Effect of Tai Chi on muscle strength, physical endurance, postural balance and flexibility: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT
Objective To investigate the impact of Tai Chi training on muscle strength, physical endurance, postural balance and flexibility, as measured by tests commonly used in health-related fitness or competitive sports contexts.

Design Systematic review and meta-analysis.

Data sources The following databases were searched up to 31 July 2020: CINAHL, Cochrane Library, MEDLINE via PubMed and SPORTDiscus.

Eligibility criteria for studies Inclusion: (1) Randomised controlled trials published in German or English; (2) Tai Chi used as an intervention to improve physical performance; (3) Test methods commonly used in health-related fitness or competitive sports and (4) Participants aged ≥16 years (irrespective of health status). Exclusion: (1) Studies not focusing on Tai Chi or including Tai Chi mixed with other interventions and (2) Modified or less than eight Tai Chi movements.

Results Out of 3817 records, 31 studies were included in the review, 21 of them in the meta-analysis. Significant improvements in handgrip strength (2.34 kg, 95% CI 1.53 to 3.14), walking distance during 6 min (43.37 m, 95% CI 29.12 to 57.63), standing time in single-leg-stance with open eyes (6.41 s, 95% CI 4.58 to 8.24) and thoracolumbar spine flexibility (2.33 cm, 95% CI 0.11 to 4.55) were observed.

Conclusion Tai Chi training seems to moderately improve physical fitness when evaluated by tests used in health-related fitness or competitive sports. Moreover, thoracolumbar spine flexibility seems to be a factor in the improvement of postural balance. Further research is needed, including younger healthy participants performing a widely used, standardised form (eg, Peking-style routine) with high-intensity movements (eg, use of lower stances).

INTRODUCTION
Tai Chi Quan, also often called Tai Chi, is one style of the Chinese martial arts (Wushu) and consists of defined motion sequences performed with and without weapons (eg, swords), as well as routines performed with partners. There are five traditional styles of Tai Chi: Chen, Yang, Wu Yuxiang, Wu and Sun style. The most famous routine in the field of health sports, which was published in the 1950s, is the so-called Peking style routine, which features 24 movements based on the Yang style.1

In the past few decades, a considerable number of studies, systematic reviews and meta-analyses concerning Tai Chi have been published. They mostly focused on the health-related consequences of Tai Chi training, and either investigated specific groups of individuals with chronic diseases, for example, Parkinson’s disease2 or specific health-related issues, for example, risk of falling.3

Positive effects of Tai Chi training were reported for cancer,1 osteoarthritis,4 heart failure,4 chronic obstructive pulmonary...
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METHODS

To address the research question outlined above, a systematic review and meta-analysis was performed. The reportage for this systematic review is based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Statement.17

Search strategy

The following databases were searched up to 31 July 2020: CINAHL, the Cochrane Library, MEDLINE and SPORTDiscus. Search terms included controlled vocabulary queries (eg, MeSH Terms), as well as specific text words in titles and abstracts. The main keyword for the database searches was “Tai Chi” (and its synonymous spellings: “Tai Chi”, “T’ai Chi”, “Taiji”, “Tai Ji”, “Taiji” and “Taijiquan”). When screening for fundamental attributes, broad terms (“physical exercise”, “physical fitness”, “physical effects” and “motor function”), and specialised terms (“strength”, “muscle strength”, “muscular strength”, “strength training”, “aerobic exercise”, “aerobic physical exercise”, “6-Minute Walk Test”, “endurance”, “aerobic capacity”, “cardiorespiratory fitness”, “aerobic strengthening”, “coordination”, “balance ability”, “tandem stance”, “single leg stance”, “tandem standing test”, “kinesthetic sense”, “kinaesthesia”, “proprioception exercise”, “flexibility”, “mobility” and “stiffness”) were used. These terms were systematically combined using Boolean operators (“AND”, “OR”). A handsearch was performed by screening the reference sections of included articles.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

RCTs published in German or English were included if they fulfilled the following criteria: investigated Tai Chi as an intervention to improve muscle strength, physical endurance, functional capacity, postural balance or flexibility; used test methods that are prevalent in sports science and typically employ physical units (eg, newton, time in second/minute); included participants aged 16 years and above irrespective of their health status and any duration of intervention. Studies not focusing on Tai Chi were excluded, as were those including Tai Chi mixed with other interventions, modified Tai Chi movements or less than 8/10 (without/with starting/closing movements) Tai Chi movements.

Data collection

Titles and abstracts were screened to assess study eligibility. For all eligible studies, full texts were retrieved. Two reviewers assessed the full texts in detail with regard to the eligibility criteria pertaining to Tai Chi style, Tai Chi movements, intervention protocol, randomisation

disease (COPD), type 2 diabetes mellitus, coronary heart disease, chronic lower back pain and Parkinson’s disease. Similar observations were summarised in the systematic review entitled ‘Evidence Map of Tai Chi’ by Hempel et al,11 which included 107 systematic reviews. They identified 40 different health-related research fields, classified as ‘evidence of no effect’, ‘unclear evidence’ or ‘evidence of a potential positive effect’. The first research field, with eight subareas, dealt with global health issues, for example, general health, psychological well-being and the health of older adults. In two out of these eight subareas, which focused on cognitive performance and balance confidence, the authors found a potential positive effect of Tai Chi. For life participation, another subarea, there was no effect of Tai Chi, while for the remaining subareas the evidence was unclear.

The second and largest research field, comprising 29 subareas, pertained to the impact of Tai Chi on individuals with chronic diseases. Potential benefits were noted for hypertension, COPD, osteoarthritis, pain and depression. In contrast to the more recent analysis of Zhou et al,6 no improvement of biochemical or psychological parameters was seen in patients with type 2 diabetes. For the remaining 23 subareas, the evidence was unclear. The smallest research field, comprising only 3 subareas out of 40, is directly related to muscle strength, physical endurance, speed, coordination and flexibility, which are crucial for learning and executing sportive motion sequences.12 Findings for these subareas varied, including no effects (aerobic capacity), potential positive effects (muscle strength) and unclear evidence (balance general).

A large majority of the randomised controlled trials (RCTs) included in the existing systematic reviews and meta-analyses dealing with Tai Chi used questionnaires (eg, the 36-item Short-Form (SF-36) for quality of life13) or clinical assessment tests for individuals with chronic diseases. Clinical assessment tests like the Timed Up and Go test for the evaluation of functional mobility14 are performed to detect, diagnose or monitor diseases, or to determine a course of treatment. Some RCTs analysed biomedical parameters (eg, inflammatory markers15). A small proportion of the RCTs used tests that were performed in health related fitness or competitive sports to evaluate global or specific sports abilities or skills. These tests are primarily used for healthy and fit people, but can also be used for individuals with injuries or chronic diseases, and are often part of a larger test battery (eg, single-leg-stance (SLS) test with closed eyes as part of the ‘Eurofit for adults’16).

Numerous studies and reviews have investigated the impact of Tai Chi training on global health issues and chronic diseases, primarily using clinical assessment tools. However, the influence of Tai Chi training, evaluated only by tests commonly applied in health related fitness or competitive sports, has not yet been investigated to the best of our knowledge. Thus, the goal of this review and meta-analysis is to summarise results of existing studies to determine whether Tai Chi training can significantly improve muscle strength, physical endurance, functional capacity, postural balance and flexibility in healthy persons and individuals with chronic diseases only by applying tests that are used in health-related fitness or competitive sports contexts.

Data synthesis
Outcomes that were reported by a sufficient number of studies were included in a meta-analysis. All other outcomes are descriptively summarised in a table.

Meta-analysis
Out of 31 studies, four with different (and thus non-comparable) physical units and six without control groups were excluded. Outcomes reported by a sufficient number of studies were included in the meta-analysis. All other outcomes that are not used in the meta-analysis are descriptively summarised in online supplemental table 2.

A meta-analysis was performed on handgrip strength (5 studies), knee extensor muscle strength (6 studies), functional capacity (4 studies), SLS with eyes open (10 studies) and thoracolumbar spine flexibility (4 studies). For the analysis of knee extensor muscle strength, the pooled standardised mean difference based on Hedges' g was calculated because three different physical units were used (newton, newton metre and kilogram). To ensure comparability between the studies, for studies that distinguished between men and women and between left and right leg, overall mean values were calculated for comparison with the studies providing only average values. Using the statistical software Stata (V.15.1; StataCorp), pooled mean effects and 95% CIs were calculated for all included studies. A random-effects model was used due to the presumably high degree of heterogeneity, and to ensure a more balanced weighting when including the smaller studies. In addition, a leave-one-out cross-validation was performed. Heterogeneity was examined by Cochran’s Q test. Subsequently, the observed heterogeneity was quantified by I² and a univariate meta-regression analysis was performed to investigate three variables, which can be considered as possible causes when study population and study design are taken into account. These variables are (1) different numbers of Tai Chi movements, (2) presence of a chronic disease (binary variable: yes/no) and handgrip, elbow extensor, elbow flexor, dorsiflexor, plantar flexor, knee extensor, knee flexor and hip flexor strength, (2) physical endurance respectively functional capacity, including incremental shuttle walk test (ISWT; physical unit: metre), endurance shuttle walk test (ESWT; physical unit: second), maximal oxygen consumption (VO2max; physical unit: ml/kg/min), 6 min walk test (6MWT; physical units: metre, mile, yard), 12 min walk test (12MWT; physical unit: mile), (3) postural balance (physical unit: second), including SLS with open eyes, SLS with closed eyes, tandem stance (TDS) with open eyes, TDS with closed eyes and other, and (4) flexibility (physical units: centimetre, inch), including stand-and-reach test, sit-and-reach test, shoulder range of motion and hip range of motion. Details are displayed in online supplemental table 1.
(3) existing balance impairment (binary variable: yes/no). The latter was investigated in four studies including only balanced impaired individuals. For all five outcomes, a forest plot was generated.

**RESULTS**

Through database searching, a total of 3817 records were identified. After removing 1308 duplicates, 2509 records were screened for title and abstract. In total, full-text articles of 386 records were retrieved and assessed for eligibility (refer to figure 1). Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, further articles were eliminated, resulting in 31 articles being included in the review. After excluding another ten articles due to the above stated reasons, 21 out of the 31 articles were included in the meta-analysis. Handsearch revealed no additional studies.

**Study characteristics**

The characteristics of all included studies are summarised in the following section and are listed in online supplemental table 1.

The majority of the studies (23 out of 31) were two-armed RCTs and the remaining (eight) studies used a three-armed RCT design. Out of the two-armed RCTs, 19 studies comprised a Tai Chi intervention group and a control group that did not perform physical exercises, three studies had a control group performing physical exercises and one study compared two intervention groups, each with a different Tai Chi style. One of the three-armed RCTs also compared three groups with different Tai Chi styles.

The number of participants ranged from 1425 to 368. The participants were mostly healthy people aged 60 years or more, although in one study the participants were aged between 16 and 25 years. Four studies investigated people with history or higher risk of falling (defined by either Berg balance score ≤45 or one leg standing time between 5 and 20 s), while 11 studies examined individuals with the following chronic diseases: Parkinson disease, osteopenia, osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, fibromyalgia, COPD, and breast cancer survivors.

The intervention duration ranged from 3 weeks to 12 months and the training frequency varied from one to seven times per week (40–90 min per training session). Half of the studies used a routine comprising 24 movements based on the Yang style. The other studies used fewer movements, except for two that comprised 30, and 56 movements respectively. Only three studies included the Chen, Wu or Sun style. In addition, two studies compared two or more styles.

The outcome measures were as follows. The strength of different muscles was assessed in 14 studies, among which seven investigated handgrip strength, one the biceps and triceps muscles, three the plantiflexor and dorsiflexor muscles, four the knee flexor muscles, eight the knee extensor muscles and one the hip flexor muscles. Physical endurance was assessed in three studies using the 6MWT or the 12MWT. Balance ability was assessed in 14 studies. The most common test, which was used in 12 studies, was the SLS with open eyes. SLS with closed eyes was used in six studies, while tandem stance with open eyes was used in three studies, and with closed eyes in only one study. Flexibility was the most infrequently investigated parameter. Only a few studies assessed the flexibility of the limb joints. One study examined the shoulder, two the hip flexibility and one plantar flexion of the foot. The thoracolumbar spine flexibility was assessed by five studies, using either the sit-and-reach or the stand-and-reach test.

**Summary of results**

The outcomes in the Tai Chi intervention groups can be divided into four categories (muscular strength, physical endurance/functional capacity, postural balance and flexibility), as described below.

**Muscular strength**

Regarding handgrip strength, three out of seven studies demonstrated a significant improvement, while one study reported a decrease. Knee flexor strength was significantly improved in two studies. Knee extensor muscle strength increased significantly in four studies. No significant differences were found with respect to the strength of the biceps and triceps muscles, plantiflexor and dorsiflexor muscles, or hip flexor muscles.

**Physical endurance/functional capacity**

In total, three studies investigated physical endurance. One study found a significant increase of VO2max with Tai Chi training, whereas another study observed no change. In the case of the ISWT/ESWT, a significant increase with Tai Chi training was reported. In terms of functional capacity, as measured by walking distance using the 6MWT, four studies demonstrated a significant improvement with Tai Chi training. In contrast, one study found no change and Wolf et al reported a significant decrease for the 12MWT.

**Postural balance**

The test most commonly used (12 studies) to assess the balance of the participants was the SLS with open eyes. An improvement in balance was observed by seven studies. The SLS test with closed eyes was used in six studies, of which three reported a significant increase in standing time. Regarding the TDS test with open eyes, as used in three studies, a significantly prolonged standing time was noted in one study, while in the one study that used the TDS test with closed eyes, no significant change was reported.

**Flexibility**

Flexibility was assessed by seven studies. A significant improvement of shoulder mobility was found in one study. One study observed a significant improvement.
in hip flexor range and plantar flexion of the foot, whereas another study\textsuperscript{19} found no change. No significant improvement was found in knee range of motion.\textsuperscript{13} Out of five studies that evaluated thoracolumbar spine flexibility, four\textsuperscript{19, 36, 46, 47} demonstrated a significant improvement with Tai Chi training.

**Risk of bias within studies**

The results of the risk of bias assessment are shown in online supplemental table 3. In six studies\textsuperscript{24, 25, 33, 34, 43, 45} randomisation methods and allocation concealment were not specified, while three studies\textsuperscript{27, 31, 40} did not report use of allocation concealment. Due to the nature of the intervention, blinding of the participants and instructors was not possible in those studies. In total, 17 studies reported blinding of the outcome assessors, 13 did not specify and 1 study\textsuperscript{26} stated that the assessors were not blinded to group allocation. One study\textsuperscript{31} reported the baseline characteristics of the participants, but not those of the analysed participants after drop outs. In addition, no adjustment for multiple comparisons was performed in that study.\textsuperscript{31}

**Meta-analysis**

**Results**

The results of the random-effects model meta-analysis with pooled mean effects, 95% CIs and study weights are presented as forest plots in figures 2 and 3. Regarding handgrip strength (figure 2A), a significant pooled mean improvement of 2.34kg (95%CI 1.53 to 3.14) was observed. The pooled standardised mean difference based on Hedges’ g showed no significant effect (−0.18, 95% CI −0.10 to 0.47) on knee extensor muscle strength (figure 2B). In the case of functional capacity, as measured by the 6MWT (figure 3A), a significant increase in walking distance (43.37m, 95%CI 29.12 to 57.63) was found. For the SLS test with open eyes (figure 3B), a significant increase in testing time of 6.41s (95%CI 4.58 to 8.24) was noted. Thoracolumbar spine flexibility (figure 3C) showed a significant improvement of 2.33 cm (95% CI 0.11 to 4.55).

**Heterogeneity and publication bias**

The I\textsuperscript{2} of the studies of the different outcomes ranged between 84.4% and 100%, indicating a considerable between-study heterogeneity. The univariate meta-regression analysis, which was used to investigate the influence of three explanatory variables on the observed heterogeneity, showed no influence on the two variables presence of a chronic disease and different numbers of Tai Chi movements. In the case of thoracolumbar spine flexibility, the results of the univariate meta-regression analysis showed that 91.4% (p=0.029) of the between study heterogeneity could be explained by the explanatory variable balance impairment. The consistency of the leave-one-out cross-validation showed that the results of the meta-analyses are robust.

According to the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews,\textsuperscript{48} at least 10 included studies are needed to test for funnel plot asymmetry. Therefore, sufficient data only for the SLS test with open eyes was available for the analysis. The funnel plot in figure 4 shows an almost symmetric distribution (p=0.657), which suggests that there is no publication bias for this outcome. All other outcomes included considerably fewer studies, so no test for funnel plot asymmetry was applied.

**DISCUSSION**

The purpose of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to investigate whether Tai Chi training could improve muscle strength, physical endurance, postural balance and flexibility in healthy persons and individuals with chronic diseases, when measuring these parameters only with physical performance tests commonly used in health-related fitness or competitive sports contexts. The meta-analysis showed significant improvements in handgrip strength, functional capacity (measured by the 6MWT), postural balance (measured by SLS test with open eyes) and thoracolumbar spine flexibility with Tai Chi training. No significant effect was found in knee extensor muscle strength.
The significant improvement of 2.34 kg in handgrip strength, also supported by Hwang et al., is only a slight effect, which is below the minimal clinically important difference (MCID) of 5.0–6.5 kg stated by Bohannon. Thus, this improvement should not be seen as an increase in strength, but rather in its function as predictor of total muscle strength in children and young people, and of daily functioning in the oldest. Therefore, the improvement in handgrip strength noted in this meta-analysis, suggests that Tai Chi training has a moderate beneficial effect for improving the physical health of people of any age.

In the case of functional capacity, the meta-analysis, which included only the 6MWT walking distance, revealed a significant improvement in walking distance by 43.37 m. According to Bohannon and Crouch, who reported for the MCID of the 6MWT a range between 14.0 m and 30.5 m, the improvement of 43.37 m walking distance can be considered as clinically relevant. In contrast to our findings, Wolf et al., who used the 12MWT, observed a significant decrease in walking distance by 0.02 miles. One possible reason for this discrepancy could be the participants’ fitness status. While the participants in the 6MWT groups were all individuals with chronic diseases, Wolf et al. tested only healthy participants on the 12MWT. It is possible that the exercise intensity of Tai Chi, classified by Ainsworth et al. as moderate intensity with four metabolic equivalents, is efficacious for improving exercise performance only in persons suffering from chronic diseases, and not in healthy people with a relatively high baseline level of fitness. In contrast, Audette et al. reported a significant increase in VO2max, indicative of better aerobic fitness, in older healthy women after Tai Chi training. However, VO2max was not measured directly, instead being calculated based on the submaximal ergometry results. In addition, it is also possible that the intensity of the performed Tai Chi movements was too low to serve as an appropriate training stimulus for healthy people. This could also be the reason why out of nine studies that investigated lower limb strength, only five studies found a significant increase. Lan et al. previously remarked a relationship between simplified movements and insufficient intensity. This is supported by Wen et al.’s biomechanical analysis of four Tai Chi stances (poses). They reported a 58.9% higher knee flexion range of motion and 43.57% higher peak knee flexion.
extension moment for the bow stance (lunge-position) performed in a ‘lower’ versus ‘higher’ stance, where the latter resulted in less knee flexion.

Both, the performance of the SLS test with open eyes and thoracolumbar spine flexibility showed a significant increase in this meta-analysis. According to Kasukawa et al. and Ishikawa et al., there is an interrelationship between spinal mobility, sagittal spinal shape and balance impairment, which is caused by displacement of the centre of gravity. Thus, it is not surprising that the study of Zhang et al. that included balance-impaired participants with a higher risk of falling (defined by one leg standing time between 5 and 20 s), reported the greatest improvement in thoracolumbar spine flexibility and also a significant improvement in the SLS test with open eyes performance. A possible correlation between thoracolumbar spine flexibility and balance ability is supported by the result of the univariate meta-regression analysis. Thus, in case of thoracolumbar spine flexibility 91.4% (p=0.029) of the between-study heterogeneity could be explained by balance impairment as explanatory variable.

Strengths and limitations
According to our study design, only studies that used tests applied in health-related fitness or competitive sports contexts were included. Therefore, the strength of our study lies in the fact that the outcomes of these tests were not mixed with clinical assessment tests.

An additional strength, although a disadvantage with respect to the total number of included studies, is that numerous studies that used clinical assessment tests adapted to severely ill individuals, such as the Timed Up and Go test, were excluded. Additionally, studies that did not assign participants randomly to groups were also not considered. For example, one study that found significant improvements in physical endurance, knee extensor muscle strength and thoracolumbar spine flexibility was excluded. Thus, a relatively small number of studies were eligible for inclusion in the meta-analysis.

The major limitation was the considerable between-study heterogeneity that, according to the meta-regression, resulted neither from the explanatory variables different numbers of movements, existing balance impairment (except in the case of thoracolumbar spine flexibility), nor from the presence of a chronic disease. One important reason that could explain the heterogeneity and divergent results among the studies was the vague description of the Tai Chi intervention provided by most of them. Thus, comparison of the quality and intensity of the Tai Chi movements was not possible. Moreover, differences in intervention duration and weekly training frequency, as well as training duration, further hindered comparisons. This is supported by two studies that analysed the effect of the different styles of Tai Chi, and concluded that movements based on the Chen style have superior effects on aerobic capacity and arterial stiffness.

Conclusions
In summary, improvements in handgrip strength (a predictor of overall total muscular strength and functional health) and walking distance, as well as in the related balance ability and thoracolumbar spine flexibility, show that Tai Chi training is useful for healthy older people, as well as for individuals suffering from chronic diseases such as osteoarthritis, COPD or fibromyalgia.

In addition, an increase in postural balance hypothetically derives not only from slow motions of the legs and kicking movements while standing on one leg, but is also positively influenced by the thoracolumbar spine flexibility which is probably supported by the rotational motion of the upper body during the performance of Tai Chi movements.

The slightly increase of handgrip strength, the small number of studies that reported a significant increase of lower limb strength and the decrease in 12MWT walking distance in healthy people suggest the importance of performing high-intensity Tai Chi movements, for example, use of lower stances with greater flexion of the knees. To assess the intensity and quality of the Tai Chi movements performed, photographs would be helpful. For example, Leung et al. provided a series of photographs that demonstrated the performance of the movements, but such studies are very rare. Further RCTs including younger healthy participants performing a widely used, standardised form (e.g., Peking-style routine) of Tai Chi, and practising high-intensity movements (e.g., use of lower stances), are needed to evaluate the overall impact of Tai Chi on muscular strength, physical endurance, postural balance and flexibility.
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