Implementation of a behavioural change intervention to enhance oral health behaviours in elite athletes: a feasibility study
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ABSTRACT
Background Poor oral health of elite athletes is common and is associated with negative performance impacts. There is a need for oral health promotion strategies that are effective within the elite sport environment.

Aim To develop, implement and evaluate a pragmatic oral health promotion intervention that integrated the capability, opportunity, motivation and behaviour model of behavioural change into the knowledge transfer system for effective implementation of preventive interventions.

Methods Repeated measures study. Athletes and support team together viewed one 10 min presentation and three 90 s information films. Athletes alone received oral health screening, personalised advice and an oral health toolkit. Outcome measures included: (1) oral health knowledge, athlete-reported performance impacts (Oslo Sports Trauma Research Centre, OSTRC score), use of oral hygiene aids, gingival inflammation (bleeding) score, recorded at baseline, 4–6 weeks and 12–16 weeks and (2) athlete feedback.

Results We recruited 62 athletes; 44 (71%) male and 58 (93.5%) white British, 55 (88.7%) athletes completed the study. Mean knowledge score improved from 5.69 (1.59) to 6.93 (1.32) p<0.001. Mean OSTRC score reduced from 8.73 (14.54) to 2.73 (11.31) p<0.001. Athlete use of prescription strength fluoride toothpaste increased from 8.73 (14.54) to 2.73 (11.31) p<0.001. Athlete use of interdental cleaning aids at least 2–3x week increased from 10 (16.2%) to 21 (34%) p=0.013. Bleeding score remained unchanged.

Conclusion This behavioural change intervention was successfully implemented within different elite sport environments. It was associated with an increase in athlete oral health knowledge, enhanced oral health behaviour, a reduction in self-reported performance impacts and high participant retention.

INTRODUCTION
A recent study involving 352 elite athletes found dental caries in 49%, gingival inflammation in 77% and evidence of periodontitis in a further 22%.1 Furthermore, oral health problems make a negative contribution to elite athlete health, well-being and performance.1–3 Elite athletes have indicated that they are willing to adopt enhanced oral health behaviours to improve their oral health.4 The document ‘Delivering better oral health: a toolkit for prevention’5 provides evidence-based guidance to support oral health promotion and prevention of common oral diseases. However, the evidence currently relates to the environment outside of sport, therefore, implementation in an elite athlete environment needs to be tested.

To be fully effective, promotion of health should be both targeted and underpinned by scientific evidence.5 The knowledge transfer system (KTS) was developed to guide implementation of injury preventive interventions in sport.6 The focus of the International Olympic Committee (IOC) consensus statement on prevention of non-communicable diseases is on simple interventions that include behavioural change, designed with an understanding of individual preferences and engagement across relevant networks.8 The behavioural change wheel (BCW) provides a structured approach to designing behavioural change interventions and strategies.9 At the centre of the wheel is the COM-B model of behaviour that identifies sources of behaviour that could provide opportunities for intervention.10

What are the new findings?
- Addition of the capability, opportunity, motivation and behaviour (COM-B) behavioural change model to the knowledge transfer system can promote athlete adherence to recommended health behaviours.
- This intervention, based on the COM-B behavioural change model, was associated with improvements in oral health knowledge, oral health behaviours and impacts on performance reported by elite athletes.
- Oral health screening and oral health promotion programmes can be implemented in elite athlete teams.
Table 1  Behavioural change wheel (BCW) activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BCW activity</th>
<th>As it relates to the behaviour of elite athletes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Behavioural target specification: Identify the precise goal of the intervention in terms of what behaviour/s need/s to change, to what degree, in what way, and in whom.</td>
<td>Effective disruption of plaque on a daily basis, with prescription fluoride toothpaste (PFT).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Behavioural diagnosis: Find out what would need to change for the behaviour to change in terms of Capability (physical and psychological), Opportunity (physical and social) and/or motivation (reflective and automatic) in the target population, group or individual.</td>
<td>Capability to disrupt plaque effectively and increase fluoride availability twice daily. Opportunity to use oral hygiene aids and fluoride toothpaste. Motivation to avoid oral health problems and associated performance impacts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Implementation strategy selection: Choose from among a range of policy options to support long-term implementation: fiscal policy, legislation, regulation, environmental planning, communications, service provision, guidelines development.</td>
<td>Service provision: oral health screening.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Selection of specific behavioural change techniques: Develop a detailed intervention plan by selecting from among a range of specific behavioural change techniques (elementary components of interventions such as goal-setting, providing rewards, etc).</td>
<td>Goal-setting: to brush for 2 min twice daily with PFT. Planning: to brush before bed and before training in the morning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Drafting the full intervention specification: Create the detailed intervention specification covering all aspects of content and delivery of the intervention structured around the chosen behavioural change techniques (content) and modes of delivery.</td>
<td>Capability: verbal presentation, 3 short films and screening. Opportunity: provide oral health kit. Motivation: verbal presentation and screening.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Capability (C), that is, the person having the physical skills and knowledge to perform the behaviour.
- Opportunity (O), that is, access to the necessary materials and social environment such that the person feels able to undertake the new behaviour.
- Motivation (M) refers to a person deciding to adopt the behaviour.

Our aim was to test the feasibility and evaluate the effectiveness of simple preventive interventions, based on the contemporary (COM-B) behavioural change model, to improve oral health knowledge, enhance oral health behaviour and reduce performance impacts, in elite athletes.

METHODS

Patient and public involvement statement

We designed the intervention and study protocol with input from experts and an elite athlete focus group. We have provided a final report to each team.

Development of the intervention

Table 1 presents a summary of the BCW activities that we followed to develop the intervention.9

The most important behavioural factor to mitigate the risks, of both dental caries and periodontal diseases, is routinely performed oral hygiene with fluoride.10 Where caries risk is increased, high strength prescription fluoride toothpaste (PFT) is indicated.3 Therefore, we identified two key target behaviours as effective plaque removal, including interdentally and increasing fluoride availability with PFT 2600 ppm. Two key motivations for change were emphasised:

2. Appearance: poor oral health can affect appearance.

Thus, oral health was not necessarily the end goal but an approach to achieving high value motivators.

The final intervention

The final intervention was on two levels: level 1—athletes and support team together viewed one 10 min presentation focused on building motivation to improve oral health, and three 90s information films focused on increasing oral health knowledge and skills to perform optimum oral health behaviour. Level 2—athletes alone received oral health screening, personalised advice and an oral health toolkit containing a manual toothbrush (GlaxoSmithKline (GSK), Sensodyne 3.5), PFT 2800 (Colgate Duraphat) and flosspicks (Procter & Gamble, Oral B Glide) to enhance COM.

Study design and setting

We conducted this pragmatic, repeated measures study at the training venues of one professional and two olympic athlete teams. Participation in the study was entirely voluntary and with no obligation and we obtained informed written consent from each athlete. The study ran for three field periods of July–October 2018, August–December 2018 and February–April 2019 and we collected data at four time points:
Figure 1  Study flow diagram.

1. Prestudy visit (−1 week):
   - Gain participant consent.
   - Collect baseline questionnaire data.
2. Study visit 1 (intervention):
   - Presentation to the whole team including athletes and medical support staff.
   - Oral health screening, clinical assessment of gingival health, provision of oral health report and oral health kit to athletes.
   - Athlete evaluation of the presentations and films.
3. Study visit 2 (4–8 weeks):
   - Collect follow-up questionnaire data.
   - Clinical assessment of gingival health.
4. Study visit 3 (12–18 weeks):
   - Collect follow-up questionnaire data.
   - Clinical assessment of gingival health.
   - Athlete evaluation of the oral health kit.

Outcome measures
The primary outcomes were: (1) athlete oral health knowledge, oral health behaviours, athlete-reported performance impacts. We measured knowledge with a questionnaire previously used in a study to assess oral health knowledge between dental healthcare professionals and the public.12 This questionnaire comprised eight questions with options of true, false or do not know. Each correct answer scored 1, therefore, the maximum possible score was 8. We asked the athletes about their use of toothbrush, fluoride toothpaste and interdental cleaning aids with items from a questionnaire used in a previous study.4 We used an oral health modification of the Oslo Sports Trauma Research Centre (OSTRC) overuse injury questionnaire13 (maximum possible score 100) to measure impact on performance in sport.14

Secondary outcomes included: gingival inflammation as a short term, objective measure of oral health, athlete evaluation of the presentation and the oral health kit and adherence to toothbrushing measured using a remote sensor, ‘Brushlink’. Gingival inflammation (bleeding) was measured as a bleeding on probing percentage score (maximum possible score 100%).

Baseline oral health was measured during oral health screening with standard clinical indices of dental caries, periodontal health and erosive tooth wear, as described in a previous paper.1 Baseline oral health was measured during oral health screening with standard clinical indices of dental caries, periodontal health and erosive tooth wear, as described in a previous paper.1 Baseline oral health was measured during oral health screening with standard clinical indices of dental caries, periodontal health and erosive tooth wear, as described in a previous paper.1 Baseline oral health was measured during oral health screening with standard clinical indices of dental caries, periodontal health and erosive tooth wear, as described in a previous paper.1

Sample size and statistical analysis
As this was an exploratory study, we did not calculate a minimum sample size. However, for studies using self-reported outcome measures, an acceptable minimum sample size is generally considered to be 50,15 therefore, we aimed to recruit 55 athletes to allow for a drop-out rate of 10%. Data were coded for entry into a spreadsheet by a person independent of the study then imported to a standard statistical package (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, V.22.0) for analysis. Distribution of the data was assessed and continuous variables described as median with IQR and range. Counts and percentages summarise the categorical data. Non-parametric tests included the X² for categorical data and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test for continuous data. Significance values for all hypothesis tests were set at 0.05.

RESULTS
We delivered the intervention to 62 athletes and 55 (88.7%) completed the study. Figure 1 presents the flow of participants, with the reasons why seven athletes did not complete the study.

Characteristics of the group
Table 2 provides a summary of the demographics and baseline oral health of the group. Thirty (48.4%) were professional athletes and 32 (51.6%) were from Olympic training squads.

Primary outcomes
Table 3 provides a summary of the primary outcomes at baseline, visit 2 (4–6 weeks) and visit 3 (12–18 weeks). There was a statistically significant improvement in athlete knowledge (p<0.001), athlete-reported use of PFT (p<0.001), interdental cleaning (p=0.013) and mean OSTRC score (p<0.001) from baseline to visit 3. At each visit, a different athlete recorded a very high OSTRC score, due to an acute oral health problem. Most
Non-zero scores were in the minor range (0–30) and the majority of athletes scored 0 (no impact).

Secondary outcomes
The median bleeding score (Table 3) decreased from 10 at baseline to 7.5 at visit 2 then increased to 10.5 at visit 3. Overall there was no significant change in the bleeding score (p=0.952).

Fifty-eight athletes completed a written evaluation of the presentation at study visit one and 55 athletes completed an evaluation of the utility of the oral health kit at study visit three. Table 4 provides a summary of the athlete feedback. The presentation appears to have provided a good level of motivation for the athletes to adhere to the recommended oral hygiene behaviours in order to avoid negative impacts from poor oral health. In addition, most athletes intend to continue to use the PFT.

**DISCUSSION**

**Key findings**
We implemented this pragmatic, repeated measures study in three separate elite athlete groups and a high proportion (88.7%) of athletes completed the study at 3 months despite their intensive training activities. Overall, this intervention was associated with improvements in athlete knowledge, enhanced oral health behaviour and athlete-reported performance impacts. Athletes said they were highly motivated to avoid negative impacts from poor oral health and 80% said they intended to continue to use PFT.

**Strengths of the study**
This study demonstrated high levels of participant retention and adherence to the intervention. We developed this study within a research framework recommended for use in the field of sports and exercise medicine; the KTS model for implementation of intervention. It was conducted exclusively in an elite athlete setting and

Table 2 Demographics and baseline oral health of the group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Median (IQR) Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>24 (22–27.25) 18–33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male)</td>
<td>44 71.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity (white British)</td>
<td>58 93.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least one tooth with moderate decay (ICDAS &gt;3)</td>
<td>17 24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild gum inflammation (BPE 1 or 2)</td>
<td>58 93.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate gum inflammation (BPE 3)</td>
<td>4 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate erosion (BEWE &gt;7)</td>
<td>29 46.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BEWE, Basic Erosive Wear Examination; BPE, Basic Periodontal Examination; ICDAS, International caries detection and assessment system.

Table 3 Outcomes at baseline, visit 2 and visit 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary outcomes</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>V2</th>
<th>V3</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge score median (IQR) range</td>
<td>6 (5–7) 2–8</td>
<td>7 (5–8) 2–8</td>
<td>8 (6–8) 3–8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use PFT ≥1x day n (%)</td>
<td>8 (12.9)</td>
<td>47 (79.7)</td>
<td>45 (80.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean ID ≥3x week n (%)</td>
<td>10 (16.2)</td>
<td>30 (75.0)</td>
<td>21 (34.0)</td>
<td>=0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSTRC score median (IQR) range</td>
<td>0 (0–8) 0–82</td>
<td>0 (0) 0–69</td>
<td>0 (0) 0–82</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding score median (IQR) range</td>
<td>10 (5–15) 1–36</td>
<td>7.5 (4–14) 0–51</td>
<td>10.5 (6.63–16) 0–35</td>
<td>=0.952</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Athlete feedback provided at study visit one and study visit three

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study visit 1</th>
<th>% athletes motivated to adhere to recommended behaviour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Impact on daily activities</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact on performance in sport</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation elsewhere in the body</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unattractive appearance</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid erosion</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gum diseases</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth decay</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Study visit 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oral hygiene aids</th>
<th>% athletes intending to use in the future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manual TB</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric TB</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFT</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flosspicks</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PFT, prescription fluoride toothpaste; TB, toothbrush.

ID, interdentally; OSTRC, Oslo Sports Trauma Research Centre; PFT, prescription fluoride toothpaste.
was informed by data collected from a representative sample of Olympic and professional athletes to help understand the context of oral health in elite athletes. Our knowledge transfer group comprised behavioural change experts, dental experts and key stakeholders in elite sport including athletes and members of the support team. User engagement played a pivotal role in the development, implementation and evaluation of this project. The intervention design was based on the BCW, a contemporary behavioural change method for characterising and designing behavioural change interventions and the final ‘product’ developed using the COM-B model of behaviour that was incorporated into the KTS as an additional stage (Table 5). The study, therefore, benefited from a clear and structured approach and this methodology could be applicable to other health behavioural change targets in sports medicine.

In relation to oral health the preventive interventions conformed with best available evidence contained in the guidance, Delivering Better Oral Health. Oral health promotion by dental professionals has been shown to have a positive effect on recipient knowledge, behaviour and gingival health. Therefore the 10 min presentation was delivered by a dentist (JG) and the three short (90s) information films featured an Olympic athlete as a model. Information included the following elements: emphasise the benefits of adherence to the recommended oral hygiene routine, provide information on susceptibility to oral disease, encourage athlete to plan where, when and how the behaviour will occur and emphasise habit formation. During the oral health screening, feedback to each athlete provided a further opportunity to enhance motivation by emphasising cognitions likely to increase the recommended behaviour.

The baseline oral health score for the athlete groups in this study were lower than the levels of oral diseases measured in our previous epidemiological study. The reason for this is not clear, however, we were not able to conduct representative sampling due to the requirements of this study and availability of athletes. The lower levels of disease could be a random chance phenomenon or reflect selective bias if more athletes with better oral health volunteered.

### Limitations of the study

There were, however, some limitations to this study, the most important being the lack of a control group. We had originally planned for a randomised controlled trial, but teams did not wish to involve athletes in a control group. Coaches and elite team managers did not consider attendance for repeated monitoring of a non-intervention group an appropriate use of athletes’ time. Another is the reliance for several of the outcome measures on self-report.

Blinding of the examiner or the participants was not possible. Like many behavioural change interventions, there was a short-term improvement in knowledge and behaviours but no measurable health outcome changes. This study employed a number of elements and future research could aim to identify which are most effective from an athlete perspective. The intervention in this study was aimed at the whole group. Future research could further investigate the use of specific behavioural change techniques during feedback to individual athletes. This was a short-term study, conducted with limited resources, however, it provides a basis for a larger scale implementation initiative.

### Implications for well-being and performance

In elite sport, performance is everything and there can be differences in perspective between a performance point of view and a medical viewpoint. High-performance sport is a time-pressured environment where immediate results can often supersede pursuit of the most effective evidence-based practice. We hypothesised that ‘loss aversion’ would be a more potent motivator (‘M’ from COM-B) than oral health improvement. The intervention was designed to target this aspect as well as another target which became apparent during the initial athlete engagement phase, that is, appearance. We conducted a poststudy evaluation which found that the factor which was most widely reported to have

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KTS steps</th>
<th>Processes</th>
<th>Evidence/sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 2 evidence synthesis and description.</td>
<td>For all available evidence: Gain for the individual. Gain for society. Context for the evidence. Contemporary views.</td>
<td>Oral health-related behaviours reported by elite and professional athletes. DBOH.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 4 intervention (product) development.</td>
<td>Product goal. Target group. Product context.</td>
<td>COM-B model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 5 evaluation.</td>
<td>Use a framework such as: Reach. Effectiveness. Adoption. Implementation. Maintenance.</td>
<td>Study protocol. Disseminate results (publication).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COM-B, capability, opportunity, motivation and behaviour; DBOH, delivering better oral health; KTS, knowledge transfer system. |
motivated the athletes (93%) to adhere to the intervention was avoidance of inflammation from oral disease elsewhere in the body. The use of short (10min) intervention strands seemed most implementable in high-performance sport as they have been used widely for lifestyle interventions such as tobacco cessation. Elite athletes are a highly disciplined group and this intervention built on established oral healthcare routines, requiring little additional behavioural change from the athletes, demonstrating similarity with other models that place emphasis on an intervention being easy, attractive, social and timely. This study demonstrates that The KTS plus the BCW provides a framework on which to base future implementation research.

CONCLUSIONS
This study demonstrates that an oral health intervention, based on contemporary behavioural change theory (COM-B model of behaviour) implemented in three separate groups of elite athletes, was associated with good adherence and improvements in athlete oral health knowledge, enhanced oral health behaviour, reduced performance impacts and high participant retention. Short (10min) educational interventions with provision of PFT and interdental cleaning aids to mitigate the risks of dental caries and periodontal diseases are simple interventions that appear to be well accepted by elite athletes.

Twitter Julie Gallagher @oralhealthsport and Ian Needelman @IanNeedelman
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