Prevalence of mental health symptoms among male and female Australian professional footballers

Özgür Kilic,1,7 Sean Carmody,1,2 Judith Upmeijer,1 Gino M M J Kerkhoffs,1,3,4 Rosemary Purcell,5,6 Simon Rice,5,6 Vincent Gouttebarge1,3,7,8

ABSTRACT

Objective Determining the prevalence of mental health symptoms (MHS) among Australian professional footballers compared with former players. A secondary aim was to assess whether MHS were associated with recent injury and psychological resilience.

Methods This cross-sectional study included 149 male (mean age: 24 years) and 132 female (mean age: 23 years) Australian A-League and W-League professional footballers (study group) and 81 former male footballers (control group, mean age: 39 years) for analysis. MHS and psychological resilience were assessed through validated questionnaires. Severe injuries were assessed through a single question. The adjusted Wald method was used to assess the primary aim. Logistic regression analyses was used to assess the secondary aim.

Results The most prevalent MHS among active footballers and former footballers was sport-related psychological distress (63%) and alcohol misuse (69%), respectively. Global psychological distress, sleep disturbance, alcohol misuse and substance misuse were significantly lower among active male footballers than among former players. Increased psychological resilience among active male footballers was associated with a decrease in symptoms of sport-related and global psychological distress, anxiety and depression of 9%, 14%, 23% and 20%, respectively. Increased psychological resilience among female players was associated with 10% decrease in symptoms of depression. Problem gambling and sleep disturbance was associated with injury in the previous 6 months among active male and female footballers, respectively.

Conclusions MHS are prevalent among active and former professional footballers. Higher level of psychological resilience is associated with decreased reporting of MHS. Severe injury is associated with problem gambling and sleep disturbance.

INTRODUCTION

Mental health symptoms (MHS) in elite sports have been increasingly examined in the past decade.1 Recent meta-analysis showed that the prevalence of MHS ranged from 19% for alcohol misuse to 34% for anxiety/depression in active elite athletes, and between 16% for psychological distress to 26% for anxiety/depression in former elite athletes.2 Several stressors (such as injuries) contribute to the occurrence of MHS in elite athletes.1 Earlier studies have shown that MHS among professional footballers occur subsequently after musculoskeletal injuries.3 4 Severely injured European professional footballers were up to seven times more likely to subsequently report MHS than uninjured players.1 However, factors such as resilience (ie, positive coping strategies) can protect athletes from developing MHS.1

In Australia, Gulliver et al explored the prevalence of MHS among elite athletes from various sports and found that around 45% reported experiencing symptoms of at least one of the MHS under study.3 More recently, Purcell et al found that in a nationally representative sample of currently competing Australian elite athletes from various sports, the reported rates of ‘high to very high’ psychological distress were elevated in comparison to demographically appropriate community control samples (18% vs 10%, respectively).5 The extent to which MHSs are prevalent in Australian professional football, as opposed to elite (eg, non-professional, Olympic level) sport, remains unknown, but warrants attention. Even more, it is important to capture the difference in prevalence of MHS between active and former players so that stakeholders can establish whether and when a particular support measure can be offered.
The primary aim of our study was to determine the prevalence of MHS among male and female Australian professional footballers, drawing comparisons to a group of former players. A secondary aim was to assess whether MHS reported among male and female Australian professional footballers was associated with recent injury and psychological resilience.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS
Study design
Reported in compliance with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology statement, this study was an observational comparative cross-sectional survey.

Study participants
The study group consists of two separate groups, namely active male and female professional footballers. Inclusion criteria were: (1) being a professional footballer active in the Australian A-League or W-League; (2) ≥18 years and (3) being a member of the Professional Footballers Australia (PFA). The two comparison groups consisted of former male and female Australian professional footballers. Sample size calculation with regard to our primary aim indicated that 130 participants in each study group were needed (power of 80%, CI of 95%; absolute precision of 7.5%) under the assumption of an anticipated prevalence of 25%, although all PFA active members in the A-League and W-League were invited to participate.

Dependent variables
MHS were operationalised by measuring domains of psychological distress, anxiety, depression, sleep disturbance, alcohol misuse, substance misuse, disordered eating and gambling. Psychological distress was assessed with the Athlete Psychological Strain Questionnaire (APSQ) and the Kessler-10 (K-10). The APSQ measures sport-related psychological distress through 10 items (eg, ‘It was difficult to be around teammates’) scored on a 5-point scale (from 1 ‘none of the time’ to 5 ‘all of the time’). A total score ranging from 10 to 50 was calculated, with a sum score of ≥17 indicating the presence of sport-related psychological distress. The K-10 measures global psychological distress through 10 items (eg, ‘During that 4 weeks, how often did you feel tired out for no good reason?’) scored on a 5-point scale (from 1 ‘none of the time’ to 5 ‘all of the time’). A total score ranging from 10 to 50 was calculated, with a sum score of ≥21 indicating the presence of global psychological distress. Anxiety was assessed with the General Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7) that relies on seven items (eg, ‘Over the last 2 weeks, how often have you been bothered by feeling nervous, anxious, or on edge?’) scored on a 4-point scale (from 0 ‘not at all’ to 3 ‘nearly every day’). A total score ranging from 0 to 21 was calculated, with higher sum scores indicating greater anxiety severity (0–4: normal; 5–9: mild; 10–14: moderate and ≥15: (moderately) severe) and a sum score of ≥10 indicating symptoms consistent with anxiety. Depression was assessed with the Patient Health Questionnaire 9 that relies on nine items (eg, ‘Over the last 2 weeks, how often have you been bothered by little interest or pleasure in doing things?’) scored on a 4-point scale (from 0 ‘not at all’ to 3 ‘nearly every day’). A total sum score ranging from 0 to 27 was calculated, with higher sum scores indicating greater depression severity (0–4: normal; 5–9: mild; 10–14: moderate and ≥15 (moderately) severe) and with a sum score of ≥10 indicating symptoms consistent with depression. Sleep disturbance was assessed with the Athlete Sleep Screening Questionnaire that relies on five items (eg, ‘During the recent past, how many hours of actual sleep did you get at night?’) scored on 4- and 5-point scales. A total score ranging from 1 to 17 was calculated, with higher sum scores indicating greater sleep disturbance severity (5–7: mild; 8–10: moderate and ≥11 severe) and with a sum score of ≥8 indicating the presence of sleep disturbance. Alcohol misuse was assessed with the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test Consumption that relies on three items (eg, ‘How often do you have a drink containing alcohol?’) A total score ranging from 0 to 12 was calculated, with a sum score of ≥4 (male) and ≥3 (female) indicating the presence of alcohol misuse. Substance misuse was assessed with the Cutting Down, Annoyance by Criticism, Guilty Feeling and Eye-openers Adapted to Include Drugs that relies on four items (eg, ‘In the last 3 months, have you felt you should cut down or stop using drugs?’) scored as yes or no. A total score ranging from 0 to 4 was calculated, with a sum score of ≥2 indicating the presence of substance misuse. Disordered eating was assessed with the Brief Eating Disorder in Athletes Questionnaire that relies on nine items (eg, ‘Over the last 2 weeks, how often have you been bothered by feeling extremely guilty after overeating?’) scored on several scales. A total score ranging from 0 to 18 was calculated, with a sum score of ≥4 indicating the presence of disordered eating. Gambling was assessed with the NORC Diagnostic Screen for Gambling Disorders for Control, Lying and Preoccupation that relies on three items (eg, ‘Have there ever been periods lasting 2 weeks or longer when you spent a lot of time thinking about your gambling experiences or planning future gambling ventures or bets?’) scored as yes or no. A total score ranging from 0 to 3 was calculated, with a sum score of ≥1 indicating the presence of problem gambling. All instruments used (and related cut-off values) to assess MHS are part of the International Olympic Committee (IOC) Sport Mental Health Assessment Tool 1 (SMHAT-1), have been validated in English across different populations (eg, general population, patients, athletes) and have shown good to excellent psychometric properties.

Independent variables
The total number of severe injuries experienced in the previous 6 months was examined through a single
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self-report question (eg, ‘Did you suffer from a severe musculoskeletal injury (bone, muscle, tendon, ligaments) in the past 6 months?’). We defined severe injury as an injury that involved the musculoskeletal system (bone, joint, ligament, muscles, tendons) that occurred during team activities and led to either training or match absence for more than 28 days (this definition was clearly stated to the participants). Psychological resilience was assessed with the validated Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC) that relies on 10 items scored on a 5-point scale. A total score ranging from 0 to 40 was calculated, with a higher score indicating greater psychological resilience.

Procedures

An electronic anonymous survey in English was compiled (SurveyMonkey), including several descriptive variables (eg, age, gender, height, weight, duration of professional football career, field position). Information about the study was provided to potential participants by the PFA’s staff during their club visits (study group) or via email (comparison group). If interested, participants provided their electronic informed consent and were given access to the online survey, which took approximately 20 min to complete. Once completed, the electronic surveys were automatically saved on a secured server that only the principal investigator could access. Participants did not receive any incentive to participate in the study. Data were collected from December 2019 to February 2020.

Statistical analyses

The statistical software IBM SPSS V.26.0 for Apple Mac was used for data analysis. Descriptive analyses (mean, SD, frequency and range) were performed for all descriptive variables. For our primary aim, prevalence of MHS (expressed as percentage) was calculated as the proportion of the number of participants with the condition relative to the total number of participants, using the adjusted Wald method for 95% CI as the group consisted of less than 150 participants. Prevalence was also calculated for each level of severity for anxiety, depression and sleep disturbance. Differences between study and comparison groups in prevalence of MHS were determined with $\chi^2$ tests. For our secondary aim, logistic regression analyses (level of statistical significance: $p<0.05$) expressed as OR and 95% CI were performed to explain the potential association of both independent variables, namely injuries (dichotomous; injured or not in the previous 6 months) and psychological resilience (continuous), with MHS, the dependent variable. This calculation was done separately for both groups (active male and female players).

Patient and public involvement

Participants were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting or dissemination plans of the study. However, participants’ representatives were consulted and provided input to the recruitment’s strategy.

RESULTS

Participants

The PFA contacted 280 male and 170 female active professional footballers. From those, 149 male (mean age of 24 years) and 132 female (mean age of 23 years) players gave their informed consent (overall response rate of 62%). Participants played professional football for 5 years on average. In the previous 6 months 28% reported to have suffered from ≥1 severe injuries. The PFA contacted 400 former professional footballers for the comparison group, 89 (81 male, 8 female) gave their informed consent (response rate of 22%). Because

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Descriptive variables of the male and female Australian professional footballers (active and former)</th>
<th>Active professional footballers</th>
<th>Former professional footballers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male (N=149)</td>
<td>Female (N=132)</td>
<td>(N=81; all male)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (in years; mean±SD)</td>
<td>24.3±4.8</td>
<td>22.8±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (in cm; mean±SD)</td>
<td>181.9±7.0</td>
<td>166.5±10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (in kg; mean±SD)</td>
<td>77.5±7.0</td>
<td>62.8±7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration football career (in years; mean±SD)</td>
<td>6.3±4.9</td>
<td>4.6±3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field position (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goalkeeper</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defender</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midfielder</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injured in the previous 6 months (%)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience score* (mean±SD)</td>
<td>29.8±5.6</td>
<td>28.3±5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of retirement (in years; mean±SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.7±6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced retirement (%)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N, number of participants; SD, standard deviation; cm, centimeters; kg, kilograms; %, percentage.

*Ranging from 0 to 40 with higher score indicating greater psychological resilience.
of the limited number of female former players, only the male former professional footballers (mean age of 39 years) were used for further analyses. These former male players had played professional football for 12 years on average and were retired for 8 years (58% forced to retire). Participants’ demographic and other characteristics are presented in table 1.

Prevalence
All prevalence rates of MHS are presented in table 2. In both male and female professional footballers, sport-psychological distress was common, prevalence reaching 52% and 63%, respectively. Prevalence of other MHS ranged from 2% for substance misuse to 51% for alcohol misuse among male players, and from 2% for substance misuse to 44% for alcohol misuse and disordered eating among female players. In the comparison group, prevalence of MHS ranged from 10% for substance misuse to 69% for alcohol misuse. The prevalence of global psychological distress (10 vs 26%; $\chi^2=11.267$, df=1; p<0.01), sleep disturbance (12 vs 33%; $\chi^2=13.792$, df=1; p<0.01), alcohol misuse (51 vs 69%; $\chi^2=6.922$, df=1; p<0.01) and substance misuse (2 vs 10%; $\chi^2=7.189$, df=1; p<0.01) was significantly lower in the group of active male players than in the comparison group.

Associations
Injury in the previous 6 months was associated with problem gambling (OR 2.28; 95% CI 1.03 to 5.02) but not with other MHS among male professional footballers. Male players with a higher psychological resilience were less likely to report sport- related psychological distress (OR 0.91; 95% CI 0.85 to 0.97), global psychological distress (OR 0.86; 95% CI 0.77 to 0.96), anxiety (OR 0.77; 95% CI 0.65 to 0.90) and depression (OR 0.80; 95% CI 0.69 to 0.91). Meaning that for a unit increase in psychological resilience, the odds of reporting sport- related and global psychological distress, anxiety and depression decreased by 9%, 14%, 23% and 20%, respectively. Among female professional footballers, injury in the previous 6 months was associated with sleep disturbance (OR 2.65; 95% CI 1.20 to 5.85), while an unit increase in psychological resilience was associated with a 10% decrease in symptoms of depression (OR 0.90; 95% CI 0.82 to 0.98). No other associations were found in female players.

DISCUSSION
The prevalence of MHS among the participants within this study was up to 69%. The most prevalent MHS among active male and female professional footballers was sport-related psychological distress with, respectively, 52% and 63%. The most common MHS among former footballers was alcohol misuse. Overall, the prevalence of MHS such as global psychological distress, sleep disturbance, alcohol misuse and other substance misuse were significantly lower in the group of active male players than in the comparison group.

Table 2  Prevalence (%; 95% CI) of mental health symptoms in male and female Australian professional footballers (active and former)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Active professional footballers</th>
<th>Former professional footballers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male (N=149)</td>
<td>Female (N=132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport-related psychological distress</td>
<td>52.0 (44.0 to 60.1)</td>
<td>62.9 (54.6 to 71.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global psychological distress</td>
<td>9.5 (5.6 to 15.4)</td>
<td>18.9 (13.1 to 26.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>4.7 (2.1 to 9.6)</td>
<td>8.3 (4.6 to 14.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>22.3 (15.9 to 29.9)</td>
<td>32.6 (25.2 to 41.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>2.7 (0.8 to 7.0)</td>
<td>6.0 (2.9 to 11.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>2.0 (0.4 to 5.6)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.5 to 6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>6.8 (3.6 to 12.1)</td>
<td>10.6 (6.3 to 17.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>20.3 (14.5 to 27.7)</td>
<td>35.6 (27.9 to 44.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>5.4 (2.4 to 10.4)</td>
<td>8.3 (4.6 to 14.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>1.4 (0.1 to 4.8)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.5 to 6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep disturbance</td>
<td>12.2 (7.8 to 18.5)</td>
<td>32.6 (25.2 to 41.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>87.8 (81.1 to 92.6)</td>
<td>67.4 (59.0 to 74.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>6.8 (3.6 to 12.1)</td>
<td>23.5 (17.0 to 31.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>5.4 (2.6 to 10.4)</td>
<td>9.1 (5.2 to 15.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol misuse</td>
<td>50.7 (42.7 to 58.6)</td>
<td>43.8 (35.6 to 52.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance misuse</td>
<td>2.0 (0.4 to 6.1)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.1 to 5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem gambling</td>
<td>23.6 (17.5 to 31.1)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.5 to 6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disordered eating</td>
<td>35.1 (27.9 to 43.1)</td>
<td>43.8 (35.6 to 52.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N, number of participants; %, percentage; CI, confidence interval.
*Significant difference (p<0.01) with active male players.
male players vs former professional footballers. Injury in the 6 months prior to the survey was a salient risk factors for both genders, but was associated with a higher odds of gambling in males, and greater sleep disturbance in females. Higher psychological resilience was associated with lower sports-related and global psychological distress, anxiety and depression in males and a decrease in symptoms of depression in females. There was no significant association in both groups for other symptoms of MHS.

Comparison with other studies
Compared with prior meta-analytic research by Gouttebarge et al (that included various sport types (eg, cricket, football, handball, ice hockey, rugby, boxing, judo, gymnastics, rowing, swimming)), the results of our study show somewhat higher prevalence of MHS. The prevalence of MHS among current and former elite athletes (males and females) in the review by Gouttebarge et al ranged from 19% for alcohol misuse to 34% for anxiety/depression among current players and 16% for distress to 26% for anxiety/depression among former athletes. In the present study, MHS reached up to 63% for sports related psychological distress among active players as for retired players the prevalence of MHS reached up to 69% for alcohol misuse. Cautiousness is warranted as the population characteristics and representativeness in both studies may differ, as in this study only football players are involved. Also, the association between injuries and MHS, as shown in previous studies (eg, distress, anxiety, sleeps disturbance) was not in accordance with the results of this study as injury in the previous 6 months was only associated with gambling. Junge et al showed a 13% and 7% prevalence of depressive symptoms in first Swiss league female footballers (average age of 21) and male footballers (average age of 25), respectively. The presence of depressive symptoms in our study was 11% and 7% among female and male footballers respectively, showing somewhat similar results. Among female players from the German First League, Prinz et al found a higher prevalence of depression symptoms, namely 32%. This could be explained potentially by the use of different instruments to assess MHSs or different characteristics of each sample.

Compared with other elite-level sports Drew et al showed a substantial prevalence of sleep disturbances (49% poor sleep, 22% sleepiness) and poor mental health among Olympic athletes, male and female combined (average age 26 and 24 respectively). They also stated that good mental health, resilience and sleep quality appear to be important factors involved in remaining healthy. Even though the present study involves a different population of only football players, it does shows also a substantial prevalence of MHS, wherein sleep disturbance presents a prevalence range (95% CI) of 12.2% (7.8% to 18.5%) to 35.6% (25.2% to 41.0%) among active male and female footballers, respectively.

Slade et al assessed the mental health well-being of the Australian general populations through a self-report survey (age 18 years and older). Even though Slade et al used different screening tools to assess mental health status among the Australian general population, they also used the K10 scale to assess distress corresponding with the present study. The prevalence of GADs was 2% and 4% for both male and females. The prevalence of depression was 3% and 5% for male and females, respectively.Drug use disorder was prevalent among 1% of males and 0.5% of females while alcohol use disorder was, respectively, 4% and 2%. Any substance use disorder was 7% and 3% for male and females respectively. The active and former athlete population in the present study shows higher prevalence rates of symptoms of anxiety, depression, alcohol-use disorders and drug-use disorder.

In our study, we found that previous injury was a contributing factor to problem gambling among active male footballers and to disordered eating among active female footballers. Our findings are in line with the scientific literature showing that injury and MHS might intersect. Gouttebarge et al concluded that the number of severe musculoskeletal injuries and surgeries during a career was positively correlated and associated with MHS among male European professional footballers. Even more, Kilic et al showed that previous severe injuries were associated with the onset of MHS during the subsequent season (relative risks up to 7). This confirms that injury leads to cognitive, emotional and behavioural responses among elite athletes, including disordered gambling, changes in appetite and disordered eating.

Limitations
Measurement of MHS through self-report might be a potential limitation as it measures only symptoms being consistent with mental health disorders and as it can lead to recall bias. Also for the collection of injury data, the method of self-report is not in accordance with the Fuller et al consensus statement. In our study, non-response analysis could not be conducted, because potential participants were invited for the study by the PFA with the procedure being blinded to the research team for privacy and confidentiality reasons. This might have lead to selection bias and thus have influenced the external validity of our results. Another potential source of bias might be that football players with a particular interest in MHS were more prone to participate. The present study was done through anonymous recruitment and validated scales in order to improve the objectivity of the participants towards their own mental health, as MHS are a rather taboo subject in professional sports such as football. However a possible bias may arise from this ongoing taboo surrounding MHS among elite athletes, which could lead to a possible underestimation in the extent of MHS. An additional limitation is that there was no reference or comparison group from the general population included in the present study. In addition to a group of former players, a reference or comparison...
group from a non-athlete population, matched for age and gender, might have provided the possibility to appreciate potential differences and make other interpretations. Furthermore the number of participants within the former players group did not meet the power criteria (N=130 per group). The low number of former female participants in particular precluded further analyses with this group. A specific explanation for the low number of former female players could be that the female football industry is only just starting to develop and to be unionised, making them more difficult to track and approach for participation. Also the cross-sectional set up of this study does not allow to assess any causal relationship between the variables. Nonetheless, the results of this study provides a foundation for future research.

Recommendations
In several past studies different tools and populations were used to assess the symptoms of MHS, creating heterogeneity and complexity in the ability to easily compare between studies. A recommendation for further studies is to use the IOC Consensus Statement on mental health in elite athletes as a more evidence based and standardised approach to this subject is warranted. Future studies should have a longitudinal design of several years making it possible to assess causalties. Furthermore, there should be more attention to enrolling female participants in studies about MHS among active and retired athletes.

The prevalence of MHS among footballers have shown to be common, meaning that support and a multidisciplinary approach by mental health professionals as well as sport medicine physicians should be standard care. The IOC SMHAT-1 and The IOC Sport Mental Health Recognition Tool 1 (SMHR-1) should be used to identify and help athletes that are at risk for developing MHS as well as increasing their psychological resilience. The SMHAT-1 identifies athletes that are in need for mental health treatment. This tool should be used by the sports medicine physician and/or licensed and registered mental health professionals in order to set up a multidisciplinary approach in tackling the MHS of the footballers. Psychological resilience is a significant protective factor against MHS according to this study. Family, teammates, coaches and friends known as the footballer players’ entourage have been identified as essential support in the mental well-being. With the SMHR-1 the footballers’ entourage can facilitate in the early detection of MHSs and support early help-seeking by footballers from their sports medicine physician or psychologist. Education about the negative effects of alcohol misuse should be given to football players. This might help improving coping behaviour as it is also shown that sleeping problems or anxiety may lead to alcohol misuse and vice versa. Early detection and if needed treatment of MHS can serve to improve the athletes psychological resilience, performance and quality of life.

In professional football, an after career consultation has recently been introduced. In an earlier pilot study, such an intervention was positively evaluated by former players. In particular, athletes forced into early retirement, but also voluntarily retired athletes, could benefit from programmes preparing them for post-elite sport times (e.g., seminars, mental and life skills training about career transition). A smooth transition into retirement could prevent the development of MHS among retired athletes and improve their psychological resilience.

CONCLUSION
The high prevalence rates of MHS among active and former professional footballers indicate that attention to this matter is warranted. The present study showed that increase in psychological resilience was protective against MHS. Several tools are available to recognise symptoms of MHS in an early stage, making a multidisciplinary approach possible to treat and educate athletes in order to improve their well-being, performance, quality of life as well as their psychological resilience and coping behaviour. For retired athletes, an after career consultation could help enable a smooth transition into retirement.
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