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ABSTRACT

Introduction Emerging academic literature and high-profile disclosures of mental health difficulties and mental illness from current and former professional jockeys suggest that further exploration of the mental health of jockeys is required. To date, a comprehensive review of jockeys’ mental health has yet to be conducted.

Objectives To examine the existing literature related to jockeys’ mental health, including the prevalence of symptoms associated with mental health difficulties and help-seeking.

Design A narrative review of the literature was conducted with articles screened from inception until January 2021.

Results Sixteen studies were included in the narrative review. Studies covered a range of mental health difficulties which included mood (depression), anxiety, distress, disordered eating and substance misuse. Rates of help-seeking among jockeys were also explored. Results indicated that jockeys reported higher levels of depressive and anxiety symptoms compared with other elite athletes. Substance misuse, in particular adverse alcohol use, also appears greater among jockeys than other elite athletes. Distress symptoms appear comparable with other elite athletes. Risk factors for mental health difficulties included injury, perceived stress, athlete burnout, career dissatisfaction and the contemplation of retirement. Weight-making negatively impacts jockeys’ mood and attitudes towards eating, with lower competitive riding weights associated with more disordered eating attitudes. Moreover, help-seeking from mental health professionals appears low.

Conclusion The review identifies a high prevalence of symptoms of mental health difficulties among professional jockeys. Applied recommendations and future research considerations are proposed throughout the review article.

INTRODUCTION

Horse racing is a high-risk sport that places exacting physical1–5 and psychological6–11 demands on jockeys. There is increasing evidence to indicate that jockeys experience significant levels of mental health difficulties (MHDs), possibly as a result of their distinctive lifestyles and the stresses particular to their profession.6–8 King et al.4 identified four core categories of stressors experienced by jockeys, related to: competition (eg, performance slumps, pressure, injury and opponents); the wider racing industry (eg, making weight, workload and travel demands); interpersonal challenges (eg, relationships with trainers, other jockeys and expectations of others); and career stressors (eg, career uncertainty, career opportunities and transitions). A recent study examined jockeys’ mental health using validated screening questionnaires and highlighted that almost 80% of jockeys in Ireland met the threshold for at least one of the MHDs assessed (depression, generalised anxiety, psychological distress and adverse alcohol use). Moreover, 87% of 105 jockeys who participated in an industry-wide survey in the UK reported experiencing ‘stress, anxiety or depression’ during the previous 12 months.
with the profession’s loneliness, financial uncertainty and relentless workload highlighted as key stressors. While a previous review article specifically explored the physical and psychological implications of jockeys’ weight-making strategies, there has not yet been an attempt to review and consolidate the findings of the expanding wider mental health literature. The current review therefore aims to examine professional jockeys’ mental health beyond the deleterious effects of making weight, with a focus on the following: mood, anxiety, distress, disordered eating, substance misuse and help-seeking.

Methodological aspects
A computer-based literature search was undertaken independently by two of the authors (LK and GW), comprising of PubMed and Google Scholar databases, as well as grey literature (eg, industry-funded reports) from inception to January 2021. Keywords searched included ‘jockey’ OR ‘horse racing’ AND ‘common mental disorder’ OR ‘mental health’ OR ‘mental health difficulties’ OR ‘depression’ OR ‘mood’ OR ‘anxiety’ OR ‘eating disorder’ OR ‘substance misuse’ OR ‘help seeking’ OR ‘psychology’ OR ‘psychiatry’. A manual search of reference lists was also conducted.

Study inclusion and exclusion criteria
LK and GW independently screened articles and abstracts for eligibility. Studies were required to have met the following inclusion criteria:
1. Professional jockeys.
2. Quantitative or qualitative data on symptoms of MHDs or help-seeking.
3. Written in English.
Studies were excluded from the review article if they met the following criteria:
1. Non-professional jockey status (eg, amateur jockeys).
2. Review articles.

Table 1  Review terminology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td>Mental health has been defined as a ‘state of well-being in which every individual realises his or her own potential, can cope with the normal stresses of life, can work productively and fruitfully, and is able to make a contribution to her or his community’. 67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health difficulties (MHDs)</td>
<td>Throughout this review article, we refer to MHDs, often labelled as common mental disorders, which encompass depression, generalised anxiety disorder, panic disorder, phobias, social anxiety disorders, obsessive–compulsive disorder and post-traumatic stress disorder. 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence</td>
<td>The present review article explores prevalence of MHDs among professional jockeys. Many of the studies discussed feature self-report data, thus, the term prevalence relates to a prevalence of symptoms, rather than prevalence of a diagnosed mental health disorder obtained via a clinical interview with a mental health professional. The percentages elicited throughout the review article refer to the number of jockeys who met the threshold indicative of MHDs based on a validated cut-off score for each self-report questionnaire.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat jockeys</td>
<td>Flat jockeys compete in often short races (1–4 km) with no obstacles. Minimum competitive riding weights for flat jockeys vary between each racing jurisdiction. In Ireland, minimum and maximum riding weights are set at 8st 4 lbs (52.6 kg/116 lbs) and 9st 12 lbs (62.6 kg/138 lbs), respectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National hunt jockeys</td>
<td>National hunt jockeys, often referred to as jump jockeys, compete in longer races (3.2–7.2 km) with obstacles known as hurdles or fences. Minimum and maximum riding weights for national hunt jockeys are set at 9st 10 lbs (61.7 kg/136 lbs) and 11st 12 lbs (75.3 kg/166 lbs), respectively.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS
In total, 16 studies were included in the review. The studies were published between 1987 and 2020 and included jockeys from the UK (n=8), Ireland (n=4), South Africa (n=1), New Zealand (n=1), Australia (n=1) and the USA (n=1). A variety of study designs were employed to collect data, including cross-sectional (n=9), experimental (n=2), semistructured interviews and focus groups (n=2), mixed-methods (n=2) and a case study (n=1). Studies used either male (n=7) or mixed-gender (n=8) participants. One study did not state the gender of participants. Studies explored mood, anxiety, distress, disordered eating, substance misuse and help-seeking. Full details of the studies are reported in table 2.

Mood
Two studies have comprehensively examined professional jockeys for the prevalence of depressive symptoms. Losty et al explored depressive symptoms using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) and found that 57% of professional jockeys met the questionnaire’s caseness for depression based on a cut-off score of 16 or greater. No difference was observed between professional flat and national hunt jockeys. Building on the previous study by Losty et al, King et al using the CES-D and same cut-off score, found that 29 of 84 jockeys (35%) met the threshold indicative of a depressive disorder. However, in contrast to the work of Losty
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study, year, country</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Participant characteristics, n (male:female)</th>
<th>Flat:national hunt</th>
<th>Data collection tool</th>
<th>Summary of main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caulfield and Karageorghis, 2008, UK&lt;sup&gt;15&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Experimental design</td>
<td>41 (41:0)</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>EAT-26 BRUMS</td>
<td>Jockeys’ mood profiles lower when making minimal weight in comparison with optimal or relaxed weight (p&lt;0.05). Significant difference in attitudes to eating when making minimal weight than at optimal weight or relaxed weight. Depression, fatigue and confusion scores greater on BRUMS when making minimal weight (p&lt;0.05).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotugna et al, 2011, USA&lt;sup&gt;20&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Mixed-methods</td>
<td>20 (19:1)</td>
<td>20:0</td>
<td>Diet assessment tool and interviews</td>
<td>Jockeys reported a variety of disordered eating practices to make weight which included fluid restriction, food restriction and flipping (throwing up).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolan et al, 2011, Ireland&lt;sup&gt;15&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>27 (27:0)</td>
<td>17:10</td>
<td>59-item nutrition, lifestyle and health questionnaire</td>
<td>Weight loss strategies—sauna (86%), exercise to sweat (81%), restrict food intake (71%), not eating between meals (67%), exercise to use up calories (48%), excessive exercise (38%), vomit after meals (14%). Negative impact of weight loss—reduced mood (33%), decreased libido (24%), tension (19%) and irritation (14%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labadarios et al, 1993, South Africa&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>93 (gender not stated)</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Health and nutrition questionnaire</td>
<td>Rapid weight loss strategies reported by jockeys included the use of saunas (70%) and hot baths (27%). Drug use via diuretics (70%), laxatives (27%) and appetite suppressants (48%) reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leydon and Wall, 2002, New Zealand&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>20 (6:14) Senior (4:5) Apprentice (2:9)</td>
<td>20:0</td>
<td>EAT-26</td>
<td>Mean scores for all jockeys was 13.5 (9.3). 20% of jockeys reported scores of 20 or greater on EAT, indicative of an eating disorder. Mean scores greater for male (M=16, SD=7.3) than female jockeys (M=12.4, SD=10.3) (p&gt;0.05).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study, year, country</td>
<td>Study type</td>
<td>Participant characteristics, n (male:female)</td>
<td>Flat:national hunt</td>
<td>Data collection tool</td>
<td>Summary of main findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King et al, 2020, Ireland&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>84 (78:6)</td>
<td>37:47</td>
<td>K10 CES-D GAD-7 AUDIT-C ABQ Greenhaus Scale</td>
<td>Prevalence of jockeys meeting the threshold for adverse alcohol use (61%), depression (35%), generalised anxiety (27%) and distress (19%) reported. Statistically significant risk factors for generalised anxiety were athlete burnout (EE OR=4.7; D OR=3; PA OR=2.9), career dissatisfaction (OR=0.9, 95% CI 0.8 to 1.0) and contemplating retirement (OR=0.24, 95% CI 0.1 to 0.7). Associations were reported between distress and athlete burnout (EE OR=5.3; D=7.9; PA OR=8.0) (p&lt;0.05), career dissatisfaction (OR=0.8, 95% CI 0.7 to 0.9) (p&lt;0.05) and contemplating retirement (OR=0.13, 95% CI 0.04 to 0.4) (p&lt;0.05).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Losty et al, 2019, Ireland&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>42 (37:5)</td>
<td>21:21</td>
<td>K10 CES-D GAD-7 SPIN PSS RSES</td>
<td>Jockeys reported symptoms of MHDs: depressive symptoms (57%), stress symptoms (52%), social phobia symptoms (38%), self-esteem symptoms (31%), distress symptoms (36%) and generalised anxiety symptoms (21%). Injured jockeys were 46 times more likely to meet the criteria for depression than those without a current injury. Being at or above the established threshold score for social phobia resulted in 6.82 times increase in the likelihood of reporting depression (95% CI=1.491 to 31.191), and exceeding the threshold score for stress resulted in a 14.44 times increase in the likelihood of reporting depression (95% CI=0.694 to 17.610).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin et al, 2017, UK&lt;sup&gt;16&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>10 (8:2)</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Semistructured interview</td>
<td>Disordered eating pathology discussed by jockeys, often used to make weight. One jockey referred to using laxatives on a daily basis. Other jockeys discussed induced vomiting (known as 'flipping' within the racing industry) as a last resort to make weight. Food restriction was popular to make weight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study, year, country</td>
<td>Study type</td>
<td>Participant characteristics, n (male:female)</td>
<td>Flat/national hunt</td>
<td>Data collection tool</td>
<td>Summary of main findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McConn-Palfreyman and Littlewood, 2019, UK</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>15 (not stated)</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Self-made questionnaire examining prevalence of MHDs over the past year and barriers to help-seeking</td>
<td>Over the past 12 months, 87% of jockeys reported experiencing stress, anxiety or depression, 13% reported problems due to alcohol use and 5% stated problems due to illegal drug use. Most significant barriers to help-seeking included the need to appear 'strong' in front of colleagues (55%), social stigma of being viewed negatively for accessing mental health services (41%) and limited time to engage in services (34%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGuane et al, 2019, Ireland</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
<td>6 (6:0)</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Semistructured interviews</td>
<td>Wasting (rapid weight loss) was routine for jockeys with negative implications for physical and mental health. Self-induced vomiting and the use of diuretics were also reported as methods of losing weight for competition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mezey and King, 1987, UK</td>
<td>Mixed-methods</td>
<td>10 (10:0)</td>
<td>10:0</td>
<td>EAT-26 Clinical interview schedule, Symptom rating test</td>
<td>EAT scores (14.9) reported greater than other male groups. Weights reported 21% lower than anticipated for age group. One jockey met ICD (version not stated) criteria for phobic anxiety state. No jockeys met the criteria for a mental health disorder on clinical interview. Sig increase in irritability when wasting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore et al, 2002, Australia</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>116 (91:25)</td>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>Questionnaire related to weight loss attitudes, weight loss strategies and weight maintenance strategies</td>
<td>Weight loss strategies: all jockeys—skip meals (75%), sauna use—race-day only (28%), daily (11%), 2–3 times per week (15%), weekly (5%), never (41%). Laxatives—all jockeys—race-day only (12%), daily (5%), weekly (4%), monthly (2%), never (77%). Diuretics—race-day only (21%), daily (4%), weekly (3%), monthly (9%), never (63%). Induced vomiting—9%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson et al, 2012, UK</td>
<td>Case study</td>
<td>1 (1:0)</td>
<td>0:1</td>
<td>BRUMS</td>
<td>Diet and exercise intervention strategy developed for one professional jockey with an emphasis on diet and exercise. Pre-intervention, the jockey displayed above average levels of anger, depression and fatigue, with lower than average vigour. Post-intervention, increases in vigour and a reduction of fatigue were observed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study, year, country</td>
<td>Study type</td>
<td>Participant characteristics, n (male:female)</td>
<td>Flat: national hunt</td>
<td>Data collection tool</td>
<td>Summary of main findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson et al, UK, 2013&lt;sup&gt;24&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>37 (37:0)</td>
<td>19:18</td>
<td>BRUMS</td>
<td>Both flat and national hunt jockeys reported impaired mood profiles, with flat jockeys reporting significantly greater scores for anger and fatigue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson et al, UK, 2014&lt;sup&gt;52&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Experimental design</td>
<td>8 (8:0)</td>
<td>2:6</td>
<td>Questionnaire related to weight-making methods</td>
<td>Jockeys reported a variety of weight-making methods. This included: exercising in a sweat suit (100%), gradual dieting (100%), sauna use (75%), fluid restriction (62%), food restriction (62%), other methods such as exercising in a bin liner and extra clothes, laxative tablets, and drinking Epsom salts in water (50%), salt bath (37%), hot bath (37%), and fasting (25%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson et al, 2015, UK&lt;sup&gt;14&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Experimental design</td>
<td>10 (9:1)</td>
<td>9:0</td>
<td>GHQ (GHQ-12) EAT-26</td>
<td>Six-week exercise and diet programme. Pre-intervention mean GHQ-12 was 10.3 (SD=4.3), which reduced post-intervention to 8.9 (SD=3.8) (p&gt;0.05). Findings indicated that 29% of jockeys met the threshold indicative of an eating disorder. The mean EAT-26 score pre-intervention was 14.8 (SD=9.6), which decreased post-intervention to 11.0 (SD=5.6) (p&lt;0.05).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ABQ, Athlete Burnout Questionnaire; AUDIT-C, Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test; BRUMS, Brunel Mood Scale; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; D, devaluation; EAT-26, Eating Attitudes Test; EE, emotional exhaustion; GAD-7, Generalised Anxiety Disorder Scale; GHQ-12, General Health Questionnaire; ICD, International Classification of Diseases; K10, Kessler Psychological Distress Scale; MHDs, mental health difficulties; PA, reduced sense of personal accomplishment; PSS, Perceived Stress Scale; RSES, Rosenberg’s Self-Esteem Scale; SPIN, Social Phobia Inventory.
et al., a greater prevalence of symptoms of depression was found in national hunt jockeys (46%) in comparison with flat jockeys (19%). A greater risk and prevalence of injury among national hunt jockeys may begin to explain this finding, given the links between injury and MHDs with other athlete samples. Although further research is needed. In comparison with flat jockeys, national hunt jockeys report a greater number of falls per 1000 rides (49.5 vs 3.8) and a higher frequency of injuries per 1000 rides (10.1 vs 1.4). In contrast, previous research has reported poorer mood profiles for flat jockeys, possibly due to their need to reach lower competitive riding weights. Differences in depression prevalence between the two studies may be partially explained by the sample sizes. Losty et al. gathered data from 42 professional jockeys (27% response rate), while King et al. obtained data from 84 professional jockeys (52% response rate). Jockeys appear to report depressive symptoms more commonly than athletes in other sports. Within two recent Australasian studies of elite athletes (multiple team and individual sport athletes) using the CES-D, 27.2% of Australian athletes met depression caseness, while 21% of a New Zealand sample (multiple team and individual sport athletes) reported symptoms consistent with depression. A recent comparative meta-analysis of high performance athlete data reported rates of depressive symptoms ranging 3.7%–26.7% and 9.8%–36.5% for male and female athletes, respectively. The gender ratio observed is largely in keeping with the general adult population, where women have long been noted to be diagnosed with depression twice as commonly as men. In this context, the findings of Losty et al. and King et al. are even more striking, given that the vast majority of their sample populations were men (76% and 93%, respectively), and therefore expected to be less likely to report depressive symptoms than their female counterparts (the predominantly male samples are representative of the wider jockey population internationally although a greater number of female jockeys are entering the sport each year). Further studies exploring depression would benefit from the use of diagnostic clinical interviews, although these may ultimately prove impractical and jockeys may be reluctant to participate, fearing a loss of anonymity. In the absence of interviews, the use of screening tools such as the Patient Health Questionnaire may more accurately predict the prevalence of depression, given that it better reflects the International Classification of Diseases-10 diagnostic criteria for the disorder, which requires a minimum symptom duration of 2 weeks.

Specific risk factors for depression have also been documented among the jockey population. Given the sport’s high-risk nature, Losty et al. examined associations between injury status (eg, currently injured vs not injured) and prevalence of depressive symptoms. The study found that injured jockeys were 46 times more likely to meet the threshold for depression. This aligns with other research with elite athletes which has identified injury as a potential risk factor for depression. Moreover, social anxiety or high levels of perceived stress increased the likelihood of reporting depressive symptoms by 6.82 and 14.44 times, respectively. These associations indicate the importance of mental health support strategies with a specific focus on stress management and coping with injury. The study of King et al. also examined risk factors for depression including athlete burnout, social support, career satisfaction and contemplating retirement. No statistically significant associations were observed. Further research is required to clearly identify specific risk factors for depression in jockeys. The exploration of sport-specific risk factors, such as those related to weight and weight-making strategies, or trauma symptoms after witnessing a fatal injury to a horse, is required. Previous research has suggested that the loss or injury of a horse can have profound psychological effects on riders, with responses such as devastation, feeling cheated, restlessness and isolation all significantly impacted by the severity of a horse’s injury among young equestrian riders. Among jockeys however, data are limited.

Multiple studies have examined the mood of jockeys, often in the context of making weight. The sport of horse racing places jockeys under relentless pressure to maintain low competition weights throughout the competitive season, with qualitative research highlighting that weight-related factors are all consuming for jockeys. Unlike other weight classification sports such as boxing or wrestling, jockeys are required to weigh a specific weight before each race, which can be challenging given a jockey may compete multiple times per day. This is due to a process called handicapping, with weight restrictions placed on horses, which the jockey must align their weight with, to increase the competitiveness of a race. Given these demands, jockeys often engage in rapid weight loss strategies, with the use of a sauna and food/fluid restriction the most common weight loss methods used by jockeys. Most studies in this area have typically examined weight and mood via utilisation of the Brunel Mood Scale (BRUMS), a self-report 24-item abridged version of the Profile of Mood States. The BRUMS’ measures include five negative mood states (depression, anger, tension, fatigue and confusion) and one positive mood state (vigor), and research suggests that making low riding weights is associated with more negative mood profiles. A 2008 study of 41 professional jockeys found that participants’ mood profiles were significantly different at minimum weights (achieved via rapid weight loss) than at optimal (not excessively restricting weight; feeling healthy) and relaxed (no rides at light weights in the near future or no rides at all). That is, when making minimum weights, jockeys reported significantly greater scores for depression, anger and fatigue, while vigor was observed to be reduced. No significant differences were found between optimal and relaxed weights. Wilson et al. reported on the mood profiles of UK flat and national hunt jockeys. Abnormal mood profiles for all measures were reported on the BRUMS except for tension. Flat
jockeys reported significantly poorer scores for anger and fatigue variables in comparison with national hunt jockeys, perhaps due to the need to reach lower competitive riding weights.

Given these findings, several studies have highlighted the effects of making weight without the need for dehydration and food restriction with promising results. A case study which included a jockey engaging in a structured exercise and diet plan found that the individual reported a switch from above anger and depression BRUMS score pre-intervention to below average scores post-intervention, with vigour scores moving in the opposite direction. Positive findings were also reported in larger scale intervention via a 6-week individually tailored diet plan in UK jockeys (n=10). Jockeys’ mean General Health Questionnaire scores, a measure of psychological distress, reduced from 10.3 (SD=4.3) pre-intervention, to 8.9 (SD=3.8) post-intervention. The number of jockeys who met the threshold indicative of psychiatric caseness also dropped from two to one post-intervention. The findings suggest that further educational programmes or support from practitioners on effective weight-making practices are required for jockeys. Moreover, as highlighted by Martin et al, further research may also seek to explore the apparent reluctance to adopt a healthier approach to riding by jockeys, as currently a reliance on older, less effective methods appears dominant.

Anxiety
Several of the studies examining jockeys’ mental health also attempted to assess anxiety. A study by Losty et al investigating 42 Irish jockeys found that 21.4% of jockeys (flat—14.3%; national hunt—28.6%) met caseness for generalised anxiety based on self-report measures via the Generalised Anxiety Disorder-7 questionnaire. Comparable rates were demonstrated in the study of King et al, who found that 27% of professional jockeys (flat—27%; national hunt—28%) met the threshold using the same questionnaire. Individual studies examining the prevalence of generalised anxiety among Swedish elite athletes (multiple individual and team sport athletes) (12.6%), rugby league players (14.6%) and elite soccer players (14.4%) suggest that prevalence of generalised anxiety symptoms are greater among professional jockeys than other athletes. Given that generalised anxiety is often comorbid with symptoms of other anxiety disorders such as panic disorder, social anxiety disorder and post-traumatic stress disorder, screening measures designed to assess generalised anxiety symptoms among jockeys may aid early identification of other potential MHDs.

Specific risk factors for generalised anxiety symptoms among jockeys include athlete burnout, career dissatisfaction and contemplating retirement. Athlete burnout is characterised by three components: emotional exhaustion (EE), sport devaluation (D) and a reduced sense of personal accomplishment (PA). A one-unit increase on the athlete burnout questionnaire increased the odds of professional jockeys meeting the threshold for generalised anxiety by 4.7 (EE), 3 (D) and 2.9 (PA) times, respectively. A recent qualitative study identified several factors that may contribute to burnout symptomology in professional jockeys such as intense working hours, career uncertainty and lengthy competitive seasons. The study by King et al also explored career satisfaction and the contemplation of retirement as risk factors for MHDs within jockeys. Lower levels of career satisfaction increased the odds of meeting the threshold for generalised anxiety by 1.11 for each one-unit decrease on the career satisfaction scale. Moreover, 29% of jockeys were classified as dissatisfied with their careers. This research corroborates other studies which have highlighted career satisfaction, or lack of, as a risk factor for MHDs. Exploration of the factors which contribute to the career dissatisfaction of a jockeys is necessary which may begin to shed further insight to other risk factors for MHDs. This may include elevated levels of stress, vast workloads, lack of job security or financial uncertainty. Finally, 26% of jockeys reported the contemplation of retirement from the sport within the next 12 months, indicative of the uncertainty associated with the career cited elsewhere. Contemplating retirement increased the odds of meeting the criteria for generalised anxiety by 4.16.

Distress
Three studies explored distress among professional jockeys. Losty et al reported a prevalence of symptoms of distress among 36% of jockeys, with a mean score for the group (M=21.12, SD=7.33) approaching the clinical cut-off of 22, based on the Kessler Psychological Distress Scale. No significant differences were observed between flat and national hunt jockeys. In the study of King et al, using the same measure, 19% of professional jockeys met the caseness for distress, while the mean score for symptoms of distress reported by professional jockeys was significantly lower than previously reported (M=16.7, SD=6.0). Flat jockeys reported greater symptoms of distress in comparison with national hunt jockeys (M=17.8, SD=6.5 vs M=15.9, SD=5.4), although no significant difference was identified. Prevalence of distress was significantly associated with athlete burnout (EE—OR=5.3, 95% CI 2.3 to 12.4; D—OR=7.9, 95% CI 2.9 to 21.7; PA—OR=8.0, 95% CI 2.8 to 23.1), career satisfaction (OR=0.8, 95% CI 0.7 to 0.9) and the contemplation of retirement (OR=0.13, 95% CI 0.04 to 0.4). Among Australian elite athletes, prevalence of distress has been reported between 17% and 35%, suggesting that prevalence of distress among professional jockeys may be similar to other elite athletes. Another study examined distress symptoms among professional jockeys using the General Health Questionnaire, and found that...
21% of jockeys could be classified as ‘likely’ to be experiencing distress symptoms that require support from a professional. Accurate comparisons of distress are often challenging due to the multiple measures used with elite athlete samples, with many studies using the shorter form Distress Screener, comprising only three questions. Moving forward, employing the use of a sport-specific distress scale with elite athletes may be advantageous in allowing comparisons between groups of athletes and also increasing detection of subclinical distress symptoms. The recently developed Athlete Psychological Strain Questionnaire (APSQ) represents a potential solution to such issues. The questionnaire consists of three factors related to self-regulation (eg, I found it difficult to do what I needed to do), performance (eg, I could not stop worrying about injury or my performance) and external coping (eg, I needed alcohol or other substances to relax). As highlighted in a recent review examining the mental health of cricketers, the APSQ could be integrated into screening measures adopted by medical teams. For professional jockeys, the APSQ may be delivered on renewing their jockey licence every 2 years, while also integrated into common practice throughout the competitive season, at potentially high-risk periods (eg, intense parts of the season), during injury rehabilitation programmes and post-concussion assessments. As such, multiple data points would improve precision of when and where professional jockeys require support, and what specific types of support are more useful (eg, developing coping strategies during injury rehabilitation).

**Disordered eating**

Jockeys may be more susceptible to disordered eating behaviour and attitudes than other weight-making athletes as a result of them being required to ride at low weights throughout their careers. This struggle to make weight has been compounded by gradual anthropometric changes among the general population (increased mean height and body mass) not being mirrored by equivalent adjustments to riding weights. Cullen et al observed that the average trainee jockey’s weight entering the Racing Academy and Centre of Education had increased that the average trainee jockey’s weight entering the Racing Academy and Centre of Education had increased 10% increase of the minimum riding weight. Disordered eating practices employed by jockeys to make weight include food and/or fluid restriction, abstinence (ie, starvation), overexercising, the use of saunas or sweat suits, drinking fluids to feel full and smoking cigarettes. Studies have also identified forced vomiting (known as ‘flipping’ within the racing industry) as an additional strategy. Martin et al concluded that self-induced vomiting is typically performed as a function to attempt to promote weight loss, rather than evidence of a desire for thinness or a disturbance of body image, as seen in some eating disorders. The use of laxatives, appetite suppressants and diuretics has also been described, although all three have been prohibited among jockeys worldwide since 1999. Much of the research examining jockeys’ psychological relationships with food has used the Eating Attitudes Test (EAT-26), a 26-item self-report questionnaire that screens for possible eating disorders. Mezey and King were the first to use the tool to report mean scores of jockeys (M = 14.9), with none of the participants meeting the diagnostic criteria for an eating disorder during an additional clinical interview. In the study of Caulfield and Karageorghis, findings demonstrated the negative influence of weight-making on attitudes toward eating. That is, jockeys scored poorer on the EAT-26 when making their minimum weight than at optimal weight or relaxed weight. A total of six jockeys (from a sample of 41) scored 20 or more on the scale, the threshold deemed indicative of the potential presence of an eating disorder. The findings suggest that jockeys’ attitudes toward eating may become more disordered while trying to reach minimum riding weights. Moreover, within a 6-week diet and exercise intervention programme, 28.6% of jockeys at baseline exceeded the EAT-26 threshold for a potential eating disorder diagnosis. Reassess post-intervention found a non-significant improvement in eating attitudes (14.8–11.0). Jockeys’ attitudes towards eating appear to be influenced by four key themes according to Martin et al. First, a reluctance to change, particularly among older jockeys, due to routine practices developed throughout their careers. Second, a lack of identification as an athlete, with disparities reported between the life and career of a jockey and other elite athletes. Third, denial and bargaining of current eating practices (eg, current practices are not too bad for the jockey). Lastly, the horse is the athlete, with the jockey placing greater emphasis on the horse’s performance than their own athletic performance. The findings indicate that not only are nutritional educational programmes necessary for jockeys, but a shift in the culture towards a career as a jockey itself.

**Substance misuse**

Jockeys are subject to alcohol and drug testing, focused primarily on performance-impairing substances (eg, cocaine, cannabis), in order to provide a safe environment for competition. Riding under the influence of alcohol or drugs not only poses a serious risk to the individual jockey, but also to other jockeys in the race and their horses. Few studies have attempted to ascertain levels of substance misuse although King et al reported that 61% of jockeys met the clinical threshold for adverse alcohol use based on the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test questionnaire. These figures are concerning given a recent meta-analysis examining the prevalence of MHD among elite athletes found that 19% of athletes met the threshold for alcohol misuse. Incidence for substance misuse does however appear to vary considerably between sports. Jockey alcohol misuse is significantly greater than that reported among soccer players and Dutch elite athletes but comparable with recent figures published for rugby league players. In the UK, 13.33%...
and 5.33% of jockeys reported problems due to alcohol and illegal drug use, respectively. The disparity between reported prevalence rates of alcohol misuse identified in Ireland and the UK suggests that further research is required in this field. It may be the case that jockeys typically under-report alcohol and substance misuse for fear of losing career opportunities or risking suspension from competition.

Help-seeking

Despite an estimated 38% of the European population experiencing an MHD each year, most people do not seek help from professional psychological services, or the process of accessing services is often substantially delayed. Consequences may include worsened symptoms over time, interpersonal problems and lower life expectancy. For athletes, help-seeking is also low, with similar findings reported among professional jockeys. King et al. found that while almost 80% of jockeys met the threshold for at least one MHD, only 33% of the sample had sought professional help. Moreover, the rates of help-seeking observed are likely inflated due to many jockeys reporting a sport psychologist as professional psychological support, where seeking help may have been solely related to performance issues, rather than for MHDs. Empirical research pertaining to help-seeking among jockeys is limited, although a survey in the UK highlighted several factors that may promote a reluctance to seek help. The most prominent barriers included a need to appear strong in front of others and a stigma towards accessing support services. These findings corroborate other research with athletic samples that identified stigma as a key barrier to accessing professional support. Other barriers in the study included a lack of time, ambivalence towards treatment, confidentiality concerns and difficulty finding local support. Anti-stigma campaigns, focusing on reducing both public and self-stigma, may be effective among jockeys. Moreover, programmes that focus on mental health literacy may also be considered due to the need to educate jockeys about specific symptoms of MHDs, reduce stigma and increase awareness of sources of help.

Recommendations and future directions in brief

Given the findings reported through the review, a number of recommendations and future directions are proposed. First, longitudinal studies that examine lifestyle and sport-specific stressors are required to determine the prominent factors in the development of MHDs among jockeys. Particular attention to weight-making and weight management may be fruitful given the magnitude of its importance throughout a jockey's career. Yet, the long-term impacts of such aspects on MHDs are unknown. Recent research has suggested that depressive and anxiety symptoms are greater among retired jockeys in comparison with other populations and the relentless necessity to compete at low weights throughout a career may be a factor. Also, despite a number of contemporary studies exploring the prevalence of symptoms of MHDs within the jockey population, samples are predominantly male. As such, further research exploring female jockeys may shed light on gender differences between male and female jockeys. Studies exploring lesser reported clinical MHDs within jockey academic literature (eg, schizophrenia) are also recommended.

Programmes designed to support jockeys for MHDs are needed, although organisations should be considerate of the horse racing environment, particularly important as jockeys perceive stigma as a key barrier to help-seeking. The development of a mental health and well-being framework within horse racing organisations may begin to standardise the care of jockeys who are experiencing an MHD, but also to promote early intervention and prevention strategies. Naturally, each iteration of the framework may look and serve differently dependent on the organisation. This is an important consideration given the cultural differences in attitudes towards MHDs and the popularity of horse racing worldwide. Four key components are reported by Purcell et al. discussed in Table 3, with specific recommendations made for the jockey population.

Strengths and limitations

The strengths of this paper are:

1. It is the first review article to explore professional jockeys' mental health beyond the negative impact of weight-making.
2. The review is designed to help inform practitioners and researchers working with jockeys on the prevalence of symptoms associated with MHDs which may improve professional practice. Organisations may also consider the recommendations proposed throughout the review article to maximise jockeys' welfare.
3. Literature searches and the application of the inclusion and exclusion criteria were conducted independently by two authors in order to minimise selection bias.

The limitations of the present paper are:

1. Although a search protocol was implemented, the best practice approach of a preregistered review was not adopted in the present review. As such, studies may not have been identified for inclusion due to the non-systematic search process employed. The benefits of preregistration include the development of study aims and objectives to answer a specific research question, but also to avoid bias and increase transparency by detailing data analysis intentions in advance. Moreover, the validity and quality of studies included in the review were not assessed.
2. While the search strategy was broad, encompassing a wide variety of MHDs, it is possible that less common MHDs may not have been identified.

CONCLUSION

The review suggests that jockeys report a prevalence of symptoms associated with MHDs. It appears that jockeys...
experience depressive and anxiety symptoms with much greater frequency than other athletes, despite male jockeys continuing to account for the majority of the professional ranks. Depressive symptoms are strongly associated with injury and stress, suggesting that support initiatives should focus on assisting jockeys to cope better with each of these factors. Distress symptoms reported by jockeys appear largely comparable with other athletes. Risk factors for anxiety and distress symptoms include athlete burnout, career dissatisfaction and the contemplation of retirement. Substance misuse, particularly adverse alcohol use, appears substantially greater for jockeys than that observed in many studies examining team and individual athletes. Further longitudinal epidemiological studies are necessary, ideally with data gathered from jockeys in differing racing jurisdictions. Much of the data throughout the review are taken from European jockeys, therefore jockeys from other popular racing areas (eg, Australia, USA, Hong Kong) are under-represented.

Disordered eating behaviours are common, but with no clear findings from the eating attitudes data, they appear largely to be long-established methods of attempting to make weight, rather than indicative of a high prevalence of clinical eating disorders. Such behaviours (eg, wasting) are accepted and embedded within the culture of horse racing performance.11 16 Lastly, help-seeking among the jockey population appears low, with research highlighting that stigma and the need to appear stoic reported as key barriers to accessing professional psychological support services. Jockey-specific programmes that consider the profession’s unique stressors and sports culture are required. These programmes should be designed to increase mental health literacy, reduce stigma and provide jockeys with access to confidential services.

Twitter Lewis King @LewisKing17

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the Irish Horseracing Regulatory Board for funding the ongoing research project exploring jockeys’ mental health.

Contributors LK and GW screened articles for the manuscript. LK wrote the manuscript with support from GW, SJC and CL. SJC, CL and GW helped supervise the project. AM and JP provided further feedback on the manuscript.

Funding The Irish Horseracing Regulatory Board funded the project as part of a current PhD programme.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD Lewis King http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7864-9606

REFERENCES
31 Davies E, James S. The psychological responses of amateur riders to their horses’ injuries. *Comp Exerc Physiol* 2014;14:35–42.
66 Quintana DS. From pre-registration to publication: a non-technical primer for conducting a meta-analysis to synthesize correlational data. Front Psychol 2015;6:1549.