Performing Nordic hamstring strength testing with additional weight affects the maximal eccentric force measured: do not compare apples to oranges

Roar Amundsen, Merete Møller, Roald Bahr

ABSTRACT
Background Nordic hamstring test devices are commonly used to measure maximal eccentric hamstring force. The ability to control the final phase of the exercise has been adopted as a criterion to add weight when testing, without substantial evidence. We investigated if adding weight affected the maximal force measured, and if there were differences between players who could and could not control the final phase.

Methods Female (n=84) and male (n=56) football players performed a Nordic hamstring strength test with 0, 5 and 10 kg. We used visual inspection to assess the ability to control the final phase (approximately last 20°), as per previously published studies.

Results Maximal force was higher when tested with 5 kg (females: +8 N (2%), p<0.001; males: +18 N (4%), p<0.001) and 10 kg (females: +17 N (5%), p<0.001; males: +27 N (6%), p<0.001) compared with 0 kg. This was the case for both groups, those who could control the final phase (5 kg: +16 N (4%), p<0.001; 10 kg: +28 N (7%), p<0.001) and those who could not (5 kg: +9 N (3%), p<0.001; 10 kg: +15 N (4%), p<0.001).

Conclusion Both players who could and could not control the final phase of the Nordic hamstring test demonstrated higher maximal force when adding weight to testing. Therefore, this should not be used to decide if players should perform testing with or without weight. Either all participants or none should be tested with weight, and the same approach should be used both for pre-testing and post-testing.

INTRODUCTION
Hamstring injuries represent the most common injury in many sports involving high-speed running. Most are thought to occur during the late swing phase when the hamstrings muscles must produce high eccentric force to decelerate the extending knee and flexing hip, therefore low eccentric hamstring strength has been considered a risk factor. Data from prospective cohort studies examining the association between hamstring strength and hamstring injury risk are equivocal, but systematic eccentric strength training reduces the risk of hamstring injuries. Testing maximal eccentric hamstring strength has therefore become common practice both in research and in the real-world setting in these sports.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC
⇒ Nordic hamstring strength testing is a common and reliable method to measure maximal eccentric hamstring strength. However, several studies have added weight to Nordic hamstring testing if participants are able to control the forward falling movement during the final phase of the test, without substantial evidence.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS
⇒ This study showed that participants, regardless of their ability to control the final phase of the test, displayed higher maximal eccentric hamstring force when performing Nordic hamstring strength testing with weight compared with testing without weight.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY
⇒ To avoid potentially overestimating the effect of a training intervention or causing biased result, future research should not use the ability to control the final phase of the Nordic hamstring test to decide if participants should be tested with added weight or not.
devices is that the subject reaches a ‘critical point’ where the external load from gravity acting on the upper body exceeds their maximal eccentric hamstring strength. However, some athletes are able to control the forward falling motion throughout the full range of motion of the exercise. These players will never reach the ‘critical point’ and the test will therefore not be able to measure their maximal eccentric hamstring strength as intended.

Some studies have addressed this problem. To ensure that supramaximal intensity is achieved, study participants who were able to control the final phase of the forward falling movement before full extension have performed training while holding weight plates, increasing the weight over the intervention period. Several of these studies have used the same criterion when testing maximal eccentric hamstring force with Nordic hamstring test devices. As maximal eccentric hamstring force measured during Nordic hamstring strength testing correlates with body mass, it is possible that performing the test with added weight could affect the outcome, irrespective of changes in eccentric hamstring strength. This would be critical, especially if using different weights for pre-tests and post-tests when evaluating the effect of a training intervention or comparing groups that have performed the test with different weights. Currently, no studies have examined how performing Nordic hamstring strength testing with added weight affects the maximal force measured.

Therefore, we aimed to investigate how adding extra weight when performing Nordic hamstring strength testing affected the maximal eccentric hamstring force recorded in male and female football players, and if there were any differences between players who were able to control the forward falling movement during the final phase of the range of motion and those who could not.

METHODS
Trial design and participants
We tested the maximal eccentric hamstring force of 140 football players (84 females: 171±6 cm, 65±6 kg, 22±4 years; 56 males: 183±6 cm, 78±9 kg, 24±4 years). The female players were from six different Norwegian premier league teams and performed the testing as part of annual pre-season testing at the Norwegian Football Association Sport Medicine Clinic before the 2021 season. The male players played for three first division teams (professional, second tier) and were tested at their team facility prior to the 2022 season, 10 of the male players with control during the final phase of test without added weight were re-tested and performed the test not only with 0, 5 and 10 kg added weight, but also with 15 and 20 kg. For all test sets, we recorded the maximal force (N) produced in the right and left leg. The results are reported as the average of the left force recorded from the right and left leg in absolute terms (N) and relative to body weight (N/kg).

Statistical analysis
All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (IBM SPSS StatisticsV.28.0, Armonk, NewYork, USA). Normality was tested with Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. We calculated the Pearson correlation coefficient between body mass and the maximal force measured during the test without added weight. Repeated measures analysis of variances was used to analyse differences in maximal eccentric hamstring force between tests performed with different weights. This was done separately for female and male players, players with and without control during the final 20°, and for the male players who performed the extra test session with up to 20 kg of added weight. Mauchly’s test was used to test the assumption of sphericity and, if violated, we used a Huynh-Feldt correction. We used independent sample t-tests to compare the change in maximal eccentric force caused by adding weight to the test between players who were able to control the forward falling motion during the final 20° and players who could not. The significance level was set at $p<0.05$ for all tests.

RESULTS
The absolute and relative eccentric force produced during the Nordic hamstring strength test with 0 kg, 5 kg and 10 kg added weight are presented in Table 1. Body mass was correlated to the maximal force during the test without added weight for both female ($r=0.48$ (95% CI: 0.30 to 0.63), $p<0.001$) and male players ($r=0.65$ (95% CI: 0.46 to 0.78), $p<0.001$), and all players combined ($r=0.76$ (95% CI: 0.68 to 0.83), $p<0.001$). Performing the test with added weight had a significant effect on the maximal eccentric force measured for female ($p<0.001$, partial $\eta^2=0.35$) and male players ($p<0.001$, partial $\eta^2=0.55$).
Pairwise comparisons indicated that the maximal eccentric force was higher when the test was performed with 5 kg and 10 kg added weight than without added weight for both female (5 kg: +8 N (2%), 95% CI: 5 to 12 N, p<0.001; 10 kg: +17 N (5%), 95% CI: 13 to 21 N, p<0.001) and male players (5 kg: +18 N (4%), 95% CI: 13 to 22 N, p<0.001; 10 kg: +27 N (6%), 95% CI: 22 to 33 N, p<0.001). Adding weight when performing the test had a significant effect on the maximal eccentric force for players who could control the final phase of the movement (p<0.001, partial $\eta^2$=0.58) and for those who could not (p<0.001, partial $\eta^2$=0.30). Pairwise comparisons indicated that the players who could control the final phase displayed higher maximal eccentric force when tested with weight (5 kg: 16 N (4%), 95% CI: 12 to 20 N, p<0.001; 10 kg: 28 N (7%), 95% CI: 23 to 32 N, p<0.001, n=65), as did players who could not (5 kg: 9 N (3%), 95% CI: 5 to 13 N, p<0.001; 10 kg: 15 N (4%), 95% CI: 11 to 20 N, p<0.001, n=75).

Thirty-three female (39%) and 32 male players (57%) were able to control the movement during the final phase of the test without added weight. For female players, the increase in eccentric force when the test was performed with added weight did not differ between those who could and could not control the final phase of the test (5 kg, mean difference: 5 N, 95% CI: −3 to 12 N, p=0.22; 10 kg: 7 N, −1 to 15 N, p=0.10) (figure 1). Male players with control increased the eccentric force more than those without control when the test was performed with 10 kg (17 N, 7 to 27 N, p=0.004), but not with 5 kg (6 N, −2 to 15 N, p=0.16) (figure 1).

Of the 10 players who were asked to also test with 15 and 20 kg added weight, four completed the test with 15 kg before declining to add more weight, while six also tested with 20 kg. Figure 2 illustrates the change in force measured when these players performed the test with added weights compared with without added weight. For the six players completing all five test sets, the maximal eccentric force was significantly higher when tested with 15 kg added weight compared with 10 kg (15 N, 95% CI: 1 to 30 N, p=0.04), while there was no difference between the tests with 15 and 20 kg (−1 N, 95% CI: −22 to 23 N, p=0.95).

**DISCUSSION**

The main finding of this study was that performing the Nordic hamstring strength testing with additional weight increased the maximal eccentric force measured as compared with testing without additional weight. This was the case for both female and male players, for players who could control the final phase of the test and players who could not.

A fundamental principle for all strength training is that load needs to be progressively increased. In the Nordic hamstring exercise, load is increased as the athlete can withstand the forward fall longer, but when subjects are able to control the full range of motion, additional load is needed. In the original study on the Nordic Hamstring Exercise, Mjølsnes et al proposed that load could be increased by adding speed to the starting phase of the motion. Later studies have added weight to the exercise for the same purpose, using the ability to control the final phase of the exercise as a criterion to decide if testing with added weight was needed or not. Our results demonstrate that the maximal eccentric hamstring force measured in the Nordic hamstring strength test was significantly higher when players performed the test with added weight, regardless of their ability to control the final phase (figure 1 and table 1). We therefore suspect that using this criterion to decide which participants are tested with weight may have introduced bias to the results of several training intervention studies. If players are tested without weight in the pre-tests and with weight in the post-tests, the effect of the training intervention may be overestimated. Furthermore, if studies aim to compare groups using different training volumes or exercises, the between-group analyses may be affected if weight use differs between groups. As an example, Behan et al compared four groups using different training volumes of the Nordic hamstring exercise, and reported that 80% of participants performing training and post-testing with added weight (range: 5–20 kg) were in the

**Table 1** Absolute and relative eccentric force (mean±SD) during the Nordic hamstring test performed with 0, 5 and 10 kg added weight for female (n=84, 33 with control during the final 20°) and male players (n=56, 32 with control during the final 20°), as well as the mean percent change (with 95% CI) for the tests with 5 and 10 kg added weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0 kg</th>
<th>5 kg</th>
<th>10 kg</th>
<th>0 kg</th>
<th>5 kg</th>
<th>10 kg</th>
<th>0 kg</th>
<th>5 kg</th>
<th>10 kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Females</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute force (N)</td>
<td>335±45</td>
<td>343±53</td>
<td>352±54</td>
<td>369±28</td>
<td>380±37</td>
<td>390±38</td>
<td>313±41</td>
<td>319±48</td>
<td>337±48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative force (N/kg)</td>
<td>5.1±0.6</td>
<td>5.3±0.7</td>
<td>5.4±0.7</td>
<td>5.5±0.4</td>
<td>5.7±0.5</td>
<td>5.9±0.5</td>
<td>4.9±0.6</td>
<td>5.0±0.7</td>
<td>5.1±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change (%)</td>
<td>2% (1%–3%)</td>
<td>5% (4%–6%)</td>
<td>3% (1%–4%)</td>
<td>6% (4%–7%)</td>
<td>2% (0%–4%)</td>
<td>4% (3%–6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Males (n=56)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute force (N)</td>
<td>431±52</td>
<td>449±54</td>
<td>458±61</td>
<td>447±45</td>
<td>467±46</td>
<td>482±52</td>
<td>410±53</td>
<td>424±55</td>
<td>427±59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative force (N/kg)</td>
<td>5.5±0.5</td>
<td>5.8±0.6</td>
<td>5.9±0.7</td>
<td>5.8±0.3</td>
<td>6.1±0.4</td>
<td>6.3±0.4</td>
<td>5.2±0.5</td>
<td>5.4±0.6</td>
<td>5.4±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change (%)</td>
<td>4% (3%–5%)</td>
<td>6% (5%–7%)</td>
<td>5% (3%–6%)</td>
<td>8% (6%–9%)</td>
<td>4% (2%–5%)</td>
<td>4% (2%–6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
two higher-volume training groups of their study. Therefore, it is possible that the addition of weight caused an overestimation of the training effect in the two higher volume groups, but not (or to a lesser degree) in the lower volume groups.

Performing the Nordic hamstring testing without added weight, however, may also be problematic. If players are able to stop the movement during the final phase of the test, this does not necessarily require their maximal force. No matter how much stronger these players become during a training intervention, the force required to stop the movement during the final phase of the test is likely to be the same. Testing these players without weight could therefore mask any changes in maximal strength. In a study where female football players performed an 8-week training intervention, we tested all players in the NordBord with 0, 5 and 10 kg added weight during both pre-testing and post-testing and we only compared tests where the same weight was used.26 This approach prevents differences in added weight impacting the results, but also has some limitations. The appropriate weight for post-testing must be estimated in advance, and which weight is best suited to elicit maximal force could differ before and after a training intervention. In contrast to the current study, we found no differences between the maximal force on the tests performed with 0, 5 or 10 kg added weight during both pre-testing and post-testing and we only compared tests where the same weight was used.26 This approach prevents differences in added weight impacting the results, but also has some limitations. The appropriate weight for post-testing must be estimated in advance, and which weight is best suited to elicit maximal force could differ before and after a training intervention. In contrast to the current study, we found no differences between the maximal force on the tests performed with 0, 5 or 10 kg in the pre-tests.26 The subjects in this study were playing on a lower level and we suspect that less experience with the Nordic hamstring exercise is a likely reason for the contrasting results, as the maximal force was higher when adding weight in the post-tests.26

A different approach, used by Duhig et al.,22 is to gradually increase the added weight until the player reaches a plateau in force. Figure 2 shows that the maximal eccentric hamstring force reached a plateau when players performed additional sets beyond 10 kg. How much weight is needed before the maximal force plateaus

---

**Figure 1** Difference in maximal eccentric force measured when the Nordic hamstring test was performed with 5 kg and 10 kg added weight compared with without added weight for female (n=84, left) and male players (n=56, right). Results are presented as mean with 95% CIs. Circles represent individual results from players who could control the final 20° of the test without weight (white) and players who could not (grey).

**Figure 2** Change in maximal eccentric force measured when the test was performed with 5 kg, 10 kg, 15 kg and 20 kg added weight compared with without added weight (0 kg). Presented as mean±95% CIs for players that performed the test up to 15 kg added weight (dashed lines, n=4) and 20 kg added weight (solid lines, n=6).
is likely highly individual and depends on the player’s maximal eccentric hamstring strength but also other factors, such as body proportions, body mass, where the critical point occurs and the force–length relationship of the muscles. By using this approach, all players, regardless of their ability to control the final phase of the test, are tested with weight and can reach their maximal eccentric hamstring strength. We therefore consider this the best of the alternatives mentioned, although one should be aware that athletes may be reluctant to perform the Nordic hamstring test with much added weight. Few players in our study agreed to be tested beyond 10 kg. Of the 10 players who accepted, four players declined adding weight beyond 15 kg and the remaining six did not want to go beyond 20 kg, despite not all of them reaching a plateau in the force measured. Fear of muscle soreness is one of the main challenges in obtaining adherence to injury prevention programmes from football players, and could also be a problem with Nordic hamstring strength testing with added weight.

Methodological considerations

One strength of the study is that we tested a high number of both female and male players. That the test was performed with gradually increasing weight rather than in a randomised order could, theoretically, have affected the force measured in the later sets both negatively and positively. Fatigue may have negatively affected the maximal force the players could produce in the latter sets. We consider this unlikely, as it has been shown that 1 min break between sets was sufficient to maintain the force-production qualities when performing the Nordic hamstring exercise. On the other hand, players could have experienced a learning effect throughout the test and therefore performed better in the later sets. We also consider this unlikely as all players used the Nordic hamstring exercise as part of their weekly training routine and therefore were familiar with the exercise. Most players had also performed the Nordic hamstring strength test as part of annual pre-season testing in previous seasons. That players were experienced with the exercise is substantiated by the high maximal eccentric force they displayed; the female players (mean: 335 N), and the male players’ results (mean: 431 N) were comparable to results from men’s Premier League and Champion’s League football (400–425 N). The increase we found in maximal force with added weight was similar to the measurement error of the test. Therefore, care should be taken when interpreting the result from individual testing, keeping the measurement error in mind. Using visual inspection to determine which players are able to control the forward falling motion during the final 20° motion is likely tester dependent. The intra-rater and inter-rater reliability of this categorisation has not been tested and may be low. It is, nonetheless, an approach that has been adopted by several previous studies to decide which players should train and be tested with added weight. The analysis of players performing the test with up to 20 kg added weight should be interpreted with care because of low statistical power. Also, the number of multiple comparisons may have increased the risk of making a type I error.

Clinical and research implications

Caution is needed when comparing Nordic hamstring strength tests that incorporate different weights during pre-testing versus post-testing. In the future, the ability to control the final phase of the forward falling motion should not be used as a criterion to decide which players should be tested with added weight; either all players should be tested with weight or none. If Nordic hamstring strength testing is performed with added weight, we recommend gradually increasing added weight until the force plateaus for all players on all tests. When studies conduct Nordic hamstring strength testing with added weight, the testing protocol used should be described in detail.
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