	program.	
			workshop for coaches.	- Difficult for athletes to	
	Community-			complete the program if	
	level netball			they miss practice.	
	teams up to 15				
	years old.				
Abbraviation DE AIM framayyark = reach affectiveness adaption implementation maintenance framayyark DCT = randomized alinical trial					

Abbreviation: RE-AIM framework = reach, effectiveness, adoption, implementation, maintenance framework; RCT = randomized clinical trial