

Perspectives of professional experts in relation to the development of community-based exercise for young adults with schizophrenia – A qualitative study

MF Andersen^{1,2*}, K Roed¹, A Riis^{2,3} BS Rafn^{1,4}, BH Ebdrup^{5,6} J Midtgaard^{1,6}

*Correspondence: MF Andersen, mfan@ucn.dk

¹ Centre for Applied Research in Mental Health Care (CARMEN), Mental Health Centre Glostrup, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

² Department of Physiotherapy, University College of Northern Denmark, Aalborg, Denmark

Supplemental Material 1 Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ): 32-item checklist

Please note that the COREQ checklist originally operates with reporting items according to which page number they can be found on. The page numbers in this supplementary file refer to the original manuscript. An added row to the checklist provides information as to which section and heading of the article specific items are reported in.

Developed from:

Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *Int J Qual Heal Care*. 2007;19(6):349-357. doi:10.1093/INTQHC/MZM042

No. Item	Guide questions/description	Reported on Page #	Section and heading
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity			
<i>Personal Characteristics</i>			
1. Inter viewer/facilitator	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	6	Methods under 'Data collection'
2. Credentials	What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	6	Methods under 'Data collection'
3. Occupation	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	6	Methods under 'Data collection'
4. Gender	Was the researcher male or female?	6	Methods under 'Data collection'
5. Experience and training	What experience or training did the researcher have?	6	Methods under 'Data collection'
<i>Relationship with participants</i>			
6. Relationship established	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	6	Methods under 'Data collection'
7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	6	Methods under 'Data collection'
8. Interviewer characteristics	What characteristics were reported about the inter viewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and	16	Discussion under 'Methodological considerations'

	interests in the research topic		
Domain 2: study design			
<i>Theoretical framework</i>			
9. Methodological orientation and Theory	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	7	Methods under 'Data analysis'
<i>Participant selection</i>			
10. Sampling	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	6	Methods under 'Sampling'
11. Method of approach	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	6	Methods under 'Sampling'
12. Sample size	How many participants were in the study?	8	Results under 'Characteristics of informants'
13. Non-participation	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	6	Methods under 'sampling'
<i>Setting</i>			
14. Setting of data collection	Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	6	Methods under 'Data collection'
15. Presence of non-participants	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	6	Methods under 'Data collection'
16. Description of sample	What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date	8, Table 1	Results under 'Characteristics of informants'
<i>Data collection</i>			
17. Interview guide	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	6 Appendix 2	Methods under 'Data collection'
18. Repeat interviews	Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many?	7	Methods under 'Data collection'
19. Audio/visual recording	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	6	Methods under 'Data collection'
20. Field notes	Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group?	7	Methods under 'Data collection'
21. Duration	What was the duration of the interviews or focus group?	6	Methods under 'Data collection'
22. Data saturation	Was data saturation discussed?	6, 7	Methods under 'Sampling' and 'Data collection'
23. Transcripts returned	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction?	6, 7	Methods under 'Data collection'
Domain 3: analysis and findings			
<i>Data analysis</i>			
24. Number of data coders	How many data coders coded the data?	7	Methods under 'Data analysis'
25. Description of the coding tree	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	8 Table 2	Results under 'Analysis of findings'
26. Derivation of themes	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	7	Methods under 'Data analysis'
27. Software	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	7	Methods under 'Data analysis'

28. Participant checking	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	7	Methods under 'data collection'
<i>Reporting</i>			
29. Quotations presented	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	8-14	Results under 'Analysis of findings'
30. Data and findings consistent	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	8-14	Results under 'Analysis of findings'
31. Clarity of major themes	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	8-14	Results under 'Analysis of findings'
32. Clarity of minor themes	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	8-14	Results under 'Analysis of findings'