# **BMJ Open** Sport & Exercise Medicine

To cite: Stockwell S, Trott M,

Tully M, et al. Changes

in physical activity and

pandemic lockdown: a

bmisem-2020-000960

Additional material is

sedentary behaviours from

before to during the COVID-19

systematic review. BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine

2021 7:e000960 doi:10 1136/

published online only. To view,

please visit the journal online

SS and MT contributed equally

(http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/

bmjsem-2020-000960).

SS and MT are joint first

Accepted 22 January 2021

authors.

# Changes in physical activity and sedentary behaviours from before to during the COVID-19 pandemic lockdown: a systematic review

Stephanie Stockwell,<sup>1</sup> Mike Trott <sup>1</sup>, <sup>1,2</sup> Mark Tully,<sup>3</sup> Jae Shin,<sup>4</sup> Yvonne Barnett,<sup>5</sup> Laurie Butler,<sup>6</sup> Daragh McDermott,<sup>6</sup> Felipe Schuch,<sup>7</sup> Lee Smith <sup>1</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

**Objective** In March 2020, several countries banned unnecessary outdoor activities during COVID-19. commonly called 'lockdowns. These lockdowns have the potential to impact associated levels of physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Given the numerous health outcomes associated with physical activity and sedentary behaviour, the aim of this review was to summarise literature that investigated differences in physical activity and sedentary behaviour before vs during the COVID-19 lockdown.

#### Design, data sources and eligibility

criteria Electronic databases were searched from November 2019 to October 2020 using terms and synonyms relating to physical activity, sedentary behaviour and COVID-19. The coprimary outcomes were changes in physical activity and/or sedentary behaviour captured via device-based measures or self-report tools. Risk of bias was measured using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale. **Results** Sixty six articles met the inclusion criteria and were included in the review (total n=86981). Changes in physical activity were reported in 64 studies, with the majority of studies reporting decreases in physical activity and increases in sedentary behaviours during their respective lockdowns across several populations, including children and patients with a variety of medical conditions. **Conclusion** Given the numerous physical and mental benefits of increased physical activity and decreased sedentary behaviour, public health strategies should include the creation and implementation of interventions that promote safe physical activity and reduce sedentary behaviour should other lockdowns occur.

Check for updates

#### C Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

# **Correspondence to** Mike Trott:

mt472@pgr.aru.ac.uk

#### INTRODUCTION

In March 2020, WHO declared the COVID-19 outbreak a global pandemic, and as of 26 October 2020, over 42000000 confirmed cases have been diagnosed in more than 130 countries and territories, resulting in approximately 1150000 deaths.<sup>1</sup> COVID-19 has led to over 100 countries enforcing social distancing to reduce the rate of COVID-19 transmission, commonly called 'lockdown'.<sup>2</sup> The severity of lockdown has varied from country to country,

## Summary box

#### What is already known?

COVID-19-related lockdowns have affected people's physical activity (PA) and sedentary behaviour (SB).

#### What are the new findings?

- ► The majority of studies show that PA levels decreased during the COVID-19 lockdown across all reviewed populations, except for eating disorder patients.
- The majority of studies show that SB levels increased.
- Public health strategies should include the promotion of PA and effective guidance on how to decrease SB during a lockdown, especially in populations with medical conditions that are improved by PA, such as type 1 and type 2 diabetes.

even region to region, with some countries limiting the distance people could travel from their homes, and some banning any unnecessary outdoor activity.<sup>2</sup> These lockdowns have impacted people's work, education, travel and recreation, and subsequent levels of physical activity (PA) and sedentary behaviours (SB).<sup>3</sup>

PA can be defined as any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscle that results in energy expenditure,<sup>4</sup> and can include exercising, walking, gardening and doing household chores. Research shows that PA is positively associated with several desirable outcomes, including social contentedness,<sup>5</sup> physical health<sup>6</sup> and mental health.<sup>78</sup> Specific to COVID-19, PA has been shown to improve physical and mental health and has been suggested to provide protective elements against COVID-19.<sup>9-11</sup> Furthermore, it has been reported that the COVID-19 lockdown yielded decreases in PA,<sup>12</sup> however, the literature has not been systematically reviewed to date.

SB can be defined as any waking behaviour with an energy expenditure of ≤1.5 Metabolic

BMJ



1

Equivalents (METs) while in a sitting or reclining posture,<sup>13</sup> including watching TV, video gaming and computer use. The literature has shown SB to be negatively associated with physical, mental health and social outcomes.<sup>14 15</sup> Specific to COVID-19, it has been reported that periods of enforced quarantine can yield increases in SB,<sup>9–13 16</sup> however, this has not been systematically assessed to date in the context of the COVID-19 lockdown.

Understanding the changes in PA and SB behaviours during lockdown is important not only for health outcomes associated with these behaviours, but also for aiding development of public health interventions in specific populations (such as PA promotion and interventions to decrease SB) should another lockdown be enforced, a similar pandemic scenario and/or during the return to 'normal life'.<sup>17</sup> The aim of this study, therefore, was to conduct a comprehensive systematic review on changes in all reported PA and SB behaviours during versus before the COVID-19 pandemic lockdown, stratifying between adults and children, and special populations.

#### **METHODS**

The current systematic review followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.<sup>18</sup> Details of the full protocol for this systematic review were registered on PROSPERO (protocol number: CRD42020193065).

#### Search strategy

Electronic databases were searched from November 2019 to June 2020 including PubMed, EMBASE, PsycINFO, CINAHL, Social Science Citation Index, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, SPORTDiscus and Scopus. Grey literature was searched by entering terms into OpenGrey. Search terms were as follows:

COVID-19 OR "Novel Coronavirus" OR "2019 novel coronavirus" OR 2019-nCoV OR SARS-CoV-2

AND isolation OR lock\* OR self-isolation AND

"Physical activity" OR exercise OR walking OR running OR cycling OR swimming OR sports OR sedentary OR "sedentary behaviour" OR activity OR "screen time" OR sitting.

Full information on database-specific search strategies can be found in online supplemental table 1.

Results of the searches were included in a bibliographic database and duplicates removed. Titles and abstracts of the retrieved studies were screened for inclusion by two reviewers independently (SS and MT), and then the full text of all potentially eligible papers was reviewed independently by the same reviewers before making a final decision on eligibility. Any discrepancies were discussed until a decision was achieved. A third senior reviewer acted as an adjudicator if a decision was not reached (LS).

#### Study inclusion and exclusion

Studies were included if they met the following criteria: (1) observational cross-sectional, prospective or retrospective cohort studies (2) that investigate any form of PA and/or SB (as defined by the authors) (3) in any population (healthy or with a specific disease condition) (4) before and during the COVID-19 Lockdown (5) in any setting. Published articles that had received ethical approval from an ethics committee and were written in English were included. Studies were excluded if they were not observational in design (eg, qualitative, primary randomised controlled trials, primary case series, editorials or commentaries or study protocols). Furthermore, the rapid publication of studies related to COVID-19 meant many bypassed the typical institutional ethical approval process; therefore, studies were excluded if they failed to explicitly include an explicit statement stating that institutional ethical approval was received. If no ethical approval was in the manuscript, corresponding authors were contacted to establish if institutional approval had been granted. If no reply was received, or institutional ethics was confirmed as being not obtained, these studies were excluded (see online supplemental table 2).

#### **Primary outcomes**

The coprimary outcomes were changes in PA and/or SB captured via device-based measures or self-report tools.

#### **Data extraction**

Data were extracted by two reviewers (SS and MT) independently including: first author, year, country, aims of the study, type of the study (pretest and post-test, crosssectional, cohort), descriptions of the lockdown by the authors for the respective location of data collection, number of participants, participant characteristics (eg, age, sex), inclusion criteria, type of recruitment, type and definition of PA and SB investigated, type of measurement of PA and SB, confounding variables, acknowledged limitations by authors and authors conclusions, other/ notes. A third reviewer (LS) was available to resolve any discrepancies. The data was synthesised in a narrative approach.

#### **Quality assessment**

Risk of bias was assessed by two independent reviewers (SS and MT) using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS),<sup>19</sup> later adapted for cross-sectional studies.<sup>20</sup> A third reviewer (LS) was available to resolve any inconsistences. There are three parts in which studies are assessed and stars awarded: (1) selection (max. 5 stars)—representativeness of the sample, sample size, non-respondents and ascertainment of the exposure (risk factor); (2) comparability (max. 2 stars)—participants in different outcome groups are comparable; (3) outcome (max. 3 stars)—assessment of outcome, and statistical test. Scores can range from 0 to 10 stars, with higher scores indicating better quality research.

### RESULTS

After initial screening, 187 studies were eligible for fulltext review. From these, 66 studies<sup>12 21-84</sup> were eligible for inclusion. The PRISMA flow chart is shown in online supplemental figure 1. Full study characteristics can be found in online supplemental table 3. The 66 included studies yielded a total of 86981 participants and the age ranged from 13 to 86 years old. Regarding specific populations, forty-five studies were conducted on healthy adults (four in specifically elite athletes and five in university students), and six studies in healthy children. Regarding populations with medical conditions, two studies were conducted on adult women with eating disorders, two respective studies with adult participants with type 1 and types 2 diabetes, one respective study with adult participants with 'chronic medical conditions', one study on heart failure patients, one study on neuromuscular disease, one study on obesity clinic patients, one study on participants with a 'perceived risk' of severe COVID-19 symptoms, one study on pregnant women and one study reported on children with obesity. The mean NOS score of the included studies was 4.8 (SD=1.0; range 3-7;). For detailed NOS scoring, see online supplemental table 4.

#### PA in healthy adults

Forty-five studies examined PA changes in healthy adults, with only four studies<sup>31 75 80 85</sup> using device-based measures of PA. The remaining 41 studies used subjective questionnaires, and in 30 studies these questionnaires were not previously validated. The majority of studies (26/45) reported PA changes in the form of time (eg, METS/min/week, mins/day or steps/day), with the remaining studies reporting PA changes as a percentage of the respective population (see online supplemental table 5).

Of the studies that measured PA change in the form of time spent on PA, all but one study<sup>70</sup> reported overall decreases in the amount of PA pre-COVID-19 versus post-COVID-19 lockdown. When stratifying across different forms of PA, two studies<sup>27 28</sup> reported increases in time spent in 'leisure-time PA' and one study<sup>85</sup> reported increases in time spent in 'endurance training' in elite cyclists, although total PA still decreased in all three studies. All other studies reported time spent in all subtypes of PA-for example, light, moderate, vigorous and walking-(if specified) decreased. Of the studies that measured PA changes as a percentage of the respective populations, eight studies<sup>29 33 38 53 55 59 67 81</sup> reported that >50% of the examined population decreased PA during lockdown, with all other studies reporting >50%of the examined population's PA either stayed the same or decreased. For further information, see online supplemental table 5.

#### PA in healthy children and adolescents

Of the six studies that examined PA changes in healthy children and adolescents, all were measured using subjective questionnaires, with half using validated questionnaires. Two studies<sup>48 84</sup> used total scores from

validated questionnaires and two studies<sup>65 82</sup> reported PA changes in the form of a time measurement, all reporting decreases in PA. Two studies<sup>62 71</sup> reported PA changes as a percentage of the respective population and reported >50% of the population decreased their PA during lockdown.

#### PA in adults and children with medical conditions

Thirteen studies examined populations with medical conditions for which all but one study,<sup>80</sup> used subjective measurements of PA change, and in only 6/12 were these previously validated measurement tools. Regarding the types of changes reported, nine studies<sup>23 26 36 40 43 44 72 80 86</sup> reported changes in time spent in PA, all reporting decreases in PA time. The remaining four studies<sup>34 66 69 74</sup> reported PA changes as a percentage of respective populations, with all reporting >50% of the population decreasing their PA during lockdown.

#### SB in healthy adults

Of the 26 studies examined changes in SBs, 18 were conducted in healthy adults. All studies used subjective questionnaires and validated questionnaires were used in six. Studies reported changes in SB as either time spent on SB or as a percentage of the sample. The majority of studies (13/18) reported SB changes in the form of time spent, with the remaining studies reporting SB changes as a percentage of the respective population. Increased SB was reported in all 26 studies. For further information, see online supplemental table 6.

#### SB in healthy children and adolescents

Of the five studies that measured changes in SB in children and adolescents, three studies<sup>42 62 65</sup> used non-validated questionaries and the remaining two studies<sup>71 82</sup> used validated questionaries. Time spent in SB was reported in 3/5 studies, with the remaining two studies reporting changes in SB as a percentage of their respective populations. All five studies reported increases in SB.

#### SB in adults and children with medical conditions

All of the three<sup>26 43 86</sup> studies that measured changes in SB in special populations used non-validated questionnaires, and reported that time spent in SB increased during the lockdown.

#### DISCUSSION

The current systematic review of 66 studies demonstrated that the majority of studies found that PA declined and SB increased during the COVID-19 pandemic lockdown, regardless of the subpopulation or the methodology used. In healthy adults and children, PA during lock-down decreased compared with prelockdown, despite various government organisations and health or exercise practitioners providing guidance on how to stay active during the pandemic and in self-quarantine.<sup>87–89</sup> When stratifying between prelockdown PA levels, three studies found that people who were more active prelockdown were more likely to show larger decreases in PA.<sup>37 49 67</sup>

PA has also been consistently linked with several mental health conditions, suggesting that decreases in PA may lead to increases in undesirable mental health outcomes. Indeed, studies have shown significant increases in anxiety and depression levels during the lockdown.<sup>10</sup> Given that decreases in PA have been shown to yield negative affect, increases in anxiety and lower energy levels,<sup>39</sup> PA promotion during lockdowns should be aimed not just as people who are currently sedentary, but also for those with high PA levels outside of lockdown. Due to the likelihood of further COVID-19-related restrictions (or another similar pandemic), the promotion of digital based PA (such as PA apps, online video fitness classes or physical training) is recommended. Digital based PA yielded favourable results during the first COVID-19 lockdown, with studies showing positive associations with such digital based initiatives and overall PA during a lockdown.<sup>8</sup>

Another finding of this review was that participants who had medical conditions also yielded decreases in PA levels, except for patients with an eating disorder. The decreases in PA is particularly concerning as in several of the medical conditions studied because PA can be a form of treatment or symptom alleviation. For example, levels of PA have been shown to be positively associated with quality of life outcomes in both type 1 and type 2 diabetes.<sup>90 91</sup> Concurrently, increases in SB have been shown to yield detrimental outcomes in patients with these conditions, except for patients with eating disorder.<sup>92 93</sup> Given these added risks of decreasing PA and increasing SB in these special populations, PA promotion and strategies to reduce SB should be implemented should further lockdowns occur. Moreover, practitioners working with these groups should be especially mindful of the detriment that decreasing PA and increasing SB could yield during lockdowns and make the monitoring of PA levels a priority. Patients with eating disorders were found to increase their PA, specifically exercise, during lockdowns. This is equally concerning as there is often pathological relationship between eating disorders and exercise and can lead to increased risks of physical complications such as stress fractures.<sup>94</sup> Therefore, practitioners working with patients with eating disorders are advised to keep closely monitoring patients as much as possible during future lockdowns.

There were also large decreases in both the training volume and training intensity of elite athletes while in lockdown, which has led to relative decreases in sport-specific physical performance tests post-lockdown.<sup>85</sup> This decrease in athletic readiness for competition should be noted and considered by practitioners who are working with elite athletes, especially regarding training loads and competition scheduling postlockdown.

According to the behavioural change wheel, for a behaviour—for example, PA or SB—to occur, there are three components that are required: capability (psychological and physical), opportunity (physical and social) and motivation (reflective and automatic).<sup>95</sup> Despite information on safe exercise during lockdown being

available from exercise professionals and some governments (psychological capability), it is not clear from the included studies the reasons why people did or did not engage in PA; however, we can speculate potential reasons for these findings. A reduction in PA is expected as lockdowns required that governments closed sport and leisure facilities, group activities were suspended, and in many countries limits were in place for time spent outdoors.<sup>96</sup> This potentially meant people's regular PA routines were difficult to continue with during lockdown, as indicated by the evidence stating that people considerably changed their modes of PA during lockdown.<sup>97</sup> For example, one study found that all types of PA decreased except for 'moderate intensity leisure-time PA' (such as housework and gardening) increased,<sup>26</sup> another found that 'yard work' increased,<sup>77</sup> and another found that 'housework' increased during lockdown.<sup>82</sup> However, despite these mode-specific increases, total PA levels in these respective populations still decreased. This suggests that promoting increases in house-related PA may not be sufficient to increase total PA during lockdowns.

There was also an increase in the number of people working from home during lockdown,<sup>98</sup> consequently, PA ordinarily accumulated during commuting will have substantially decreased. A previous study found that adults in the UK (mean age 50.5 years) accumulated 195 min/ week ( $\pm$ 188.6) of active travel.<sup>99</sup> Those who actively commute report significantly greater total PA than those who do not, despite no significant differences in recreational PA shown.<sup>99 100</sup> In addition, with schools closed, many parents were balancing home schooling, while working from home themselves; in the UK, this was the case for 85% of employees with school-aged children.<sup>101</sup> A decrease in opportunities to be active and additional responsibilities may have led to a decrease in PA.

The majority of the studies in this review showed increases in SB during lockdown. This is unsurprising as many people worked from home, leading to extended sedentary periods and increased screen time.<sup>102</sup> <sup>103</sup> For instance, de Haas *et al*<sup>104</sup> reported that 44% of Dutch workers had either started to work from home or increased their home working hours, with 30% reporting increases in remote meetings (eg, via videoconferencing). In addition, with most gyms, leisure and sporting facilities closed, time allowed outdoors limited or not allowed, some people may have found it difficult to be active during the lockdown.<sup>3</sup> <sup>105</sup> With increased 'free' time, many may resort to engaging in pastimes such as reading, playing video games and watching television (TV), many of which are sedentary.<sup>67</sup>

Given that the majority of studies reported a decrease in PA with a concurrent increase in SB during the lockdown, and the impact of these on physical and mental health, it is recommended that interventions or policies are implemented to increase PA (eg, body weight homeworkouts, using online exercise classes, walking, running and cycling outdoors) and decrease SB (eg, by using a standing desk and taking regular breaks from sitting) should further lockdowns be enforced in the future. In addition, interventions for PA and/or SB postlockdown should consider that individuals may suffer deconditioning as a result of the lockdowns.

Many of the included studies used surveys to gather information about 'exercise', 'PA, 'sport' and 'training' but failed to report on how these terms were defined to participants. Future studies should report these definitions for clarity and comparison to be made more easily between studies. This lack of definition may mean that despite 'exercise' and 'training' decreasing, changes in daily PA may be different in these studies. Monbiot<sup>106</sup> reports volunteers providing food packages, collecting medical supplies for the elderly, providing childcare for those in need, meaning they potentially accumulate similar or more 'activity' than they realise as it is not prescribed 'exercise' or 'training'.

It is important to note different degrees of lockdown in different countries, even regions within a country, across different dates occurred, making it difficult to quantify the severity of a lockdown and therefore challenging to objectively assess how this impacted behaviours. For instance, those in countries that were able to exercise outdoors following social distancing guidelines may have engaged differently in PA/SB behaviours to those who were not able to leave home, despite both countries being in 'lockdown'. Although the authors have presented the lockdown descriptions for each included study as reported by the authors, these description vary greatly in detail, making it challenging to categorise them into 'levels' of lockdown. The creation of a scale to indicate lockdown severity would be highly beneficial for comparisons to be made between countries when investigating different behaviours, or at the very least it is recommended that this type of information is reported in all future studies. Moreover, within countries some people are given specific guidance (eg, shielding) which requires more intensive lockdown than the general populationnone of the included studies recorded this information. It may be beneficial to know participants adherence to lockdown guidelines to provide an indication of potential opportunity to engage in PA. Most studies also report PA without investigating in detail the types, intensities and durations of PA engaged in before and during lockdown, thus, it would be beneficial to investigate these as the magnitude of changes will impact the effects on health.

#### Limitations

While this systematic review is the first to our knowledge to assess changes in the frequency and modes of PA and SB preockdown versus during the COVID-19 lockdown, the findings should be considered within the limitations of the study. First, the tools used to measure PA and SB were highly heterogeneous, making direct comparison of respective results difficult. Second, demographic information was largely limited, meaning that we were unable to assess any further changes according to demographics further than the discussed topics, which would have given more insight into the review. In addition, the vast majority of studies were based on subjective questionnaires, which carry with them inherent limitations.<sup>107</sup> Moreover, many studies asked participants retrospectively about their prelockdown behaviours and their current behaviours during lockdown, thus, the accuracy of participants abilities to accurately recall their behaviours may be questionable. Lastly, most of the studies included were not designed to be nationally representative, making the generalisation of these results difficult.

Future research in this area should focus on yielding directly comparable data using validated PA and SB questionnaires or using objective accelerometer data where possible. In addition, it would be beneficial to have more detailed demographic information, information on the severity of lockdown and participant adherence to lockdown guidelines, and more detailed information on PA behaviours, for instance, the types, intensities and duration of PA before and during lockdown. Future research should also consider investigating the magnitude of the decrease in PA and increases in SB across different populations during the lockdown to aid the identification of populations most in need of targeted interventions. Lastly, future research should consider investigating the reasons why people are showing changes in PA and/or SB. Using behavioural change theory to assess barriers and facilitators to PA/SB during lockdowns would be highly beneficial in the creation of future interventions and policies should lockdowns occur in the future.

#### CONCLUSION

During the COVID-19 lockdown, PA levels have significantly reduced with concurrent increases in SB. Considering the evidence of favourable outcomes of higher levels of PA and lower levels of SB in both physical and mental health outcomes, and the emerging evidence that exercise can yield favourable COVID-19 outcomes, it is recommended that public health officials promote ways of increasing PA and reducing SB should further lockdowns occur, especially in populations with medical conditions that are improved by PA, such as type 1 and type 2 diabetes. Interventions designed for postlockdown should also consider that individuals may suffer from deconditioning during the lockdown period, especially in athletic populations and people with medical conditions.

#### Author affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Cambridge Centre for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Anglia Ruskin University -Cambridge Campus, Cambridge, UK

<sup>2</sup>Vision and Eye Research Institute, Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge, UK <sup>3</sup>Institute of Mental Health Sciences, School of Health Sciences, University of Ulster, Newtownabbey, UK

<sup>4</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea

<sup>5</sup>Anglia Ruskin University - Cambridge Campus, Cambridge, UK

<sup>6</sup>Faculty of Science and Engineering, Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge, UK <sup>7</sup>Department of Sports Methods and Techniques, Federal University of Santa Maria, Santa Maria, Brazil

#### Twitter Mike Trott @trottsumo

**Contributors** SS and MT: conceptualised the article, extracted and screened data, quality reviewed data, wrote the manuscript. MT, JS, YB, LB, DM and FS: wrote and reviewed manuscript. LS: conceptualised the article, wrote and reviewed manuscript.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### **ORCID** iDs

Mike Trott http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5978-3407 Lee Smith http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5340-9833

#### REFERENCES

- World Health Organization. WHO coronovirus Didease (COVID-19) Dashboard. Available: https://covid19.who.int [Accessed 2 Jul 2020].
- 2 British Broadcasting Corporation. Coronavirus: the world in lockdown in maps and charts, 2020. Available: https://www.bbc.co. uk/news/world-52103747 [Accessed 12 Aug 2020].
- 3 Hossain MM, Sultana A, Purohit N. Mental health outcomes of quarantine and isolation for infection prevention: a systematic umbrella review of the global evidence. *SSRN Journal*;19.
- 4 Caspersen CJ, Powell KE, Christenson GM. Physical activity, exercise, and physical fitness: definitions and distinctions for health-related research. *Public Health Rep* 1985;100:126.
- 5 Bazaco MC, Pereira MA, Wisniewski SR, *et al.* Is there a relationship between perceived neighborhood Contentedness and physical activity in young men and women. *J Urban Health* 2016;93:940–52.
- 6 Pedersen BK, Saltin B. Exercise as medicine evidence for prescribing exercise as therapy in 26 different chronic diseases. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2015;25(Suppl 3):1–72.
- 7 Schuch FB, Vancampfort D, Firth J, et al. Physical activity and incident depression: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Am J Psychiatry* 2018;175:631–48.
- 8 Schuch FB, Stubbs B, Meyer J, *et al.* Physical activity protects from incident anxiety: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Depress Anxiety* 2019;36:846–58.
- 9 Jacob L, Tully MA, Barnett Y. The relationship between physical activity and mental health in a sample of the UK public: a crosssectional study during the implementation of COVID-19 social distancing measures. *Ment Health Phys Act* 2020;100345.
- 10 Schuch FB, Bulzing RA, Meyer J, et al. Associations of moderate to vigorous physical activity and sedentary behavior with depressive and anxiety symptoms in self-isolating people during the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional survey in Brazil. *Psychiatry Res* 2020;292:113339.
- 11 Simpson RJ, Katsanis E. The immunological case for staying active during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Brain Behav Immun* 2020;87:6–7.
- 12 Gallè F, Sabella EA, Da Molin G, et al. Understanding knowledge and behaviors related to CoViD–19 epidemic in Italian undergraduate students: the EPICO study. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:3481.
- 13 Tremblay MS, LeBlanc AG, Kho ME, et al. Systematic review of sedentary behaviour and health indicators in school-aged children and youth. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2011;8:98.
- Cunningham C, O' Sullivan R, Caserotti P, et al. Consequences of physical inactivity in older adults: a systematic review of reviews and meta-analyses. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2020;30:816–27.
   Thorp AA, Owen N, Neuhaus M, et al. Sedentary behaviors and
- 15 Thorp AA, Owen N, Neuhaus M, et al. Sedentary behaviors and subsequent health outcomes in adults a systematic review of longitudinal studies, 1996-2011. Am J Prev Med 2011;41:207–15.
- 16 Meyer J, McDowell C, Lansing J. Changes in physical activity and sedentary behaviour due to the COVID-19 outbreak and

associations with mental health in 3,052 US adults. *Cambridge Open Engage* 2020.

- 17 Sallis JF, Adlakha D, Oyeyemi A, et al. An international physical activity and public health research agenda to inform coronavirus disease-2019 policies and practices. J Sport Health Sci 2020;9:328–34.
- 18 Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. PLoS Med 2009;6:e1000100.
- 19 Wells GA, Shea B, O'connell D. The Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in metaanalyses. Ottawa, ON: Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, 2009.
- 20 Modesti PA, Reboldi G, Cappuccio FP, et al. Panethnic differences in blood pressure in Europe: a systematic review and metaanalysis. PLoS One 2016;11:e0147601.
- 21 Ammar A, Chtourou H, Boukhris O, et al. COVID-19 home confinement negatively impacts social participation and life satisfaction: a worldwide multicenter study. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:6237.
- 22 Asiamah N, Opuni FF, Mends-Brew E, et al. Short-Term changes in behaviors resulting from COVID-19-Related social isolation and their influences on mental health in Ghana. *Community Ment Health* J 2021;57:79–92.
- 23 Assaloni R, Pellino VC, Puci MV, *et al.* Coronavirus disease (Covid-19): how does the exercise practice in active people with type 1 diabetes change? A preliminary survey. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2020;166:108297.
- 24 Barrea L, Pugliese G, Framondi L, et al. Does Sars-Cov-2 threaten our dreams? Effect of quarantine on sleep quality and body mass index. J Transl Med 2020;18:318.
- 25 Barwais FA. Physical activity at home during the COVID-19 pandemic in the two Most-affected cities in Saudi Arabia. Open Public Health J 2020;13:470–6.
- 26 Biviá-Roig G, La Rosa VL, Gómez-Tébar M, et al. Analysis of the impact of the confinement resulting from covid-19 on the lifestyle and psychological wellbeing of Spanish pregnant women: an Internet-based cross-sectional survey. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:5933–14.
- 27 Bourdas DI, Zacharakis ED. Impact of COVID-19 Lockdown on physical activity in a sample of Greek adults. Sports 2020;8. doi:10.3390/sports8100139. [Epub ahead of print: 21 Oct 2020].
- 28 Bourdas DI, Zacharakis ED. Evolution of changes in physical activity over lockdown time: physical activity datasets of four independent adult sample groups corresponding to each of the last four of the six COVID-19 lockdown weeks in Greece. *Data Brief* 2020;32:106301.
- 29 Bowes A, Lomax L, Piasecki J. The impact of the COVID-19 lockdown on elite sportswomen. *Managing Sport and Leisure*;48:1–17.
- 30 Branley-Bell D, Talbot CV. Exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and UK lockdown on individuals with experience of eating disorders. J Eat Disord 2020;8:44.
- 31 Buoite Stella A, Ajčević M, Furlanis G, et al. Smart technology for physical activity and health assessment during COVID-19 lockdown. J Sports Med Phys Fitness 2020. doi:10.23736/S0022-4707.20.11373-2. [Epub ahead of print: 22 Oct 2020].
- 32 Callow DD, Arnold-Nedimala NA, Jordan LS, et al. The mental health benefits of physical activity in older adults survive the COVID-19 pandemic. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 2020;28:1046–57.
- 33 Cancello R, Soranna D, Zambra G, et al. Determinants of the lifestyle changes during COVID-19 pandemic in the residents of northern Italy. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:6287.
- 34 Caruso I, Di Molfetta S, Guarini F, et al. Reduction of hypoglycaemia, lifestyle modifications and psychological distress during lockdown following SARS-CoV-2 outbreak in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*;13.
- 35 Castañeda-Babarro A, Arbillaga-Exarri A, Gutiérrez-Santamaría B, et al. Physical activity change during COVID-19 confinement. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:6878.
- 36 Castellini G, Cassioli E, Rossi E, et al. The impact of COVID -19 epidemic on eating disorders: A longitudinal observation of pre versus post psychopathological features in a sample of patients with eating disorders and a group of healthy controls. Int J Eat Disord 2020;53:1855–62.
- 37 Constandt B, Thibaut E, De Bosscher V, et al. Exercising in times of Lockdown: an analysis of the impact of COVID-19 on levels and patterns of exercise among adults in Belgium. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:4144.
- 38 Constant A, Conserve DF, Gallopel-Morvan K, et al. Socio-Cognitive factors associated with lifestyle changes in response to

# 9

#### **Open access**

the COVID-19 epidemic in the general population: results from a cross-sectional study in France. *Front Psychol* 2020;11:579460.

- 39 Di Corrado D, Magnano P, Muzii B, et al. Effects of social distancing on psychological state and physical activity routines during the COVID-19 pandemic. Sport Sci Health 2020;16:619–24.
- 40 Di Stefano V, Battaglia G, Giustino V, et al. Significant reduction of physical activity in patients with neuromuscular disease during COVID-19 pandemic: the long-term consequences of quarantine. J Neurol 2021;268:20–6.
- 41 Đogaš Z, Lušić Kalcina L, Pavlinac Dodig I, et al. The effect of COVID-19 lockdown on lifestyle and mood in Croatian general population: a cross-sectional study. Croat Med J 2020;61:309–18.
- 42 Dutta K, Mukherjee R, Sen D. Effect of COVID-19 lockdown on sleep behavior and screen exposure time: an observational study among Indian school children. *Biol Rhythm Res* 2020;21:1–12.
- 43 Eiran-Barak R, Mozeikov M. One month into the reinforcement of social distancing due to the COVID-19 outbreak: subjective health, health behaviors, and loneliness among people with chronic medical conditions. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:5403.
- 44 Endstrasser F, Braito M, Linser M, *et al*. The negative impact of the COVID-19 lockdown on pain and physical function in patients with end-stage hip or knee osteoarthritis. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2020;28:2435–43.
- 45 Ernstsen L, Havnen A. Mental health and sleep disturbances in physically active adults during the COVID-19 lockdown in Norway: does change in physical activity level matter? *Sleep Med* 2020. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2020.08.030. [Epub ahead of print: 05 Sep 2020].
- 46 Gallè F, Sabella EA, Ferracuti S, et al. Sedentary behaviors and physical activity of Italian undergraduate students during Lockdown at the time of CoViD–19 pandemic. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:6171–11.
- 47 Gallo LA, Gallo TF, Young SL, et al. The impact of isolation measures due to COVID-19 on energy intake and physical activity levels in Australian university students. *Nutrients* 2020;12:1865.
- 48 Gilic B, Ostojic L, Corluka M, et al. Contextualizing Parental/ Familial influence on physical activity in adolescents before and during COVID-19 pandemic: a prospective analysis. *Children* 2020;7:125–14.
- 49 Giustino V, Parroco AM, Gennaro A, *et al*. Physical activity levels and related energy expenditure during COVID-19 quarantine among the Sicilian active population: a cross-sectional online survey study. *Sustainability* 2020;12:4356.
- 50 He M, Xian Y, Lv X, et al. Changes in body weight, physical activity, and lifestyle during the Semi-lockdown period after the outbreak of COVID-19 in China: an online survey. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep* 2020:1–6.
- 51 Husain W, Ashkanani F. Does COVID-19 change dietary habits and lifestyle behaviours in Kuwait: a community-based cross-sectional study. *Environ Health Prev Med* 2020;25:61.
- 52 Ingram J, Maciejewski G, Hand CJ. Changes in diet, sleep, and physical activity are associated with differences in negative mood during COVID-19 Lockdown. *Front Psychol* 2020;11:588604.
- 53 Karuc J, Sorić M, Radman I, et al. Moderators of change in physical activity levels during restrictions due to COVID-19 pandemic in young urban adults. Sustainability 2020;12:6392.
- 54 Knell G, Robertson MC, Dooley EE, et al. Health behavior changes during covid-19 pandemic and subsequent "stay-at-home" orders. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:6268–16.
- 55 Kriaucioniene V, Bagdonaviciene L, Rodríguez-Pérez C, et al. Associations between changes in health behaviours and body weight during the covid-19 quarantine in Lithuania: the Lithuanian covidiet study. *Nutrients* 2020;12:3119–9.
- 56 López-Bueno R, Calatayud J, Andersen LL, et al. Immediate impact of the COVID-19 confinement on physical activity levels in Spanish adults. Sustainability 2020;12:5708.
- 57 López-Bueno R, Calatayud J, Casaña J, *et al*. COVID-19 confinement and health risk behaviors in Spain. *Front Psychol* 2020;11:1426.
- 58 Majumdar P, Biswas A, Sahu S. COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown: cause of sleep disruption, depression, somatic pain, and increased screen exposure of office workers and students of India. *Chronobiol Int* 2020;37:1191–200.
- 59 Mandelkorn U, Genzer S, Choshen-Hillel S, et al. Escalation of sleep disturbances amid the COVID-19 pandemic: a crosssectional International study. J Clin Sleep Med 2021;17:45–53.
- 60 Maugeri G, Castrogiovanni P, Battaglia G, et al. The impact of physical activity on psychological health during Covid-19 pandemic in Italy. *Heliyon* 2020;6:e04315.
- 61 Meyer J, McDowell C, Lansing J, *et al*. Changes in physical activity and sedentary behavior in response to COVID-19 and their

associations with mental health in 3052 us adults. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020;17:6469.

- 62 Mitra R, Moore SA, Gillespie M, *et al.* Healthy movement behaviours in children and youth during the COVID-19 pandemic: exploring the role of the neighbourhood environment. *Health Place* 2020;65:102418.
- 63 Mon-López D, de la Rubia Riaza A, Hontoria Galán M, *et al*. The impact of Covid-19 and the effect of psychological factors on training conditions of Handball players. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020;17:6471.
- 64 Mon-López D, Bernardez-Vilaboa R, Fernandez-Balbuena AA, et al. The influence of COVID-19 isolation on physical activity habits and its relationship with convergence insufficiency. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:1–9.
- 65 Munasinghe S, Sperandei S, Freebairn L, et al. The impact of physical distancing policies during the COVID-19 pandemic on health and well-being among Australian adolescents. J Adolesc Health 2020;67:653–61.
- 66 Pellegrini M, Ponzo V, Rosato R, et al. Changes in Weight and Nutritional Habits in Adults with Obesity during the "Lockdown" Period Caused by the COVID-19 Virus Emergency. Nutrients 2020;12:2016.
- 67 Pillay L, Janse van Rensburg DCC, Jansen van Rensburg A, et al. Nowhere to hide: the significant impact of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) measures on elite and semi-elite South African athletes. J Sci Med Sport 2020;23:670–9.
- 68 Robinson E, Boyland E, Chisholm A, et al. Obesity, eating behavior and physical activity during COVID-19 lockdown: a study of UK adults. Appetite 2021;156:104853.
- 69 Rogers NT, Waterlow NR, Brindle H, *et al.* Behavioral change towards reduced intensity physical activity is disproportionately prevalent among adults with serious health issues or Self-Perception of high risk during the UK COVID-19 Lockdown. *Front Public Health* 2020;8:575091.
- 70 Romero-Blanco C, Rodríguez-Almagro J, Onieva-Zafra MD, et al. Physical activity and sedentary lifestyle in university students: changes during confinement due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:6567.
- 71 Ruíz-Roso MB, de Carvalho Padilha P, Matilla-Escalante DC, et al. Changes of physical activity and Ultra-Processed food consumption in adolescents from different countries during Covid-19 pandemic: an observational study. *Nutrients* 2020;12:2289.
- 72 Ruiz-Roso MB, Knott-Torcal C, Matilla-Escalante DC, et al. COVID-19 Lockdown and changes of the dietary pattern and physical activity habits in a cohort of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Nutrients* 2020;12:2327.
- 73 Sánchez-Sánchez E, Ramírez-Vargas G, Avellaneda-López Y, et al. Eating habits and physical activity of the Spanish population during the covid-19 pandemic period. *Nutrients* 2020;12:2826–12.
- 74 Sankar P, Ahmed WN, Mariam Koshy V, et al. Effects of COVID-19 lockdown on type 2 diabetes, lifestyle and psychosocial health: a hospital-based cross-sectional survey from South India. *Diabetes Metab Syndr* 2020;14:1815–9.
- 75 Sañudo B, Fennell C, Sánchez-Oliver AJ. Objectively-assessed physical activity, sedentary behavior, smartphone use, and sleep patterns pre- and during-COVID-19 quarantine in young adults from Spain. *Sustainability* 2020;12:5890.
- 76 Savage MJ, James R, Magistro D, et al. Mental health and movement behaviour during the COVID-19 pandemic in UK university students: prospective cohort study. *Ment Health Phys* Act 2020;19:100357.
- 77 Schlichtiger J, Brunner S, Steffen J, et al. Mental health impairment triggered by the COVID-19 pandemic in a sample population of German students. J Investig Med 2020;68:1394–6.
- 78 Schlichtiger J, Steffen J, Huber BC, et al. Physical activity during COVID-19 lockdown in older adults. J Sports Med Phys Fitness 2020;61.
- 79 Srivastav AK, Sharma N, Samuel AJ. Impact of coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19) lockdown on physical activity and energy expenditure among physiotherapy professionals and students using web-based open E-survey sent through WhatsApp, Facebook and Instagram messengers. *Clin Epidemiol Glob Health* 2021;9:78–84.
- 80 Vetrovsky T, Frybova T, Gant I, et al. The detrimental effect of COVID-19 nationwide quarantine on accelerometer-assessed physical activity of heart failure patients. ESC Heart Fail 2020;7:2093–7.
- 81 Wang X, Lei SM, Le S, et al. Bidirectional influence of the COVID-19 pandemic Lockdowns on health behaviors and quality of life among Chinese adults. Int J Environ Res Public Health

#### **Open access**

2020;17. doi:10.3390/ijerph17155575. [Epub ahead of print: 02 08 2020].

- 82 Yang S, Guo B, Ao L, *et al.* Obesity and activity patterns before and during COVID-19 lockdown among Youths in China. *Clin Obes* 2020;10:e12416.
- 83 Yang Y, Koenigstorfer J. Determinants of physical activity maintenance during the Covid-19 pandemic: a focus on fitness apps. *Transl Behav Med* 2020;10:835–42.
- 84 Zenic N, Taiar R, Gilic B, et al. Levels and changes of physical activity in adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic: Contextualizing urban vs. rural living environment. *Appl Sci* 2020;10:3997.
- 85 Muriel X, Courel-Ibáñez J, Cerezuela-Espejo V, et al. Training load and performance impairments in professional cyclists during COVID-19 Lockdown. Int J Sports Physiol Perform 2020;1:1–4.
- 86 Pietrobelli A, Pecoraro L, Ferruzzi A, et al. Effects of COVID-19 Lockdown on lifestyle behaviors in children with obesity living in Verona, Italy: a longitudinal study. Obesity 2020;28:1382–5.
- 87 American College of Sports Medicine. Staying physically active during the COVID-19 pandemic, 2020. Available: https://www. acsm.org/read-research/newsroom/news-releases/news-detail/ 2020/03/16/staying-physically-active-during-covid-19-pandemic [Accessed 16 Aug 2020].
- 88 World Health Organization. Stay physically active during selfquarantine, 2020. Available: https://www.acsm.org/read-research/ newsroom/news-releases/news-detail/2020/03/16/stayingphysically-active-during-covid-19-pandemic [Accessed 16 Aug 2020].
- 89 Dwyer MJ, Pasini M, De Dominicis S, et al. Physical activity: benefits and challenges during the COVID-19 pandemic. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2020;30:1291–4.
- 90 Çolak TK, Acar G, Dereli EE, et al. Association between the physical activity level and the quality of life of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Phys Ther Sci 2015;28:142–7.
- 91 Mutlu EK, Mutlu C, Taskiran H, et al. Association of physical activity level with depression, anxiety, and quality of life in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 2015;28:1273–8.
- 92 Åman J, Skinner TC, de Beaufort CE, et al. Associations between physical activity, sedentary behavior, and glycemic control in a large cohort of adolescents with type 1 diabetes: the Hvidoere Study Group on childhood diabetes. *Pediatr Diabetes* 2009;10:234–9.
- 93 MacMillan F, Kirk A, Mutrie N, et al. A systematic review of physical activity and sedentary behavior intervention studies in youth with type 1 diabetes: study characteristics, intervention design, and efficacy. *Pediatr Diabetes* 2014;15:175–89.
- 94 Trott M, Jackson SE, Firth J, et al. A comparative meta-analysis of the prevalence of exercise addiction in adults with and without

indicated eating disorders. *Eat Weight Disord* 2020. doi:10.1007/ s40519-019-00842-1. [Epub ahead of print: 01 Jan 2020].

- 95 Michie S, Atkins L, West R. *The behaviour change wheel: a guide to designing interventions*. Great Britain: Silverback Publishing, 2014.
- 96 UK Government. The health protection (coronavirus, business closure) (England) regulations 2020, 2020. Available: https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2020/327/contents/made [Accessed 16 Aug 2020].
- 97 Di Renzo L, Gualtieri P, Pivari F, et al. Eating habits and lifestyle changes during COVID-19 lockdown: an Italian survey. J Transl Med 2020;18:1–15.
- 98 Office for National Statistics. Coronavirus and homeworking in the UK: April 2020, 2020. Available: https://www.ons.gov.uk/employme ntandlabourmarket/peopleinwork/employmentandemployeetypes/ bulletins/coronavirusandhomeworkingintheuk/latest [Accessed 16 Aug 2020].
- 99 Sahlqvist S, Song Y, Ogilvie D. Is active travel associated with greater physical activity? The contribution of commuting and noncommuting active travel to total physical activity in adults. *Prev Med* 2012;55:206–11.
- 100 Foley L, Panter J, Heinen E, *et al.* Changes in active commuting and changes in physical activity in adults: a cohort study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2015;12:161.
- 101 Learnpatch. Research reveals impact of Covid-19 on working practices and remote working, 2020. Available: https://learnpatch. com/2020/05/covid-remote-working/ [Accessed 16 Aug 2020].
- 102 Qin F, Song Y, Nassis GP, *et al.* Prevalence of insufficient physical activity, sedentary screen time and emotional well-being during the early days of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak in China: a national cross-sectional study. *SSRN Journal* 2020.
- 103 Smith L, Jacob L, Trott M, et al. The association between screen time and mental health during COVID-19: a cross sectional study. *Psychiatry Res* 2020;292:113333.
- 104 de Haas M, Faber R, Hamersma M. How COVID-19 and the Dutch 'intelligent lockdown' change activities, work and travel behaviour: Evidence from longitudinal data in the Netherlands. *Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives* 2020;6:100150.
- 105 Ammar A, Brach M, Trabelsi K, et al. Effects of COVID-19 home confinement on eating behaviour and physical activity: results of the ECLB-COVID19 international online survey. *Nutrients* 2020;12:1583.
- 106 Monbiot G. The horror films got it wrong. This virus has turned us into caring neighbours, 2020. Available: https://www.theguardian. com/commentisfree/2020/mar/31/virus-neighbours-covid-19 [Accessed 16 Aug 2020].
- 107 Demetriou C, Ozer BU, Essau CA. Self-report questionnaires. Encyclopedia Clin Psychol 2015:1–6.

#### Supplementary Table 1: Database search strategy

Database	Search terms
Pubmed	((COVID-19[Title/Abstract] OR "Novel Coronavirus"[Title/Abstract] OR "2019 novel coronavirus"[Title/Abstract] OR 2019-nCoV[Title/Abstract] OR SARS-CoV- 2[Title/Abstract]) AND (isolation[Title/Abstract] OR lock*[Title/Abstract] OR self-isolation[Title/Abstract])) AND ("Physical activity"[Title/Abstract] OR exercise[Title/Abstract] OR walking[Title/Abstract] OR running[Title/Abstract] OR cycling[Title/Abstract] OR swimming[Title/Abstract] OR sports[Title/Abstract] OR sedentary[Title/Abstract] OR "sedentary behaviour"[Title/Abstract] OR activity[Title/Abstract] OR "screen time"[Title/Abstract] OR sitting[Title/Abstract])
CINAHL, PSYCinfo and	Title and abstract:
SPORTdiscus (searched via	((COVID-19 OR "Novel Coronavirus" OR "2019 novel coronavirus" OR 2019-nCoV OR SARS-CoV-2) AND (isolation OR lock* OR self-isolation) AND ("Physical
EBSCOHOST)	activity" OR exercise OR walking OR running OR cycling OR swimming OR sports OR sedentary OR "sedentary behaviour" OR activity OR "screen time" OR
	sitting))
Embase (searched via OVID)	Title and abstract:
	((COVID-19 OR Novel Coronavirus OR 2019 novel coronavirus OR 2019-nCoV OR SARS-CoV-2) AND (isolation OR lock* OR self-isolation) AND (Physical
	activity OR exercise OR walking OR running OR cycling OR swimming OR sports OR sedentary OR sedentary behaviour OR activity OR screen time OR
	sitting))
Social Sciences Citation Index	Topic (title, abstract, keyword, and keyword plus)
	((COVID-19 OR "Novel Coronavirus" OR "2019 novel coronavirus" OR 2019-nCoV OR SARS-CoV-2) AND (isolation OR lock* OR self-isolation) AND ("Physical
	activity" OR exercise OR walking OR running OR cycling OR swimming OR sports OR sedentary OR "sedentary behaviour" OR activity OR "screen time" OR
	sitting))
Cochrane	Title, abstract and keyword
	((COVID-19 OR "Novel Coronavirus" OR "2019 novel coronavirus" OR 2019-nCoV OR SARS-CoV-2) AND (isolation OR lock* OR self-isolation) AND ("Physical
	activity" OR exercise OR walking OR running OR cycling OR swimming OR sports OR sedentary OR "sedentary behaviour" OR activity OR "screen time" OR
	sitting))
Scopus	Title, abstract and keyword
	((COVID-19 OR "Novel Coronavirus" OR "2019 novel coronavirus" OR 2019-nCoV OR SARS-CoV-2) AND (isolation OR lock* OR self-isolation) AND ("Physical
	activity" OR exercise OR walking OR running OR cycling OR swimming OR sports OR sedentary OR "sedentary behaviour" OR activity OR "screen time" OR
	sitting))
Opengrey	((COVID-19 OR "Novel Coronavirus" OR "2019 novel coronavirus" OR 2019-nCoV OR SARS-CoV-2) AND (isolation OR lock* OR self-isolation) AND ("Physical
	activity" OR exercise OR walking OR running OR cycling OR swimming OR sports OR sedentary OR "sedentary behaviour" OR activity OR "screen time" OR
	sitting))

#### Supplementary Table 2: Details of excluded studies based on ethical approval

Author	Title	Study Location
Barchetta, et al. (2020)	Effects of work status changes and perceived stress on glycaemic control in individuals with type 1 diabetes during COVID-19 lockdown in Italy.	Italy
Barone et al. (2020)	The impact of COVID-19 on people with diabetes in Brazil	Brazil
Barrea et al (2020)	Does Sars-Cov-2 threaten our dreams? Effect of quarantine on sleep quality and body mass index	Italy
Brand, Timme, and Nosrat, Sanaz (2020)	When Pandemic Hits: Exercise Frequency and Subjective Well-Being During COVID-19 Pandemic	Global - Austria, Brazil, China, Finland, Germany, Greexe, Iceland, Iran, Italy, Malaysia, Phillipines, Russia, Spain, Switzerland, Taiwan, Turkey, UK, USA
Cacioppo et al (2020)	Emerging health challenges for children with physical disabilities and their parents during the COVID-19 pandemic: The ECHO French survey	France
Capaldo et al (2020)	Blood Glucose Control During Lockdown for COVID-19: CGM Metrics in Italian Adults With Type 1 Diabetes.	Italy
Chouchou et al (2020)	The importance of sleep and physical activity on well-being during COVID-19 lockdown: reunion island as a case study.	Reunion Island
Cransac-Miet et al (2020)	Impact of COVID-19 lockdown on lifestyle adherence in stay- at-home patients with chronic coronary syndromes: Towards a time bomb.	France
de Haas, Faber, and Hamersma (2020)	How COVID-19 and the Dutch ,'intelligent lockdown' change activities, work and travel behaviour: Evidence from longitudinal data in the Netherlands	The Netherlands
Di Renzo et al (2020)	Eating habits and lifestyle changes during COVID-19 lockdown: an Italian survey	Italy
Jelaca et al (2020)	A REPORT ON THE IMPACTS OF THE CORONAVIRUS SARS-COV-2 SHELTER-IN-PLACE ORDER" ON FITNESS AND WELL-BEING.	USA
Gornicka et al (2020)	Dietary and Lifestyle Changes During COVID-19 and the Subsequent Lockdowns among Polish Adults: A Cross- Sectional Online Survey PLifeCOVID-19 Study.	Poland
Khader and Jabeen (2020)	A cross sectional study reveals severe disruption in glycemic control in people with diabetes during and after lockdown in India	India
Mutz and Gerke (2020)	Sport and exercise in times of self-quarantine: How Germans changed their behaviour at the beginning of the Covid-19 pandemic	Germany
Pepin et al (2020)	Wearable Activity Trackers for Monitoring Adherence to Home Confinement During the COVID-19 Pandemic Worldwide: Data Aggregation and Analysis.	Global - Australia, Canada, China, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, UK, USA
Radtke et al (2020)	Recommended shielding against COVID-19 impacts physical activity levels in adults with cystic fibrosis	Switzerland

Rastogi and Hiteshi (2020)	Improved glycemic control amongst people with long-standing diabetes during COVID-19 lockdown: a prospective, observational, nested cohort study	India
Sassone et al (2020)	Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Physical Activity in Patients With Implantable Cardioverter-Defibrillators	NR
Verma et al (2020)	Impact of lockdown in COVID 19 on glycemic control in patients with type 1 Diabetes Mellitus	NR
Zhang et al (2020)	Emotional eating in pregnant women during the covid-19 pandemic and its association with dietary intake and gestational weight gain	China

Supplementary Table 3: Characteristics of included studies

Author	Study	Country	Population	Total	Age	Age	Sex %	Physical	Sedentary	Total	Description of lockdown*
	design			participants	Range	Mean	Female	activity	behaviour	NOS	
						(SD)		measurement	measurement	score	
American et el	0	Olahal	A alcolda	1017	40.	ND	52.0	tool	tool	6	Linen e sifie el ferendie ensent
Ammar et al	Cross-	Global	Adults -	1047	18+	NR	53.8	IPAQ	IPAQ	6	Unspecified confinement
(2020)	Crease	Chana	yenerai Adulta	601	10.64		25	Questionnoire	Quastiannaira	F	(The enforcement of appiel
Asiaman et al	Cross-	Gnana	Adults -	621	18-64	NR	35	Questionnaire -	Questionnaire	5	line enforcement of social
(2020)	sectional		General					not validated	- not validated		regione'
											regions
Assaloni et al	Observational	NR	Adults - Type 1	154	NR	44.8	45.50	Godin-Leisure	None	5	'National Quarantine'
(2020)			diabetes			(12.5)		Time Exercise			
								questionnaire			
Barwais	Cross-	Saudi	Adults -	244	18-50	33.8 (7.7)	36.90	IPAQ-SF	None	5	'Imposed a 24-hour curfew on
(2020)	sectional	Arabia	General								the cities of Mecca and Medina,
											with limited exceptions for
											safety and life. All schools and
											universities were also closed,
											international and domestic
											flights were suspended, and
											attendance at workplaces in all
											government and private sector
											businesses was prohibited. In
											addition, all malls, markets,
											restaurants, and gatherings on
											beaches were forbidden [5].
											The KSA also suspended
											sporting activities, events, and
											competitions, including those at
Divi Dain at	0	Onein	A dudte	00		22.4 (4.0)	100	Overstienseine	Owentiereneine	5	Private sports halls and centres
BIVI-Rolg et	Cross-	Spain	Adults -	90	NR	33.1 (4.6)	100	Questionnaire -	Questionnaire	5	Strict confinement
ai (2020)	sectional		Pregnant					not validated	- not validated		
Devertee et el	0	0	women	0.405		27.0 (0.0)	04.7	A attive O	Nama	4	
Bourdas et al	Cross-	Greece	Adults -	8495	NR	37.2 (0.2)	61.7	Active-Q	None	4	Movement outside of the
(2020a)	sectional		General								nouse was permitted only for
											specific reasons, that including
											moving to or from the
											workplace, snopping for food or
											medicine, visiting a doctor or
	1		1		l I		1		1		assisting a person in need for

											help, and exercising outside individually or in pairs.'
Bourdas et al (2020b)	longitudinal observational	Greece	Adults - General	1015	NR	40.33 (0.41)	57.44	Active-Q	NR	6	NR
Bowes et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	Global - (UK94%)	Adults - Elite Sport	95	18-34	NR	100	Questionnaire - not validated	None	5	NR
Branley-Bell et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	UK	Over 16 years of age with experience of an eating disorder	129	16-65	29.27 (38.99)	93.8	Questionnaire - not validated	NR	3	NR
Buoite Stella et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	Italy	Adults - General	400	NR	35 (15)	69	IPAQ-SF and Smartphone accelerometer or consumer activity tracker	None	4	'The Italian government enacted a national lockdown restricting the movement of the population except for necessity, work, and health circumstances. Most of the population stayed at home for most of the time. In particular, outdoor physical activity (PA) was prohibited, while gym and sport clubs were closed as per governmental measures'
Callow et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	USA and Canada	Adults - General	1046	50+	NR	80	Questionnaire - not validated	None	5	NR
Cancello et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	Italy	Adults - General	492	18+	NR	84	Questionnaire - not validated	None	5	'The containment measures limited people leave the house only for urgent needs only such as shopping for foods and serious health reasons and most working subjects converted the habitual occupation into "at home" smart working.'
Caruso et al (2020)	Observational	Italy	Adults - Type 1 diabetes	48	NR	42.4 (15.9)	47.9	Questionnaire - not validated	None	4	'People were not allowed to leave their houses except for urgent necessity, and all non- essential businesses were forced to close with employees

											being either put on furlough or home working.'
Castaneda- Babarro Coca et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	Spain	Adults - General	3800	18-65	42.7 (10.4)	46	IPAQ-SF	IPAQ-SF	3	'a lockdown to restrict travel and cancel non-essential services in order to stop the spread of coronavirus disease'
Castellini et al (2020)	Longitudinal observational	Italy	Adults - FEMALE with Anorexia Nervosa (AN) and Bulimia Nervosa (BN)	171	NR	31.74 (12.76)	100	EDE-Q	None	5	'the national and regional governments imposed a progressively increasing level of isolation, with the final general lockdown on March ninth'
Constandt et al (2020a)	Cross- sectional	Belgium	Adults - General	11763	18-74	NR	47.4	Ordinal question: exercising more, exercising the same, exercising less	Questionnaire - not validated	6	'Schools were closed, and working from home became the new standard whenever possible. Furthermore, citizens were allowed and even encouraged by the government to exercise, but with considerable restrictions.'
Constant et al (2020b)	Cross- sectional	France	Adults - General	4005	NR	NR	55.4	Questionnaire - not validated	Questionnaire - not validated	7	'Nationwide confinement, the restriction of individuals to their homes, was one of the measures enforced in many countries, including France on March 17, 2020'
Di Corrado et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	Italy	Adults - General	367	17-73	33.35 (12.8)	49	Questionnaire - not validated	None	4	'Governments' immediate protective restrictions included full lockdowns of cities, travel, restricted social congregations, including sports events, concerts, restaurants, and the closing of schools and universities'
Di Stefano et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	Sicily	Adults - Neuromuscular Disease	149	NR	57.3 (13.7)	38	IPAQ-SF	None	3	'It is well-known that in this period, due to the restrictive measures adopted by the government, all sports facilities were closed and the practice of outdoor PA in public parks and gardens was forbidden'

Dogas et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	Croatia	Adults - General	3027	NR	Median (IQR) 40 (30-50)	79.7	Online survey - not validated	None	6	'Long-term home confinement and quarantine'
Dutta et al (2020)	Observational	India	Children - General	153	8-16	NR	NR	None	Questionnaire - not validated	4	'Restrictions on various social practices and behaviour. People had to their spend time mostly confined at their homes. School, college, and offices were initially closed and later were partially or fully resumed in virtual platform with the help of electronic devices and Internet facility.'
Elran-Barak and Mozeikov (2020)	Cross- sectional	Israel	Adults - Chronic Medical Conditions	315	NR	NR	60	None	Questionnaire - not validated	3	'Israelis were not allowed to leave their homes unless absolutely necessary, putting a near-lockdown into effect. Essential services—including grocery stores, pharmacies, and banks—remained open, but people were prohibited from venturing more than 100mfrom their homes, apart from under certain circumstances (e.g., stocking up on food and medicine). Non-essential stores were required to close, and parks were to remain shut. People were required not to participate in any social gatherings and to limit face-to- face interactions with individuals outside the immediate household'
Endstrasser et al (2020)	Prospective cohort	Austria	Adults - with end stage osteo arthritis	63	26-86	62.4 (11.84)	44	Tegner activity scale	NR	4	NR
Ernstsen et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	Norway	Adults - General	1281	18-81	48.9 (11.4)	31	Questionnaire - not validated	None	5	'organized sports activities were to be dis-continued and several businesses were closed, including stadiums, gyms and swimming pools'

Galle et al	Cross-	Italy	Adults -	2125	NR	22.5	62.8	Questionnaire -	NA	4	'limits the movement of
(2020a)	sectional		students			(0.08)		not validated			individuals in the whole Italian
											national territory unless strictly
											motivated (in written form) by
											reasons of work or health.
											Shops must stay closed but
											those selling essentials, such
											as supermarkets or pharmacies
											need to ensure a distance of at
											least 1 m between customers.
											Schools, museums, cinemas,
											theatres, and any other social,
											recreational, or cultural centre
											must stay closed. Any
											gathering in public spaces is
											forbidden, including sporting
											events and funerals. At the
											same time, in order to minimize
											the possible side effects of the
											lockdown on health, the Italian
											Ministry of Health issued a
											series of recommendations
											targeted at four rules for
											maintaining a healthy lifestyle:
											correct diet, daily physical
											activity (PA), reduce alcohol
											consumption and no smoking'
Galle et al	Cross-	Italy	Adults -	1430	NR	22.9 (3.5)	65.5	IPAQ	ASBQ	6	'People were allowed to move
(2020b)	sectional		General								only for work or health reasons
											or to buy essentials. Therefore,
											the great part of the Italian
											population was forced to live in
											home-confinement for weeks'
Gallo et al	Longitudinal	Australia	Adults -	149	NR	NR	0	Active Australia	NR	6	'All but essential services were
(2020)	observational		undergraduate					survey			shut down and universities
			students								transitioned all undergraduate
											learning online. By 30 March
											2020, people were only allowed
											to leave their homes for work
											(in an essential service), or to
											purchase food, receive or

											provide medical care, or exercise.
Gilic et al (2020)	Prospective cohort	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Adolescents	688	15-18	17	46.8	PAQ-A	None	4	'measures of social distancing had been imposed, including the closing of schools, sports clubs, fitness centres, and shopping malls, and public gatherings were restricted.'
Giustino et al	Cross-	Italy	Adults -	802	NR	32.27	51	IPAQ	NR	4	NR
(2020)	sectional		General			(12.81)				_	
He et al (2020)	NK	China	Adults - General	339	NK	36.4 (11.9)	0	Questionnaire - not validated	None	5	Chinese New Year celebrations were cancelled, collective activities, bus and railway service was suspended, and factories and restaurants were closed. Curfew and quarantine measures were implemented in many mainland cities. The flow of people was controlled by allowing only 1 person from each household to go out to buy necessities every 2-3 d.'
Husain and Ashkanani (2020)	Cross- sectional	Kuwait	Adults - General	415	18-73	38.47 (12.73)	68.7	Questionnaire - not validated	Questionnaire - not validated	5	Kuwait imposed a partial nationwide curfew on the 22nd of March 2020 until further notice. The government then imposed a total lockdown from the 10th to the 31st of May 2020.
Ingram et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	Scotland	Adults - General	399	18-72	32.4 (11.4)	56.4	Questionnaire - not validated	None	4	'Scotland was under strict lockdown conditions where leaving the house was allowed for necessary work, to shop for essentials, and for unrestricted exercise.'
Karuc et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	Croatia	Adults - General	59	NR	21.6 (0.4)	100	SHAPES	None	5	'the Croatian Government adopted measures to restrict gathering in public places and parks,

											suspend public transportation, and close institutions. Besides all social gatherings, work in retail and services including sports activities were prohibited'
Knell et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	USA	Adults - General	1809	NR	NR	67.4	IPAQ	None	4	'The specific of these initiatives varied by state, but they generally included advisories to stay home, bans on large gatherings, restricted access to parks and community resources, closure of schools and non-essential businesses, and quarantine orders'
Kriaucioniene et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	Lithuania	Adults - General	2447	NR	NR	87.8	Questionnaire - not validated	None	5	'the Lithuanian Government decided to declare quarantine from 16 to 30 March [2]. This was extended several times and ended on 16 June. All public indoor and outdoor gatherings were prohibited. Educational institutions began to work remotely. Shops excluding grocery shops and pharmacies were closed. Restaurants and bars were also closed, leaving the option for food takeaway'
Lopez-Bueno et al (2020a)	Cross- sectional	Spain	Adults - General	2042	NR	35.9(13.6)	54.1	PAVS short form	None	4	'Government-enacted national confinement - During the confinement period, the Spanish population had to stay at home'
Lopez-Bueno et al (2020b)	Cross- sectional	Spain	Adults - General	1591	NR	34.2 (13)	51.8	Questionnaire - not validated	Questionnaire - not validated	5	'Confinement measures to minimize the propagation of the virus'
Majumdar et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	India	Adults - office workers	203	NR	33.1 (7.11)	18.22	None	Questionnaire - not validated	4	

			Adults -	325	NR	22.1	60.92	None	Questionnaire		'Home confinement as a
			undergraduate			(1.66)			<ul> <li>not validated</li> </ul>		measure to mitigate disease
			students								outbreak
Mandelkorn	Cross-	Various (49	Adults -	2562	NR	45.18	68.18	Questionnaire -	None	4	NR
et al (2020)	sectional	countries)	General			(14.46)		not validated		_	
Maugeri et al	Cross-	Italy	Adults -	2524	NR	NR	56.4	IPAQ-SF	None	5	'movement of the population,
(2020)	sectional		General								schools, public places and
			Young adults	346	<21	NR	NR				businesses were shutdowns.
			(<21yrs)								Moreover, people can move
			Young adult	1178	21-40	NR	NR				away from their home only to
			(21-40)								do essential work (healthcare
			Adults aged	704	41-60	NR	NR				and social care sectors, police
			41-60								and armed forces, firefighting,
			Adults aged	296	60+	NR	NR				water and electricity supply) or
			60+								perform essential activities
											(health visits, purchasing
											medicines or food).'
Meyer et al	Cross-	USA	Adults -	3052	18-	NR	62	Questionnaire -	Questionnaire	5	'Social isolation' and 'stay at
(2020)	sectional		General		75+			not validated	<ul> <li>not validated</li> </ul>		home isolation'
Mitra et al	Retrospective	Canada	Children 5-17	1472	5-17	NR	NR	Questionnaire -	Questionnaire	4	'maintaining physical distance
(2020)	cohort		Children 5-11	693	5-11	NR	NR	not validated	<ul> <li>not validated</li> </ul>		from others by two or more
			Youth 12-17	779	12-17	NR	NR				metres (except those living in
											the same household),
											prohibiting social gatherings,
											cancelling team sports and
											related events, and closing
											playgrounds and parks (in
											some jurisdictions) (Govt. of
											Canada, 2020; The Canadian
											Urban Institute, 2020). Most
											public schools and school
											grounds were closed across the
											country in response to the
											pandemic and classroom
											lessons were replaced by
											home-schooling and online
											learning.'
Mon-Lopez	Cross-	Spain	Adults -	187	NR	NR	35.3	Questionnaire -	NR	6	'Specifically, in handball, the
et al (2020a)	sectional		professional					not validated			last matches in Spain were
			handball								played on 7–8 March 2020, and
			players								all handball players had to
			-								remain in their respective

											houses at least until 4 May 2020 (almost eight weeks).'
Mon-Lopez et al (2020b)	Cross- sectional	Spain	Adults - General	120	NR	36.65 (13.61)	50	IPAQ	Questionnaire - not validated	5	'Home confinement as a measure to mitigate disease outbreak'
Munasinghe et al (2020)	Longitudinal observational	Australia	Adolescents	464	13-19	NR	NR	Questionnaire - not validated	Questionnaire - not validated	5	'One of the key strategies to reduce the rate of infection has been physical distancing and, for school- aged children, a move to the online delivery of schooling. Authorities requested that people remain in their homes wherever possible and limit their travel to obtaining essential goods and services.'
Muriel et al (2020)	Longitudinal observational	Spain	Adults - professional cyclists	18	NR	24.9 (2.8)	0	Objective data collection - specialist software	None	6	NR
Pellegrini et al (2020)	Retrospective observational	Italy	Adults - patients from obesity clinic	150	NR	47.9 (16)	77.3	Questionnaire - not validated		4	'People had to stay at home and were only allowed to go out to buy food or for health reasons; all working activities were suspended or turned into smart working at home, except for essential activities (health workers, food supply and sale, cleaning of cities, and police, etc.).'
Pietrobelli et al. (2020)	Cross- sectional	Italy	Children with obesity	41	Jun- 18	13 (3.1)	46.3	Subjective answers from telephone interview	Subjective answers from telephone interview	7	'In Italy who by mandate had to remain in their homes during the "lockdown". Lockdown confinement'
Pillay, L et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	South Africa	Adults - elite athletes	692	NR	NR	33	Questionnaire - not validated	Questionnaire - not validated	6	'In South Africa, level 5 lockdown measures were enforced from 26 March to 30 April (5 weeks). Only essential services, travel and shopping were allowed and exercise outside individual property boundaries was not allowed'

Robinson et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	UK	Adults - General	2002	NR	34.74 (12.3)	61.7	Questionnaire - not validated	Questionnaire - not validated	4	'Formal social lockdown measures to restrict the spread of the virus.'
Rogers et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	UK	Adults - perceived 'at risk' of severe COVID outcomes	9190	35-69	NR	78	NR	NR	6	'Everyone must stay in their homes unless (i) shopping for essentials such as food and medicine, (ii) requiring medical assistance, (iii) caring for vulnerable people, (iv) traveling to and from work if absolutely necessary and (v) to carry out one form of exercise (e.g. walking, running, cycling) each day, either alone or with people who live together. Some adults aged 70 and over and those with specific underlying health conditions including asthma, heart disease, diabetes, and being seriously overweight were also advised to follow stricter social isolation recommendations.'
Romero- Blanco et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	Spain	Adults - undergraduate students	213	NR	20.5 (4.56)	80.8	IPAQ-SF	IPAQ-SF	5	'Being confined to their homes'
Ruiz-Roso et al (2020a)	Cross- sectional	Spain	Adults - T2D	102	45-77	63	51.4	IPAQ	IPAQ	6	NR
Ruiz-Roso et al (2020b)	Cross- sectional	Brazil, Chile, Columbia, Spain, Italy	Adolescents - General	726	16-19	NR	59.6	IPAQ	NR	5	NR
Sanchez- Sanchez et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	Spain	Adults - General	1065	NR	38.7 (12.4)	72.8	Questionnaire - not validated	NR	4	'Restrictive measures and house confinement'
Sankar et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	India	Adults - T2D	110	NR	58.67 (10.8)	61.8	Questionnaire - not validated	NR	3	'confined to remain indoors'
Sanudo et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	Spain	Adults - General	20	20-36	22.6 (3.4)	47	IPAQ and pedometer	IPAQ	6	'Social-distancing and home quarantine'
Savage et al (2020)	Longitudinal prospective	UK	Adults - students	214	NR	NR	72	Exercise Vital Sign (EVS) questionnaire	Questionnaire - not validated	6	'People were required to stay at home, except for essential activities

											(i.e. to shop for necessities and exercise outside once per day).'
Schlichtiger et al (2020a)	Cross- sectional	Germany	Adults - students	1943	NR	23.3 (4.0)	70.7	Questionnaire - not validated	NR	4	'People were obliged to only leave their accommodations for essential occupational requirements or to ensure household supplies.'
Schlichtiger et al (2020b)	Cross- sectional	Germany	Adults - General	110	NR	66 (10)	71	PAQ 50+	NR	5	NR
Srivastav et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	India	Adults - General	143	NR	23.9	NR	IPAQ - SF	IPAQ - SF	6	NR
Vetrovsky et al (2020)	Longitudinal observational	NR	Adults - heart failure patients	26	NR	58.8 (9.8)	44.44	Accelerometery (Garmin wristwatch)	NR	4	'Prohibited movement in public spaces except under special circumstances, which included travelling to and from work and necessary journeys to procure food and supplies; notably, going outside for a walk in a park or the countryside was allowed.'
Wang et al (2020)	Cross- sectional	China	Adults - General	2289	NR	27.5 (12)	48.6	Questionnaire - not validated	Questionnaire - not validated	5	'community-wide lockdowns, home quarantines, working- from-home, social distancing, and the prohibition of social gatherings'
Yang et al (2020a)	Retrospective	China	Adolescents - high school students	2824	NR	17.5 (1.2)	76	IPAQ	IPAQ	5	NR
			Adults - undergraduate students	7024	NR	20.6 (1.8)	70				
			Adults - Graduate students	234	NR	24.6 (3.5)	70.9				
			Adults - general	10082	NR	19.8 (2.3)	NR	]			
Yang et al (2020b)	Longitudinal observational	USA	Adults - General	431	NR	39.1 (10.6)	49	IPAQ	IPAQ	5	'Stay-at-home policies; closure of gyms; reduced access to outdoor sport facilities; and home office regulation'
Zenic et al (2020)	Longitudinal prospective	Croatia	Adolescents	823	NR	NR	NR	Physical Activity	NR	5	NR

								Questionnaire				
								for Adolescents				
NR = Not Reported; IPA	AQ, International Physica	Activity Questionnaire	IPAQ-SF = International P	hysical Activity Questio	nnaire Short-F	orm; EDE-Q = Eatin	g Disorder Exar	nination Questionnaire; PA	Q-A = Physical Activity	Questionnaire -	Adolescents; SHAPES = School Health Action, Pla	nning, and Evaluation

Systems questionnaire; PAVS = Physical Activity Vital Sign; PAQ 50+ = Physical Activity Questionnaire for 50+ \*Description of lockdown as reported by respective study authors

Author	Representativeness	Sample	Non-	Ascertainment	Comparability	Assessment of	Statistics	Total
	of sample	Size	respondents	of the exposure		the outcome		'stars'
Ammar <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	6
Asiamah <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	5
Assaloni et al (2020)	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	5
Barwais (2020)	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	5
Bivi-Roig et al (2020)	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	4
Bourdas <i>et al</i> (2020a)	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	6
Bourdas <i>et al</i> (2020b)	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	5
Bowes et al (2020)	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
Branley-Bell et al (2020)	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	4
Buoite Stella et al (2020)	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	5
Callow <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	5
Cancello <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	4
Caruso <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
Castaneda-Babarro Coca et al (2020)	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	5
Castellini <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	6
Constandt <i>et al</i> (2020a)	1	1	0	1	2	1	1	7
Constant et al (2020b)	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	4
Di Corrado <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
Di Stefano et al (2020)	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	6
Dogas <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	4
Dutta <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
Elran-Barak and Mozeikov (2020)	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	4
Endstrasser et al (2020)	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	5
Ernstsen et al (2020)	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	4
Galle et al (2020a)	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	6
Galle <i>et al</i> (2020b)	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	6
Gallo <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	4
Gilic et al (2020)	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	4

Supplementary Table 4: NOS Scores for all included studies (range: 0-10 stars, with higher scores indicating better quality research)

RMI	Onen	Sn	Fr	Med	
DIVIJ	Open	SP	$L\lambda$	meu	

Giustino et al (2020)	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	5
He <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	5
Husain and Ashkanani (2020)	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	4
Ingram <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	5
Karuc <i>et al</i> (2020)	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	4
Knell <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	5
Kriaucioniene <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	4
Lopez-Bueno <i>et al</i> (2020a)	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Lopez-Bueno et al (2020b)	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	4
Majumdar <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	4
Mandelkorn <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	5
Maugeri <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	5
Meyer <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	4
Mitra <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	6
Mon-Lopez <i>et al</i> (2020a)	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Mon-Lopez et al (2020b)	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	5
Munasinghe et al (2020)	1	0	1	2	1	1	1	7
Muriel <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	6
Pellegrini <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	4
Pietrobelli et al (2020)	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	4
Pillay, L <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	1	0	2	0	2	1	7
Robinson <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	6
Rogers et al (2020)	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	4
Romero-Blanco et al (2020)	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	6
Ruiz-Roso <i>et al</i> (2020a)	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	5
Ruiz-Roso <i>et al</i> (2020b)	1	0	1	2	0	1	1	6
Sanchez-Sanchez et al (2020)	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	4
Sankar <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
Sanudo et al (2020)	1	0	0	2	0	2	1	6
Savage et al (2020)	1	0	1	2	0	1	1	6
Schlichtiger <i>et al</i> (2020a)	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	4
h								

Schlichtiger et al (2020b)	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	5
Srivastav <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	6
Vetrovsky <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	4
Wang <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	5
Yang <i>et al</i> (2020a)	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	5
Yang <i>et al</i> (2020b)	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	5
Zenic <i>et al</i> (2020)	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	5

#### Supplementary Table 5. Physical activity pre and during lockdown

Author	PA type and units of measurement	PA Pre-Lockdown Mean (SD)	PA During Lockdown Mean (SD)	Change in PA	P value (if applicable)
Ammar et al	All PA				
(2020)	Days/Week	5.0 (2.5)	3.8 (2.8)	- 24.0%	< 0.001
	Min/Week	108.0 (114.2)	71.8 (88.2)	- 33.5%	< 0.001
	MET values	2192.6 (3300.7)	1360.2 (2545.2)	- 38.0%	< 0.001
	Vigorous PA				
	Days/Week	2.0 (2.1)	1.5 (2.0)	- 22.7%	< 0.001
	Min/Week	38.7 (57.1)	26.0 (47.8)	- 33.1%	< 0.001
	MET values	1168 (2468.7)	737.2 (1844.5)	- 36.9%	< 0.001
	Moderate PA				
	Days/Week	1.8 (2.1)	1.36 (2.0)	- 24.0%	< 0.001
	Min/Week	32.1 (49.0)	21.4 (37.3)	- 33.4%	< 0.001
	MET values	446.4 (920.2)	291.5 (772.7)	- 34.7%	< 0.001
	Walking				
	Days/Week	3.6 (2.6)	2.3 (2.5)	- 35.0%	< 0.001
	Min/Week	37.2 (46.8)	24.6 (34.1)	- 34.0%	< 0.001
	MET values	578.3 (917.1)	331.4 (640.2)	- 42.7%	< 0.001
Asiamah et al	Moderate PA - % participants	NR		NR	NR
(2020)	No time lost/week		20%		
(2020)	1-30 min lost/week		7.2%		
	30-59 min lost/week		11.8%		
	1-3hrs lost/week		28.0%		
	4-6hrs lost/week		12.1%		
	>6hrs lost/week		20.9%		
	Vigorous PA - % participants				
	No time lost/week		29.6%		
	1-30 min lost/week		10.5%		
	30-59 min lost/week		23.2%		
	1-3hrs lost/week		25.4%		
	4-6hrs lost/week		5.6%		
	>6hrs lost/week		5.6%		
Assaloni et al	Exercise				
(2020)	Godin Scale score	38.6 (1.7)	25.0 (1.7)	- 13.6	< 0.001
· · · · · /	Minutes per day	66 (42)	38 (31)	- 28	< 0.001

	Steps	12606 (5026)	4760 (3145)	- 7846	< 0.001
	% participants				
	Exercise alone	36.4%	82.5%	+ 46.1%	NR
	No exercise	9.1%	17.5%	+ 8.4%	
Barwais (2020)	Physical Activity – MET-min/week				
	All participants	903 (755.6)	387 (397.8)	- 516	< 0.001
	Males	951 (740.5)	398 (413.1)	- 553	< 0.001
	Females	818 (77.5)	368 (369.9)	-450	< 0.01
Bivi-Roig et al	Vigorous PA				
(2020) <sup>b</sup>	Days/week	2 (3)	0 (2)	- 2	0.001
	Min/day	60 (70)	0 (30)	- 60	< 0.001
	Moderate PA				
	Days/week	3 (3)	3 (3.5)	0	0.009
	Min/day	60 (80)	60 (60)	0	< 0.001
	Walking				
	Days/week	7 (2)	3 (6)	- 4	< 0.001
	Min/day	90 (60)	30 (60)	- 60	< 0.001
D					
Bourdas et al	PA phase 1 lockdown – ME I -min/week				
(2020a) <sup>2</sup>	(All participante)				
	(All participants)	4726 20 (124 08)	1045 24 (00 22)	2701	< 0.05
	Transportation RA	4730.30 (124.08)	714 21 (20.89)	- 2791	< 0.05
		(309.00(30.00))	714.21 (30.00)	+ 1002 00	< 0.05
	Sporting activities PA	0241.27(197.07) 2511.02(192.70)	2406 07 (194 54)	1105	< 0.05
		15 799 35 (345 60)	12 401 78 (304 26)	- 3397 6	< 0.05
		10,739.00 (040.00)	12,401.70 (304.20)	- 3337.0	< 0.05
	(Males)				
	Daily occupation PA	5389.69 (207.55)	2310.97 (157.29)	- 3078.7	< 0.05
	Transportation PA	1533.87 (63.91)	885.12 (56.04)	- 648.75	< 0.05
	Leisure time activities PA	5844.67 (291.60)	6962.63 (324.27)	+ 1117.96	< 0.05
	Sporting activities PA	4711.57 (347.93)	2759.22 (223.91)	- 1952.4	< 0.05
	Overall PA	17,479.80 (559.02)	12,917.95 (479.92)	- 4561.9	< 0.05
	(Females)				
	Daily occupation PA	4252.13 (148.71)	1674.41 (104.26)	- 2577.7	< 0.05
	Transportation PA	1143.87 (45.03)	587.56 (33.22)	- 556.31	< 0.05

Leisure time activities PA	6535,15 (267,42)	7611.38 (243.56)	+ 1076.23	< 0.05
Sporting activities PA	2622 99 (178 12)	2145.96 (274.78)	- 477 03	> 0.05
Overall PA	14 554 14 (429 60)	12 019 31 (392 19)	- 2534 8	< 0.05
	1,00111 (120.00)	12,010.01 (002.10)	2001.0	0.00
PA phase 2 lockdown - MET-min/week				
(All participants)	4215.07 (78.41)	1968.05 (58.68)	- 2247	< 0.05
Daily occupation PA	1218.20 (23.71)	697.59 (19.92)	- 520.61	< 0.05
Transportation PA	6097.88 (127.67)	7422.19 (132.92)	+ 1324.31	< 0.05
Leisure time activities PA	2763.87 (151.89)	2215.42 (134.19)	- 548.45	< 0.05
Sporting activities PA	14,295.02 (248.35)	12,303.25 (234.23)	- 1991.8	< 0.05
Overall PA		, , ,		
(Males)				
Daily occupation PA	5177.27 (182.93)	2711.06 (153.70)	- 2466.2	< 0.05
Transportation PA	1314.24 (50.73)	841.68 (45.25)	- 472.56	< 0.05
Leisure time activities PA	6062.08 (289.05)	7198.64 (282.94)	+ 1136.56	< 0.05
Sporting activities PA	3849.72 (529.94)	2809.8 (509.74)	- 1039.9	< 0.05
Overall PA	16,403.31 (695.56)	13,561.17 (722.59)	- 2842.1	< 0.05
(Females)				
Daily occupation PA	3933.68 (84.90)	1750.77 (60.11)	- 2182.9	< 0.05
Transportation PA	1190.11 (26.79)	655.45 (21.99)	- 534.66	< 0.05
Leisure time activities PA	6108.35 (141.75)	7487.56 (150.56)	+ 1379.21	< 0.05
Sporting activities PA	2446.32 (119.58)	2041.59 (88.39)	- 404.73	< 0.05
Overall PA	13,678.46 (246.46)	11,935.38 (216.14)	- 1743.1	< 0.05
PA phase 3 lockdown – MET-min/week				
(All participants)	400 4 04 (400 50)	00 40 04 (00 47)	1005.0	
Daily occupation PA	4284.91 (106.59)	2349.61 (92.47)	- 1935.3	< 0.05
Transportation PA	1254.93 (34.68)	852.65 (31.60)	- 402.28	< 0.05
Leisure time activities PA	7007.04 (212.80)	8133.13 (205.16)	+ 1126.09	< 0.05
Sporting activities PA	2328.27 (144.66)	1901.51 (99.98)	- 426.76	< 0.05
Overall PA	14,875.14 (328.38)	13,236.89 (284.78)	- 1638.3	< 0.05
(Males)	4906 22 (217 89)	2676 84 (184 48)	2120 F	< 0.05
	4000.32 (217.88)	20/0.01 (101.48)	- 2129.5	< 0.05
	14/7.38 (77.31)	996.89 (70.51)	- 480.49	< 0.05
Leisure time activities PA	7075.62 (458.93)	7247.03 (411.29)	+ 1/1.41	> 0.05
Sporting activities PA	3404.49 (278.21)	2823.46 (248.08)	- 581.03	< 0.05
Overall PA	16,763.82 (722.40)	13,744.19 (632.52)	- 3019.6	< 0.05

ockdown – MET-min/week ipants)				< 0.05
ipants)	1			
upation PA ation PA me activities PA activities PA A upation PA ation PA me activities PA activities PA A upation PA ation PA me activities PA activities PA activities PA activities PA	4328.27 (123.68) 1149.50 (36.35) 6767.79 (206.00) 1820.42 (141.94) 14,065.98 (335.14) 5477.33 (294.99) 1370.91 (84.69) 7248.37 (511.78) 2437.01 (350.79) 16,533.62 (912.29) 3940.03 (128.76) 1074.70 (38.94) 6605.41 (214.51) 1612.08 (147.68) 13.232 22 (319.70)	2174.39 (98.25) 812.57 (34.21) 8622.59 (212.36) 1749.32 (171.66) 13,358.87 (326.99) 2699.65 (227.52) 1008.81 (79.34) 8009.67 (479.28) 2561.05 (338.68) 14,279.18 (756.51) 1996.91 (105.84) 746.27 (36.77) 8829.68 (233.13) 1475.05 (198.13) 13.047 91 (354.58)	- 2153.9 - 336.93 + 1854.8 - 71.1 - 707.11 - 2777.7 - 362.1 + 761.3 + 124.04 - 2254.4 - 1943.1 - 328.43 + 2224.27 - 137.03 - 184.31	< 0.05 < 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05 > 0.05
tional PA – MET-min/week pants	4502.7 (41.5) 5232.3 (72.8)	2119.4 (32.1) 2552.2 (58.8)	- 2383.3 - 2680.1	< 0.05 < 0.05
on PA – MET-min/week pants	4049.6 (48.9) 1277.7 (12.7) 1442.3 (22.3)	1850.6 (36.7) 751.6 (10.7) 888.3 (19.4)	- 2199.0 - 526.1 - 554.0	< 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05
	pation PA ation PA ne activities PA ctivities PA ation PA ne activities PA ctivities PA ctivities PA ctivities PA ational PA – MET-min/week ants	pation PA $5477.33 (294.99)$ ation PA $1370.91 (84.69)$ ne activities PA $7248.37 (511.78)$ ctivities PA $2437.01 (350.79)$ ne activities PA $2633.62 (912.29)$ pation PA $3940.03 (128.76)$ ne activities PA $6605.41 (214.51)$ ne activities PA $6605.41 (214.51)$ ne activities PA $1612.08 (147.68)$ nants $4502.7 (41.5)$ $5232.3 (72.8)$ $4049.6 (48.9)$ n PA – MET-min/week $4049.6 (48.9)$	pation PA ation PA the activities PA $5477.33 (294.99)$ $1370.91 (84.69)$ $2699.65 (227.52)$ $1008.81 (79.34)$ $8009.67 (479.28)$ $2561.05 (338.68)$ $14,279.18 (756.51)$ pation PA ation PA ation PA $3940.03 (128.76)$ $1074.70 (38.94)$ $1996.91 (105.84)$ $746.27 (36.77)$ $8829.68 (233.13)$ $14,279.1 (350.5 (198.13))$ $13,232.22 (319.70)$ itional PA - MET-min/week ants $4502.7 (41.5)$ $5232.3 (72.8)$ $4049.6 (48.9)$ $2119.4 (32.1)$ $2552.2 (58.8)$ $1850.6 (36.7)$	pation PA ation PA toin PA $5477.33 (294.99)$ $1370.91 (84.69)$ $2699.65 (227.52)$ $1008.81 (79.34)$ $-2777.7$ $-362.1$ he activities PA ctivities PA $\Lambda$ $7248.37 (511.78)$ $2437.01 (350.79)$ $16,533.62 (912.29)$ $8009.67 (479.28)$ $14,279.18 (756.51)$ $+761.3$ $+124.04$ $-2254.4$ pation PA ation PA he activities PA $3940.03 (128.76)$ $1074.70 (38.94)$ $6605.41 (214.51)$ $1996.91 (105.84)$ $746.27 (36.77)$ $-328.43$ $-1943.1$ $-328.43$ pation PA ation PA he activities PA (ctivities PA toin PA he activities PA (ctivities PA he activities PA toin PA he activities PA (ctivities PA he activities PA he activities PA he activities PA he activities PA he activities PA (ctivities PA he activities

	All participants	6266.6 (69.3)	7445.7 (70.2)	+ 1179.1	< 0.05
	Males	6186.7 (117.3)	7125.8 (118.0)	+ 939.1	< 0.05
	Females	6316.2 (85.5)	7644.3 (87.0)	+1328.1	< 0.05
	Sporting PA – MET-min/week				
	All participants	3114.3 (75.5)	2369.0 (68.8)	- 745.3	< 0.05
	Males	4227.1 (166.4)	2874.4 (149.2)	- 1352.7	< 0.05
	Females	2423.0 (63.8)	2055.1 (61.7)	- 367.9	< 0.05
	Total PA – MET-min/week				
	All participants	15160.6 (128.6)	12685.7 (120.0)	- 2474.9	< 0.05
	Males	17088.2 (244.6)	13440.6 (237.4)	- 3647.6	< 0.05
	Females	13963.2 (140.2)	12216.7 (126.6)	- 1746.5	< 0.05
	(Age class)				
	18-29 years old	14406 (212.3)	12230.7 (226.3)	- 2175.3	< 0.05
	30-49 years old	15668.9 (209.7)	12894.9 (168.0)	- 2774.0	< 0.05
	50-59 years old	15833.7 (284.0)	13449.1 (248.4)	- 2384.6	< 0.05
	60-69 years old	14402.3 (547.4)	11682.2 (596.0)	- 2720.1	< 0.05
	70 + years old	12364.3 (1535.2)	8472.6 (949.4)	- 3891.7	< 0.05
	(BMI class)				
	Underweight (BMI <18.5)	16626.9 (946.2)	14106.3 (1053.3)	- 2520.6	< 0.05
	Acceptable weight (BMI = 18.5-24.9)	15288.2 (174.0)	12720.7 (165.5)	- 2567.5	< 0.05
	Overweight (BMI = 25.0-29.9)	15022.1 (208.9)	12552.6 (196.4)	- 2469.5	< 0.05
	Obese (BMI ≥ 30.0)	14389.2 (412.7)	12381.8 (284.9)	- 2607.4	< 0.05
	(Baseline PA level)				
	Inactive (0 MET-min/week)	10792.0 (170.7)	10477.3 (175.6)	- 314.7	> 0.05
	Low PA (0-499 MET-min/week)	10204.5 (179.0)	10446.0 (224.8)	+ 241.5	> 0.05
	Moderate PA (500-1000 MET-min/week)	10993.4 (184.2)	10691.1 (203.8)	- 302.3	> 0.05
	High PA (>1000 MET-min/week)	18876.3 (202.9)	14472.9 (193.3)	- 4403.4	< 0.05
Bowes et al	Quantity of training - % participants	NR		NR	NR
(2020)	Increased volume		5.7%		
	Decreased volume		75.7%		
	Same volume		17.1%		
	Other		1.4%		
Branley-Bell et al	Change in PA - % participants	NR		NR	NR
(2020)	Much less PA		27.9%		
	Moderately less PA		11.6%		
	Slightly less PA		10.1%		

	No change		10.1%		
	Slightly more PA		12.4%		
	Moderately more PA		10.1%		
	Much more PA		14.0%		
Buoite Stella et al	Daily step count				
(2020)	All participants	8284 (4390)	3294 (3994)	- 4990	< 0.001
	People working as usual	11045 (5710)	5043 (3289)	- 6002	< 0.001
	People at home	7700 (3832)	2924 (4040)	- 4776	< 0.001
	People involved in structured PA	8520 (4565)	3139 (3237)	- 5381	< 0.001
	People not involved in structured PA	7961 (4147)	3505 (4854)	- 4456	< 0.001
	IPAQ-SF (MET)				
	All participants	3101 (3815)	1839 (2254)	- 1262	< 0.001
	People working as usual	2763 (2906)	1732 (2099)	- 1031	0.001
	People at home	3170 (3975)	1861 (2287)	- 1309	< 0.001
	People involved in structured PA	3478 (3661)	1767 (2041)	- 1711	< 0.001
	People not involved in structured PA	2674 (3949)	1920 (2477)	-754	0.004
Calley at al	DA 0/ noticipante			ND	ND
Callow et al	PA - % participants	NR	14.00/	NR	NR
(2020)	Semewhat lawer		14.2%		
	About the serve		23.4%		
	About the same		35.7%		
	Somewhat greater		15.3%		
	Much greater		9.0%		
Cancello et al	PA - % participants	NR		NR	NR
(2020)	Unchanged		15.0%		
(/	More than usual		22.0%		
	No activity		28.0%		
	Less than usual		35.0%		
	(Active before lockdown)				
	Increased		18.0%		
	Decreased		50.0%		
	Unchanged		14.0%		
	Sedentary		18.0%		
	(Inactive before lockdown)				
	Increased		27.0%		
	Decreased		0%		
	Unchanged		73.0%		

	Sedentary		0%		
Caruso et al (2020)	PA - % participants Increased Unchanged Decreased	NR	18.7% 16.6% 64.6%	NR	NR

				1	1
Castaneda-	vigorous PA – time (min/day)		100 (101)	07	
Babarro Coca et	All participants	219 (196)	182 (184)	- 37	< 0.001
al (2020)	Women	1/5 (1/6)	159 (174)	- 16	< 0.001
	Men	256 (204)	202 (190)	- 54	< 0.001
	Workers	212.1 (189.9)	177.3 (179.4)	- 34.8	< 0.001
	Students	295.5 (221.0)	223.7 (199.1)	- 71.8	< 0.001
	Study-work	223.6 (196.8)	193.2 (195.2)	- 30.4	< 0.001
	Nothing	213.9 (228.4)	179.6 (201.1)	- 34.3	0.013
	(age categories)				
	18-24 years old	300 (206.6)	246 (189.1)	- 54	< 0.001
	25-34 years old	244 (197.9)	201 (193.6)	- 43	< 0.001
	35-44 years old	209 (189.9)	175 (174.5)	- 34	< 0.001
	45-54 years old	202 (184.4)	171 (183.3)	- 31	< 0.001
	55-65 years old	199 (126)	155 (186.1)	- 44	< 0.001
	(Moderate PA categories)				
	0-150 min/week	187 (176 6)	160 (168 3)	- 27	< 0.001
	150-300 min/week	234 (178.8)	196 (182 3)	- 38	< 0.001
	300 450 min/week	204 (170.0)	243 (210 8)	- 50	< 0.001
	>450 min/week	400 (267 1)	202 (242 5)	117	< 0.001
	>450 mm/week	409 (207.1)	292 (245.5)	- 117	< 0.001
	(Vizerous DA esteroriza)				< 0.001
	(Vigorous PA categories)	10 (00 7)	74 (404 7)		10.001
		16 (23.7)	71 (124.7)	+ 55	< 0.001
	75-150 min/week	115 (21.6)	125 (116.2)	+ 10	< 0.05
	150-225 min/week	188 (16.4)	172 (134.2)	- 16	< 0.01
	>225 min/week	400 (170.7)	278 (203)	- 122	< 0.001
	Moderate PA – time (min/day)				
	All participants	149 (174)	145 (170)	- 4	0.102
	Women	133 (160)	144 (159)	+ 11	< 0.05
	Men	163 (185)	145 (179)	- 18	< 0.001
	Workers	143 (169.2)	142.2 (170.6)	- 0.8	0.811
	Students	171.1 (191.8)	143.5 (157.1)	- 27.6	< 0.05
	Study-work	157.4 (177.1)	144 (160.6)	- 13.4	0.141
	Nothing	198 (208.8)	184.6 (190.4)	- 13.4	0.316
	(age categories)				
	18-24 years old	180 (197.3)	149 (154.6)	- 31	< 0.05
	25-34 years old	139 (150.3)	145 (159.4)	+ 6	0.345
	35-44 vears old	141 (176.6)	140 (173.5)	- 1	0.830
L	J	· · · /	1 /	I	L

55-65 years old       169 (191.7)       162 (164.3)       -7       0.405         (Moderate PA categories)       49 (50.5)       91 (199.8)       +42       < 0.001         160-300 min/week       226 (45.2)       197 (156.4)       -28       < 0.001         300-450 min/week       286 (39)       278 (204.7)       -8       0.709         -450 min/week       643 (146.2)       370 (286.1)       -2.28       < 0.001         (Vigorous PA categories)       -7       127 (153.9)       + 2.6       < 0.001         -75 min/week       101 (138.1)       127 (153.9)       + 2.6       < 0.001         225 min/week       136 (146.)       128 (156.6)       -8       0.231         >225 min/week       136 (269)       171 (193.7)       -2.5       < 0.001         Walking - time (min/day)       -       -       -       -       -         Mu       286 (247)       110 (160.1)       -155       < 0.001         Women       266 (247)       110 (179.6)       -166       < 0.001         Workers       293 (246.2)       97 (161.1)       -155       < 0.001         Study-work       293 (242.2)       97 (161.1)       -152       < 0.001         Study-work		45-54 years old	150 (172 7)	142 (170 7)	- 8	0 121
Internation         Internation         Internation         Internation         Internation           (Moderate PA categories)         49 (50.5)         91 (190.6)         + 42         < 0.001		55-65 years old	169 (191 7)	162 (184 3)	- 7	0 405
(Moderate PA categories)         49 (50.5)         91 (199.6)         +42         <0.001           150 cold mini/week         225 (45.2)         197 (156.4)         -28         <0.001				102 (101.0)		0.100
Understand         49 (50.5)         91 (199.6)         + 42         < 0.001           150-300 min/week         226 (45.2)         197 (156.4)         -28         < 0.001		(Moderate PA categories)				
150-30 min/weak       225 (22)       197 (156.4)       -28       -0.001         300-450 min/weak       286 (39)       278 (204.7)       -8       0.709         -450 min/weak       643 (146.2)       370 (266.1)       -28       0.001         (Vigorous PA categories)       -75 min/weak       101 (138.1)       127 (153.9)       + 28       < 0.001		0-150 min/week	49 (50 5)	91 (199 6)	+ 12	< 0.001
300-450 min/week       286 (32)       276 (204.7)       4.8       0.709         >450 min/week       643 (146.2)       370 (286.1)       - 273       0.010         (Vigorous PA categories)       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       0.010         0.75 min/week       101 (138.1)       127 (153.9)       + 26       < 0.001		150-300 min/week	225 (45.2)	197 (156 4)	- 28	< 0.001
Jobe-400 min/week       20 (39/ 42.)       27 (266.1)       - 27 3       0.010         (Vigorous PA categories)       -75 min/week       101 (138.1)       127 (153.9)       + 26       < 0.001		300 450 min/week	226 (30)	278 (204 7)	- 20 g	0.700
2-430 fml/week       643 (145.2)       370 (268.1)       2273       0.010         (Vigorous PA categories)       0-75 min/week       101 (138.1)       127 (153.9)       + 2.6       < 0.001		> 450 min/week	200 (39)	270 (204.7)	- 0	0.709
(Vigorous PA categories)       101 (138.1)       127 (153.9)       + 26       < 0.001		>450 min/week	643 (146.2)	370 (200.1)	- 213	0.010
0.75 min/week         101 (138.1)         127 (153.9)         + 26         < 0.011		(Vigorous PA categories)				
75-150 min/week       119 (120.9)       121 (132.8)       + 2       0.596         150-225 min/week       136 (146)       128 (156.6)       - 8       0.231         -225 min/week       196 (208)       171 (193.7)       - 26       0.001         Malking - time (min/day)       122 (193.3)       - 166       < 0.001		0-75 min/week	101 (138.1)	127 (153.9)	+ 26	< 0.001
150-225 min/week       136 (146)       128 (156.6)       -8       0.231         >225 min/week       196 (208)       171 (193.7)       -25       <0.001		75-150 min/week	119 (120.9)	121 (132.8)	+ 2	0.596
>225 min/week       196 (208)       171 (193.7)       - 25       < 0.001		150-225 min/week	136 (146)	128 (156.6)	- 8	0.231
Walking - time (min/day)       282 (253)       116 (189.3)       - 166       < 0.001		>225 min/week	196 (208)	171 (193 7)	- 25	< 0.001
Walking - time (min/day)         Res         Res         Res         Res         Res           All participants         302 (260)         122 (199.3)         - 186         < 0.001					20	0.001
Walking - time (min/day)         Ref         Ref         Ref         Control           All participants         282 (253)         116 (189.3)         - 166         < 0.001						
All participants       282 (253)       116 (189.3)       - 166       < 0.001		Walking – time (min/day)				
Women         302 (260)         122 (199.3)         - 180         < 0.001           Men         265 (247)         110 (180.1)         - 155         < 0.001		All participants	282 (253)	116 (189.3)	- 166	< 0.001
Men         265 (247)         110 (180.1)         - 155         < 0.001           Workers         269.3 (246.2)         113.7 (182.7)         - 155.6         < 0.001		Women	302 (260)	122 (199.3)	- 180	< 0.001
Workers         269.3 (246.2)         113.7 (182.7)         - 155.6         < 0.001           Students         298.8 (246.1)         98.8 (189.7)         - 200         < 0.001		Men	265 (247)	110 (180.1)	- 155	< 0.001
Students       298.8 (246.1)       98.8 (189.7)       - 200       < 0.001		Workers	269.3 (246.2)	113 7 (182 7)	- 155 6	< 0.001
Study-work       301.3 (249.5)       106.1 (179.6)       -195.2       < 0.001		Students	298 8 (246 1)	98 8 (189 7)	- 200	< 0.001
Nothing       403.3 (326.3)       100.1 (110.5)       100.1 (110.5)       100.1 (110.5)         (age categories)       403.3 (326.3)       186.6 (267.2)       - 216.6       < 0.001		Study-work	301 3 (249 5)	106 1 (179 6)	- 195 2	< 0.001
(age categories)       18-24 years old       321 (281.8)       94 (182.6)       - 227       < 0.001		Nothing	403 3 (326 3)	186.6 (267.2)	- 216 6	< 0.001
(age categories)       321 (281.8)       94 (182.6)       - 227       < 0.001		rouning	400.0 (020.0)	100.0 (201.2)	210.0	0.001
18-24 years old       321 (281.8)       94 (182.6)       - 227       < 0.001		(age categories)				
25-34 years old       280 (244.2)       97 (161.1)       - 183       < 0.001		18-24 years old	321 (281.8)	94 (182.6)	- 227	< 0.001
35-44 years old       253 (235.8)       108 (186.8)       - 145       < 0.001		25-34 years old	280 (244.2)	97 (161.1)	- 183	< 0.001
45-54 years old       285 (256.1)       125 (197.8)       - 160       < 0.001		35-44 years old	253 (235.8)	108 (186 8)	- 145	< 0.001
1000 (Job of Job of		45-54 years old	285 (256 1)	125 (197.8)	- 160	< 0.001
(Moderate PA categories)       254 (239.6)       102 (180)       - 152       < 0.001		55-65 years old	354 (284 1)	160 (213 2)	- 194	< 0.001
(Moderate PA categories)       254 (239.6)       102 (180)       - 152       < 0.001						0.001
0-150 min/week       254 (239.6)       102 (180)       - 152       < 0.001		(Moderate PA categories)				
150-300 min/week       309 (252.3)       121 (181.9)       - 188       < 0.001		0-150 min/week	254 (239 6)	102 (180)	- 152	< 0.001
300-450 min/week       340 (272.8)       167 (234.5)       - 173       < 0.001		150-300 min/week	309 (252 3)	121 (181.9)	- 188	< 0.001
>450 min/week       407 (308)       179 (227)       - 228       < 0.001		300-450 min/week	340 (272 8)	167 (234 5)	- 173	< 0.001
(Vigorous PA categories)       291 (269.1)       127 (211.8)       - 164       < 0.001		>450 min/week	407 (308)	179 (227)	- 228	< 0.001
(Vigorous PA categories)     291 (269.1)     127 (211.8)     - 164     < 0.001						5.001
0-75 min/week291 (269.1)127 (211.8)- 164< 0.00175-150 min/week248 (219.5)93 (155.7)- 155< 0.001		(Vigorous PA categories)				
75-150 min/week         248 (219.5)         93 (155.7)         - 155         < 0.001           150 055 - i / (m)         258 (219.5)         29 (155.7)         - 100         - 0.001		0-75 min/week	291 (269.1)	127 (211.8)	- 164	< 0.001
		75-150 min/week	248 (219.5)	93 (155.7)	- 155	< 0.001
150-225 min/week 256 (226.2) 93 (153.8) 1 - 163 1 < 0.001		150-225 min/week	256 (226.2)	93 (153.8)	- 163	< 0.001

	>225 min/week	299 (264.1)	126 (197.5)	- 173	< 0.001
Castellini et al (2020)	Compensatory physical exercise score Females with eating disorders Females without eating disorders	0.69 (1.84) 0.58 (3.33)	3.55 (7.72) 0.84 (3.47)	+ 2.86 + 0.26	< 0.05 > 0.05
Constandt et al (2020a)	Exercise - % participants Decreased Unchanged Increased Walking - % participants Decreased Unchanged Increased	NR	45.4% 43.3% 11.3% 60% 32.2% 7.8%	NR	NR
Constant et al (2020b)	Exercise – % of population (active group) More As much Less Exercise – % population (inactive group) More As much Less No exercise	NR	36% 23% 46% 58% 5% 7% 40%	NR	NR
Di Corrado et al (2020)	Active before lockdown - % participants Active at home during lockdown Not active at home during lockdown Not active before lockdown - % participants Active at home during lockdown Not active at home during lockdown	100% 100% 0% 0%	85.29% 14.71% 49.36% 50.64%	- 14.71% - 85.29% + 49.36% + 50.64%	NR
Di Stefano et al (2020)	Vigorous PA – MET-min/week Neuromuscular disease participants Carers or partners Moderate PA – MET-min/week Neuromuscular disease participants Carers or partners	70.1 (361.9) 2081.8 (4945.3) 263.2 (606.9) 1153.3 (2424.6)	37.1 (303.9) 861.9 (1662.9) 146.9 (450.6) 925.4 (3675.6)	- 227.9 - 696.6 - 33 - 116.3	NR

		-	-		
	Walking – MET-min/week				
	Neuromuscular disease participants	547.7 (733.2)	211.9 (534)	- 2144.2	
	Carers or partners	1271.5 (2703.6)	2703.6 (574.9)	- 1448.4	
	Total PA – MET-min/week				
	Neuromuscular disease participants	901.3 (1299.6)	400.6 (1088.5)	- 149.3	
	Carers or partners	4506.5 (7600.1)	2362.3 (4498.9)	- 1219.9	
	MVPA – MFT-min/week				
	Neuromuscular disease participants	333 3 (483 8)	184 (440 3)	- 335 8	
	Carers or partners	3235 7 (3684 7)	1787 3 (2660 3)	- 500 7	
		0200.1 (0004.1)	1101.0 (2003.0)	000.7	
Dogas et al (2020)	Evercise frequency – per week				
20guo ot ul (2020)	All participants	28(11)	26(12)	-02	< 0.001
	Males	2.8 (1.1)	2.7(1.2)	- 0 1	0.453
	Fomoloo	2.0(1.1)	2.7(1.2)	- 0.1	0.400
	remaies	2.8 (1.0)	2.7 (1.2)	- 0.1	0.001
	Exercise duration minutes				
	All participanta	57.0 (24.5)	51 1 (27 7)	6.9	< 0.001
	All participants	57.9 (54.5)	51.1 (57.7)	- 0.0	< 0.001
	Males	61.2 (40.1)	59.2 (55.9)	- 2	> 0.999
	Females	55.6 (29.8)	49.2 (32.5)	- 6.4	< 0.001
		0.5 (0.4)			
Elran-Barak and	PA – times/week	3.5 (2.4)	2.8 (2.4)	- 0.7	< 0.001
Mozeikov (2020)					
Endstrasser et al	Tegner Activity Score	3	2	- 1	p = 0.046
(2020)					
Ernstsen et al	Change in PA - % participants	NR		NR	NR
(2020)					
	(All participants)				
	Reduced		13.8%		
	Unchanged		64.3%		
	Increased		21.9%		
	(Participants with anxiety disorder)				
	Reduced		25.2%		
	Unchanged		57.4%		
	Increased		17.4%		
	(Participants without anxiety disorder)				
	Reduced		12.7%		
	Unchanged		65.0%		
	Increased		22.3%		
	moreaded				

	(Participants with depressive disorder) Reduced Unchanged Increased (Participants without depressive disorder) Reduced Unchanged Increased		33.3% 62.7% 13.7% 13.0% 64.4% 22.2%		
Galle et al (2020a)	Physical activity - % population Decreased Increased Active as before Inactive as before	NR	48.6% 21.3% 16.0% 14.1%	NR	NR
Galle et al (2020b)	PA – min/day Total PA <sup>b</sup> Vigorous PA Moderate PA Walking	520 (820) 138.6 199.3 480.0	270 (340) 108.3 148.1 144.5	- 250 - 30.3 - 51.2 - 365.5	NR < 0.05 < 0.05 < 0.05
Gallo et al (2020)	Walking – min/day         All participants (compared with 2019)         All participants (compared with 2018)         Females (compared with 2019)         Females (compared with 2018)         Vigorous PA – min/day         Males (compared with 2019)         Males (compared with 2019)         Males (compared with 2019)         Males (compared with 2018)	NR	NR	- 52.5 - 87.5 - 30 - 30 - 60.0 - 150	< 0.05 < 0.001 < 0.05 0.068 < 0.05 < 0.001
Gilic et al (2020)	PA – PAQ-A score All participants Boys Girls	2.98 (0.71) 3.12 (0.56) 2.69 (0.49)	2.31 (0.68) 2.50 (0.44) 1.95 (0.56)	- 0.67 - 0.62 - 0.74	< 0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001
Giustino et al (2020)	Physical Activity MET-min/week Number participants	3458.0	1994.3	- 1463.5	p < 0.001

	Low Active	49	200	+ 19%	NR
	Moderately Active	352	409	+ 7%	
	High Active	401	193	- 26%	
He et al (2020)	Steps – per day				
	Males	8321 (3000)	3728 (1726)	- 4593	< 0.001
	Females	7038 (1923)	3741 (1042)	- 3297	< 0.001
Husain and	PA hours per week - % participants				NR
Ashkanani (2020)	< 1h or none	48.9%	61.9%	+ 13%	
	1-2h per week	20.2%	18.1%	- 2.1%	
	3-4h per week	14.7%	11.8%	- 2.9%	
	>4h per week	16.1%	8.2%	- 7.9%	
la success at al.	Observe in DA . W sortisis ante	ND	ND		ND
ingram et al	Change in PA - % participants	NR	NR	24.99/	NR
(2020)	A lot less			24.8%	
	A little less			22.6%	
	A little more			10.0%	
	A little more			23.0%	
	A lot more			12.3%	
Karuc et al (2020)	MVPA – min/day <sup>b</sup>				NR
	Females	120 (227.1)	64.3 (75)	- 55.7	
	Males	135 (127.5)	85.7 (56.8)	- 49.3	
	Change in PA - % participants				
	(Females)				
	No change	NR	NR	25%	
	Increase			19%	
	Decrease			56%	
	(Malaa)				
	(Iviales)	NB	NB	210/	1
	Increase	INIX	INIX	10%	
	Decrease			19%	
	Decrease			50%	
Knell et al (2020)	Change in PA - % participants	NR		NR	NR
, , ,	Increase		25.2%		
	Decrease		39.0%		
	Stay the same		35.8%		
Kriaucioniene et al	Change in PA - % participants	NR		NR	NR
(2020)	Increase		14.3%		
	Decrease		60.6%		

	Stav the same		19.3%		
			10.070		
Lopez-Bueno et al	PA – min/week				
(2020a)	All participants	221.9 (193.6)	176.7 (178.9)	- 45.2	< 0.001
()	Males	268.8 (207.1)	196.0 (185.0)	- 72.8	< 0.001
	Females	182.0 (171.7)	160.4 (171.2)	- 21.6	< 0.001
	Age $< 43$ years old	238.4 (201.4)	196.4 (181.9)	- 42.0	< 0.001
	Age > 43 years old	182.4 (167.3)	129.6 (162.4)	- 52.8	< 0.001
	Married	210.1 (187.6)	161.4 (170.6)	- 48.7	< 0.001
	Not married	233.2 (198.8)	191.4 (185.5)	- 41.8	< 0.001
	Without University degree	217.2 (180.7)	181.3 (174.4)	- 35.9	< 0.001
	With University degree	221.9 (180.7)	168.8 (186.4)	- 53.1	< 0.001
	Employed	205.0 (180.0)	165.6 (168.7)	- 39.4	< 0.001
	Unemployed	251.0 (212.0)	195.6 (193.7)	- 55.4	< 0.001
Lopez-Bueno et al	PA < 150min/week - % participants				
(2020b)	1 week of lockdown	35.1%	52.2%	+ 17.1%	< 0.001*
	2 weeks of lockdown	35.1%	40.3%	+ 5.2%	
	3 weeks of lockdown	35.1%	26.2%	- 8.9%	
Mandelkorn et al	Change in PA - % population	NR		NR	NR
(2020)					
	(All Countries)				
	No Change		20.41%		
	More		17.84%		
	Less		61.75%		
	(USA only)				
	No change		29.76%		
	More		22.55%		
	Less		47.68%		
Maugeri et al	PA – MET-min/week			NR	
(2020)	Total PA	2429	- 852		< 0.001
	Vigorous PA	1109	- 342.4		< 0.001
	Moderate PA	574	- 50.7		0.0188
	Walking	746	- 458.4		< 0.001
	Iotal PA – MET-min/week				
	Males	2998	- 1244		< 0.001
	Female	1994	- 551		< 0.001
	Young (< 21 years old)	2726	- 874		< 0.001

	Young adults (21-40 years old)	2535	- 871		< 0.001
	Adults (41-60 years old)	2150	- 811		< 0.001
	Adults (≥ 60 years old)	2316	- 843		< 0.001
Meyer et al (2020)	Weekly physical activity - % population	NR		NR	NR
	Previously active		-32.3%		
	Previously inactive		+2.3%		
Mitra et al (2020)	Walk or bike - % participants	NR		NR	NR
	(All participants)				
	Decrease		53.2%		
	Same		26.3%		
	Increase		20.5%		
	(Children aged 5-11)				
	Decrease		47.3%		
	Same		25.0%		
	Increase		27.7%		
	(Youth aged 12-17)				
	Decrease		58.4%		
	Same		27.6%		
	Increase		14.0%		
	PA or sport inside - % participants				
	(All participants)				
	Decrease		34.0%		
	Same		40.5%		
	Increase		25.5%		
	(Children aged 5-11)				
	Decrease		27.1%		
	Same		41.7%		
	Increase		31.2%		
	(Youth aged 12-17)				
	Decrease		40.1%		
	Same		39.4%		
	Increase		20.5%		
	PA or sport outside - % participants				
	(All participants)				
	Decrease		63.8%		

Same     22.2%       Increase     14.0%	
Increase 14.0%	
(0) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	
(Children aged 5-11)	
Decrease 29.0%	
Same 22.7%	
Increase 18.3%	
(Youth aged 12-17)	
Decrease 68.0%	
Same 21.8%	
Playing outside - % participants	
(All participants)	
Decrease 51.2%	
Increase 17.9%	
(Children aged 5-11)	
Decrease 47.5%	
Same 26.3%	
Increase 26.3%	
(Youth aged 12-17)	
Same 35.0%	
Increase 10.4%	
Household chores - % participants	
(All participants)	
Decrease 8.2%	
Same 53.9%	
Increase 37.9%	
(Children aged 5.11)	
Decrease 7.2%	
Same 53.2%	
Increase 39.5%	
(Vauth aged 12.17)	
(Touli aged 12-17)	
Decrease 9.1%	

r					
	Same		54.4%		
	Increase		36.5%		
Mon-Lopez et al	Training days per week – frequency				
(2020a)	All participants	4 84 (1 15)	4 23 (1 69)	- 0.61	< 0.001
(20200)	Malos	1.69 (1.2)	3.08 (1.60)	0.71	< 0.001
	Famelas	4.09 (1.2)	3.98 (1.09)	- 0.71	< 0.001
	Females	5.12 (1.0)	4.68 (1.61)	- 0.44	< 0.001
	Training have for all				
	Training – nours/week		5.07 (0.00)	4.70	
	All participants	9.99 (3.8)	5.27 (3.26)	- 4.72	< 0.001
	Males	9.34 (3.63)	4.89 (3.15)	- 4.45	< 0.001
	Females	11.18 (3.83)	5.97 (3.36)	- 5.21	< 0.003
Mon-Lopez et al	PA - MEIs	4000 (0744 55)	004 07 (4000 0)	775 00	0.001
(2020b)	High Intensity PA	1660 (2714.55)	884.67 (1200.3)	- 775.33	0.001
	Moderate intensity PA	683.67 (130.95)	464.33 (602.03)	- 219.34	0.042
	Low intensity PA	1427.53 (1852.27)	1852.27 (274.73)	- 1152.8	< 0.001
	Total PA	3771.19 (400.53)	1623.73 (1658.85)	- 2147.46	< 0.001
Munasinghe et al	PA - (Yes-no)				
(2020)	Yes	51.4%	43.6%	-7.8%	NR
Muriel et al	PA – hours/week				
(2020)	Total training	17.7 (3.6)	11.7 (3.9)	- 6.0	< 0.001
( /	71 Recovery	50(19)	24(17)	- 26	< 0.001
	72 Endurance	31(10)	35(19)	+ 0.4	0 378
	72 Tompo	3.1(1.0)	3.3(1.3)	0.4	< 0.001
		5.1 (1.0)	2.3 (1.1)	- 0.0	< 0.001
	Z4. Inreshold	2.5 (0.7)	1.4 (0.7)	- 1.1	< 0.001
	Z5. VO2 max	1.7 (0.6)	1.0 (0.5)	- 0.7	< 0.001
	Z6. Anaerobic	2.3 (1.1)	1.1 (0.8)	- 1.2	< 0.001
Pellegrini et al	Exercise - % participants	NR		NR	NR
(2020)	I never practice exercise		32.6%		
	Exercise is less than before quarantine		46.7%		
	Exercise is the same as before guarantine		10.0%		
	Exercise is more than before quarantine		10.7%		
Pietrobelli et al.	Sports time				
(2020)	Hours/week	3.6 (4.3)	1.3 (1.4)	- 2.30	0.003
Pillay, L et al	Training load and intensity decreased - % sample	NR		NR	NR
(2020)	Yes		75%		
()	No		25%		
	///0		2070		
					1

Robinson et al (2020)	Exercise - % participants A lot less Less A little less Same A little more More A lot more PA (e.g. gardening) - % participants A lot less Less A little less Same A little more	NR	11.0% 14.0% 15.0% 15.0% 20.0% 15.0% 10.0% 10.0% 12.0% 12.0% 21.0% 24.0%	NR	NR
	More A lot more		16.0% 6.0%		
Rogers et al (2020)	PA Change during lockdown - % participants Same Less More	NR	63.9% 25.05% 11.06%	NR	<0.001
Romero-Blanco et al (2020)	PA – days/week Vigorous PA Moderate PA – min/week Vigorous PA Moderate PA Total PA	0.98 (1.33) 1.74 (1.56) 28.47 (54.16) 42.81 (48.44) 223.3 (305.47)	1.33 (2.19) 3.15 (2.05) 30.66 (30.94) 47.74 (50.8) 383.17 (438.9)	+ 1.12 + 1.41 + 2.19 + 4.93 + 159.87	< 0.001 < 0.001 0.45 0.19 < 0.001
Ruiz-Ruso et al (2020a)	Change in weekly PA	NR	In text - 'During the COVID- 19 lockdown, we noticed a significant increase in the daily hours that the participants of the study were sitting without doing any physical activity at all (Figure 5). Regarding the average minutes per week spent	NR	Mean walking time p=<0.0001 Mean time spent in moderate activity p=<0.05

		significant decrease during lockdown compared to the period before.'		
Change in PA levels - % participants				
Active	27.0%	20.5%	-6.5%	NR
Change in weekly PA - % participants				NR
1-3 times/week	35.4%	32.3%	- 3.1	
4-5 times/week	27.9%	23.7%	- 4.2	
≥ 6 times/week	7.9%	14.5%	+ 6.6	
No PA	28.8%	29.4%	+ 0.6	
Change in PA - % participants	NR		NR	NR
Increased		2.7%		
Decreased		14.5%		
Same		82.7%		
PA – min/week				
Walking	362 (262)	27 (47)	- 335	< 0.01
Moderate PA	411 (487)	178 (155)	- 263	0.028
Vigorous PA	256 (381)	168 (228)	- 88	0.006
MVPA	797 (822)	346 (341)	- 451	0.006
Steps – per day	8525 (3597)	2754 (1724)	- 5771	< 0.001
Moderate and vigorous PA	NR	In text - PA decreased significantly over time (p<.010, however the effect size was trivial (d=0.12).	NR	< 0.01
Change in PA - % participants	NR		NR	NR
Reduced PA		44.1%		
Constant PA		22.6%		
Increased PA		19.8%		
PA – MET hours/week				
Total PA	168.8 (91.0)	144.1 (84.8)	- 24.7	< 0.01
Household PA	52.2 (33.6)	50.5 (31.8)	- 1.7	0.102
Yard work	14.5 (20.4)	16.4 (22.3)	+ 1.9	0.038
Leisure activities	20.0 (16.4)	16.4 (15.9)	- 3.6	0.014
Sports	40.6 (54.7)	27.6 (35.7)	- 13	0.001
Work/volunteering	41.6 (53.0)	33.1 (52.1)	- 8.5	< 0.001
	Thange in PA levels - % participants         Anage in weekly PA - % participants         1-3 times/week         4-5 times/week         ≥ 6 times/week         No PA         Thange in PA - % participants         Increased         Decreased         Same         TA - min/week         Walking         Moderate PA         Vigorous PA         MVPA         Steps – per day         Moderate and vigorous PA         Change in PA - % participants         Reduced PA         Constant PA         Increased PA         Total PA         Household PA         Yard work         Leisure activities         Sports         Work/volunteering	Thange in PA levels - % participantsZ7.0%Thange in weekly PA - % participants35.4%1-3 times/week35.4%4-5 times/week27.9% $\geq$ 6 times/week7.9% $\geq$ 6 times/week7.9% $\geq$ 6 times/week7.9% $\geq$ 6 times/week7.9% $\geq$ 6 times/week7.9%Decreased362 (262)Moderate PA411 (487)Vigorous PA256 (381)MVPA797 (822)Steps – per day8525 (3597)Moderate and vigorous PANRChange in PA - % participantsNRReduced PA168.8 (91.0)Constant PA168.8 (91.0)Hourselold PA52.2 (33.6)Yard work14.5 (20.4)Leisure activities20.0 (16.4)Sports40.6 (54.7)Work/volunteering41.6 (53.0)	Summary Section         Summary Section $hange in PA levels - \% participants$ 27.0%         20.5% $hange in Week/ PA - \% participants$ 35.4%         22.3%           1-3 times/week         27.9%         23.7%           2 6 times/week         27.9%         23.7%           2 6 times/week         27.9%         23.7%           2 6 times/week         7.9%         14.5%           2 8.8%         29.4%           No PA         28.8%         29.4%           Decreased         14.5%         28.8%           Same         27.9%         14.5%           A - min/week         7.9%         24.4%           Walking         362 (262)         27 (47)           Moderate PA         411 (487)         178 (155)           Vigorous PA         256 (381)         168 (228)           MVPA         797 (822)         346 (341)           Steps – per day         8525 (3597)         2754 (1724)           Increased         In text - PA decreased significantly over time (p<10.0, however the effect size was trivial (d=0.12).	Significant decrease during lockdown compared to the period before.         Significant Dockdown compared to the period before.           :hange in PA levels - % participants         35.4%         22.3%         -6.5%           :hange in weekly PA - % participants         35.4%         22.3%         -3.1           1-3 times/week         27.9%         23.7%         +4.2           2-6 times/week         27.9%         23.7%         +4.6           No PA         28.8%         29.4%         +0.6           :hange in PA - % participants         NR         2.7%         +0.6           :hange in PA - % participants         NR         2.7%         +0.6           :hange in PA - % participants         NR         2.7%         +0.6           :hange in PA - % participants         NR         2.7%         +0.6           :hange in PA - % participants         NR         2.7%         +0.6           Walking         362 (262)         27 (47)         -335           :MVPA         :362 (3597)         2754 (1724)         -5771           :Moderate PA         411 (427)         :178 (150)         :263           :MVPA         :8525 (3597)         2754 (1724)         :5771           :Aderate and vigorous PA         NR         :41.1%

Srivastav et al	PA – MET-min/week				
(2020)	Vigorous PA	2727.3	1165.2	- 1562.1	< 0.001
	Moderate PA	1994.3	728.2	- 1266.1	< 0.001
	Walking	3088.3	2242.3	- 845.9	< 0.001
	Total PA	8142.7	5390.9	- 2751.8	< 0.001
	Total PA without sitting	7809.7	4135.7	- 3674.0	< 0.001
Vetrovsky et al	Changes in steps - % participants	NR	NR	- 16.2%	< 0.001
(2020)					
Wang et al (2020)	Exercise - % participants	NR		NR	NR
	Reduced		52%		
	Increased		17%		
	Daily PA - % participants		4.404		
	Reduced		44%		
	Increased		19%		
Yang et al	Active transport – hours/day				
(2020a) <sup>b</sup>	High School Students (< 18 years old)	15	10	- 0 5	< 0.05
(20208)	Lindergraduate Students	1.5	1.0	- 0.3	< 0.00
	Graduate students	1.0	0.5	- 0.5	< 0.001
	All participants	1.0	1.0	- 0.3	< 0.05
		1.5	1.0	- 0.5	< 0.05
	Housework activity – hours/day				
	High School Students (< 18 years old)	2.0	2.3	+ 0.3	< 0.001
	Undergraduate Students	1.5	2.0	+ 0.5	< 0.001
	Graduate students	1.0	1.1	+ 0.1	> 0.05
	All participants	2.0	2.0	0	< 0.05
	MVPA – hours/day				
	High School Students (< 18 years old)	1.5	1.5	0	< 0.05
	Undergraduate Students	1.1	1.0	-0.1	< 0.05
	Graduate students	1.0	1.0	0	> 0.05
	All participants	1.3	1.2	-0.1	< 0.001
	Walking for leigura bourg/day				
	Vidiking ior leisure - nours/uay	10	1.0	0	< 0.01
	High School Students (< To years old)	1.0	1.0	0.2	< 0.01
		1.0	0.0	- 0.2	< 0.001
		1.0	1.0	0	> 0.05
	All participants	1.0	1.0	U	< 0.001
Yang et al (2020b)	PA – MET-min/week				
5(		1	1	1	1

	Total PA	3323 (2451)	2718 (2205)	- 605	< 0.001
	PA – min/day Moderate PA Vigorous PA Active PA Walking	57.15 (42.67) 47.94 (41.91) 157.8 (92.73) 52.71 (47.7)	46.77 (41.37) 39.47 (40.0) 134.45 (90. 89) 48.21 (44.41)	- 10.38 - 8.47 - 23.35 - 4.5	< 0.01 < 0.001 0.003 0.067
Zenic et al (2020)	Change in PA – PAQ-A Score				
	All participants	2.97 (0.61)	2.63 (0.68)	- 0.34	< 0.01

NR, Not reported; MVPA, moderate-to-vigorous physical activity; METs, Metabolic Equivalent Tasks

<sup>a</sup> Numeric data provided by authors via email, from figure 2 in original manuscript.

<sup>b</sup> Data reported as median (interquartile range)

<sup>c</sup>Data reported as mean (standard error)

\* between groups

#### Supplementary Table 6. Sedentary behaviour pre- and during lockdown

Author	SB type and units of measurement	SB Pre-lockdown Mean (SD)	SB During lockdown Mean (SD)	Change	P value (if applicable)
					approcessoy
Ammar et al.	Sitting time				
(2020)	Hours/Day	5.3 (3.65)	8.41 (5.11)	+ 28.6%	p < 0.001
. ,					
		ND		ND	
Asiamah et al	Sedentary behaviour - % participants	NR	40.404	NR	NR
(2020)			18.4%		
	1-30 min added/week		5.6%		
	1 2bra added/week		7.9%		
			24.0%		
	2-birs added/week		10.3%		
			19.576		
Bivi-Roig et al	Sitting time				
(2020) <sup>b</sup>	Hours/Day	4 (4)	8 (5)	+ 4	p < 0.001
Oratanada					
Castaneda-	Sitting time (hours/day)	6 1 (2 6)	9 (5 1)	1.1.0	< 0.001
	Womon	63 (3.0)	(0,1)	+ 1.9	< 0.001
(2020)	Mon	6.0 (3.1)	81 (5.9)	+ 1.0	< 0.001
	Werkers	6.2 (3.5)	8.0 (5.4)	+ 1.9	< 0.001
	Students	6.2(3.3)	8.8 (3.2)	+2.4	< 0.001
	Study-work	63(41)	83(34)	+2.0	< 0.001
	Nothing	44(24)	65 (35)	+2.0	< 0.001
	rouning	(2)	0.0 (0.0)		0.001
	(age categories)	6.6 (4.2)	9 (3.5)	+ 2.4	< 0.001
	18-24 years old	6.4 (3.1)	8.6 (3.6)	+ 2.2	< 0.001
	25-34 years old	6.0 (3.9)	7.7 (3.9)	+ 1.7	< 0.001
	35-44 years old	6.1 (3.1)	7.9 (7.2)	+ 1.8	< 0.001
	45-54 years old	5.7 (3.0)	7.5 (3.5)	+ 1.8	< 0.001
	55-65 years old				
		6.4 (3.6)	8.2 (4.3)	+ 1.8	< 0.001
	(Moderate PA categories)	5.7 (3)	8.1 (7.3)	+ 2.4	< 0.001
	0-150 min/week	5.7 (4.4)	7.6 (3.3)	+ 1.9	< 0.001

	150-300 min/week	4.8 (2.9)	6.5 (3.3)	+ 1.7	< 0.001
	300-450 min/week				
	>450 min/week	6.4 (3.2)	7.9 (3.5)	+ 1.5	< 0.001
		6.5 (4.3)	8.0 (3.5)	+ 1.5	< 0.001
	(Vigorous PA categories)	6.2 (3.1)	8.2 (4.1)	+ 2.0	< 0.001
	0-75 min/week	5.7 (3.3)	8.0 (6.5)	+2.3	< 0.001
	75-150 min/week				
	150-225 min/week				
	>225 min/week				
Constandt et al	Screen watching - % participants	NR	NR		NR
(2020a)	Decreased			3.6%	
( /	Unchanged			37%	
	Increased			59.0%	
Constandt et al.		NR	NR		NR
(2020b)					
	Sitting time - % of participants (active group)				
	Increased			46%	
	Staved the same			39%	
	Decreased			15%	
	Sitting time - % of participants (inactive group)				
	Increased			40%	
	Staved the same			36%	
	Decreased			24%	
Dutta et al (2020)	Phone screen time weekday - % participants				NR
	< 1 hour per day	28.6%	28.6%	0	
	1-2 hours per day	25.7%	22.9%	- 2.8	
	2-4 hours per day	11.4%	11.4%	0	
	4-8 hours per day	5.7%	14.3%	+ 8.6	
	Not applicable	28.6%	22.9%	- 5.7	
	Phone screen time weekend day - % participants				
	< 1 hour per day	31.4%	28.6%	- 2.8	
	1-2 hours per day	20.0%	22.9%	+ 2.9	
	2-4 hours per day	17.1%	11.4%	- 5.7	
	4-8 hours per day	2.9%	14.3%	+ 11.4	
	Not applicable	28.6%	22.9%	- 5.7	
	Laptop screen time weekday - % participants				
	< 1 hour per day	25.7%	17.1%	- 8.6	

1-2 hours per day	8.6%	17.1%	+ 8.5	
2-4 hours per day	5.7%	8.6%	+ 2.9	
4-8 hours per day	5.8%	8.6%	+ 2.8	
Not applicable	54.3%	48.6%		
Laptop screen time weekend day - % participants				
< 1 hour per day	17.1%	17.1%	0	
1-2 hours per day	14.3%	17.1%	+ 2.8	
2-4 hours per day	2.9%	8.6%	+ 5.7	
4-8 hours per day	2.9%	8.6%	+ 5.7	
Not applicable	62.9%	48.6%	- 14.3	
TV screen time weekday - % participants				
< 1 hour per day	34 3%	20.0%	- 14 3	
1-2 hours per day	31 / %	25.8%	- 5 3	
2.4 hours per day	0%	20.0%	+ 20	
4.8 hours per day	2.0%	20.076	+ 5 7	
A-o nouis per day	2.5%	25.7%	5.7	
	51.476	25.770	- 5.7	
TV screen time weekend day - % participants				
< 1 hour per day	22.9%	20.0%	- 2.9	
1-2 hours per day	40.0%	25.8%	- 14.2	
2-4 hours per day	5.7%	20.0%	+ 14.3	
4-8 hours per day	2.9%	8.6%	+ 5.7	
Not applicable	28.6%	25.7%	- 2.9	
Tablet screen time weekday - % participants				
< 1 hour per day	25.7%	22.9%	- 2.8	
1-2 hours per day	0%	2.9%	+ 2 9	
2-4 hours per day	0%	0%	0	
4-8 hours per day	2.9%	2.9%	0	
Not applicable	71 /0/	71.4%	0	
	71.470	71.470	0	
Tablet screen time weekend day - % participants				
< 1 hour per day	20.0%	22.9%	+ 2.9	
1-2 hours per day	5.7%	2.9%	- 2.8	
2-4 hours per day	0%	0%	0	
4-8 hours per day	0%	2.9%	+ 2.9	
Not applicable	74.3%	71.4%	- 2.9	

Elran-Barak and	Social media – hours/day	3.2 (1.1)	3.9 (1.2)	+ 0.7	< 0.001
Mozeikov (2020)					
	Online health communities – hours/day	2 (0.7)	2.2 (0.9)	+ 0.2	< 0.001
Galle et al (2020b)	Sedentary time – min/day				
	Total <sup>b</sup>	240 (240)	480 (300)	+ 240	NR
	Sedentary leisure activities	38.7	66.6	+ 27.9	< 0.05
	Using electronic devices	65.3	177.7	+ 52.4	< 0.05
	Watching TV/DVD	71.7	119.3	+ 47.6	< 0.05
	Eating	82.3	106.5	+ 24.2	< 0.05
	Studying/working	173.9	210.7	+ 36.8	< 0.05
Husain and	Hours per day spent on computer/TV/mobile - % participants				NR
Ashkanani (2020)	1-2h per day				
	3-4h per day	30.4%	12.0%	- 18.4%	
	5-6h per day	33.3%	19.0%	- 14.3%	
	>6h per day	30.2%	25.3%	+ 5.1%	
		16.1%	43.6%	+ 27.5%	
Lopez-Bueno et al	Screen time ≥2h/day - % participants				
(2020b)	1 week of lockdown	83.3%	97.7%	+ 14.7%	< 0.001*
( )	2 weeks of lockdown	83.3%	96.9%	+ 13.9%	
	3 weeks of lockdown	83.3%	98.7%	+ 15.7%	
		00.070	0011 /0		
Majumdar et al	Change in screen time on cell phone – hours/day				NR
(2020)	Office workers				
( /	Students	3 (1.59)	4 (2.24)	+ 1.0	
		3 (1 36)	52(173)	+22	
	Change in screen time on computer – hours/day	0 (1100)	0.2 (		
	Office workers				
	Students				
	Cladino	64(29)	8 2 (3 36)	+18	
	Change in screen time TV - hours/day	1 3 (0.95)	1.6 (1.75)	+ 0.3	
	Office workers	1.5 (0.55)	1.0 (1.73)	10.5	
	Studente				
	Silucinis	0.7 (0.00)	1 5 (1 22)	+0.0	
		0.7 (0.09)	1.5 (1.52)	+ 0.0	
Mauranatal		1.1 (0.35)	1.3 (1.02)	+ 0.2	
weyer et al.	Sitting time - % of population	NR	NK		NK
(2020)	Previously active			+26.4%	
	Previously inactive			+16.0%	
	Screen time - % of population				

	Previously active			+37.8%	
	Previously inactive			+25.3%	
Mitra et al (2020)	Screen time - % participants	NR	NR		NR
	(All participants)				
	Decrease			3.7%	
	Same			17.5%	
	Increase			78.8%	
	(Children aged 5-11)			3.8%	
	Decrease			18.3%	
	Same			77.9%	
	Increase			11.070	
	Increase				
	(Youth aged 12-17)				
	Decrease			3.6%	
	Same			16.9%	
	Increase			79.5%	
	Non-screen sedentary time - % participants			7.00/	
	(All participants)			7.2%	
	Decrease			44.2%	
	Same			48.6%	
	Increase				
	(Children aged 5-11)				
	Decrease			6.8%	
	Same			35.5%	
	Increase			57.7%	
	(Youth aged 12-17)				
	Decrease			7.6%	
	Same			51.9%	
	Increase			40.6%	
Mon-Lopez et al	Screen time – min/day				
(2020b)	TV time	79.54 (69.11)	152.88 (126.98)	+ 73.34	< 0.001
	PC time	206.69 (170.33)	265.97 (197.84)	+ 59.28	< 0.001
	Phone time	149.41 (123.29)	205.92 (162.18)	+ 56.51	< 0.001
	Total screen time	433.29 (225.66)	326.97 (319.79)	+ 190.68	< 0.001

Munasinghe et al (2020)	Watching TV - Yes/No Watched TV	55.4%	52.1%	- 3.3%	NR
Pietrobelli et al. (2020)	Screen time Hours/day	2.76 (1.64)	7.61 (2.13)	+ 4.85 (2.40)	p < 0.001
Robinson et al (2020)	<i>Time spent sitting down - % participants</i> A lot less Less A little less Same A little more More A lot more	NR	NR	1.0% 2.0% 5.0% 20.0% 20.0% 28.0% 25.0%	NR
Romero-Blanco et al (2020)	Daily sitting time Min/day	418.59 (201.58)	525.35 (194.57)	+ 106.76	< 0.001
Ruiz-Roso et al (2020a)	Sitting time	NR	In text - 'During the COVID-19 lockdown, we noticed a significant increase in the daily hours that the participants of the study were sitting without doing any physical activity at all (Figure 5). Regarding the average minutes per week spent walking, we observed a significant decrease during lockdown compared to the period before.'	NR	<0.0001
Sanudo et al (2020)	Sitting time Hours/day	6.4 (2.6)	9.7 (2.9)	+ 3.3	0.002

Savage et al (2020)	Sedentary behaviour	NR	In text - 'Sedentary behaviour was greater at T4 compared to T1, T2 and T3 (Bonferroni post hoc test, P < .0001), and was greater at T3 compared to T1 (P < .0001).'	NR	NR
Srivastav et al (2020)	SB – MET-min/week Sitting	332.9	1255.3	+ 922.4	< 0.001
Yang et al	Screen time – hours/day				
(2020a) <sup>b</sup>	High School Students (< 18 years old)	4.0	5.0	+ 1	< 0.001
	Undergraduate Students	4.0	5.0	+ 1	< 0.001
	Graduate students	5.0	5.0	0	< 0.001
	All participants	4.0	5.0	+ 1	< 0.001
	Sedentarv time on weekdavs – hours/dav	3.3	4.0	+ 0.7	< 0.001
	High School Students (< 18 years old)	4.0	5.0	+ 1	< 0.001
	Undergraduate Students	6.0	6.0	0	< 0.01
	Graduate students All participants	4.0	4.5	+ 0.5	< 0.001
	Sedentary time on weekend days – hours/day	3.4	4.0	+ 0.6	< 0.001
	High School Students (< 18 years old)	4.0	5.0	+ 1.0	< 0.001
	Undergraduate Students	5.0	6.0	+ 1.0	< 0.001
	Graduate students	4.0	4.5	+ 0.5	< 0.001
	All participants				
Yang et al (2020b)	Sedentary time				
	Min/day	367.99 (167.01)	369.55 (152.85)	+ 1.56	0.85
Wang et al (2020)	Sitting time increased	NR	NR		NR
,	% participants			67%	
	Lying down time increased			61%	
	% participants				

NR, Not reported; TV, television; DVD, digital video disc;

<sup>b</sup> Data reported as median (interquartile range)

Supplemental material

\* between groups