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ABSTRACT

Objectives The aims of this pilot study were to investigate oxygen uptake (\(\dot{V}O_2\)) while playing a cycling exergame to assess exercise intensity to determine its potential as a feasible exercise alternative to improve aerobic fitness, and to assess the validity of using heart rate (HR) to estimate \(\dot{V}O_2\) in exergaming.

Methods Five males (age: 32±8; peak oxygen uptake (\(\dot{V}O_2\)peak): 47.9±7.8 mL·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\)) and five females (age: 27±3; \(\dot{V}O_2\)peak: 33.9±4.6 mL·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\)) played the cycling exergame 'Pedal Tanks' for 45 min, with measurements of HR and \(\dot{V}O_2\).

Results Average and peak \(\dot{V}O_2\) during exergaming were 61.7±10.1% and 78.3±17.7% of \(\dot{V}O_{2\max}\) respectively, whereas average and peak HR were 80.0±9.4% and 91.5±6.7% of HRpeak. There was a strong positive correlation between \(\dot{V}O_2\) and HR for all participants (p<0.05) although estimated \(\dot{V}O_2\) from HR was 9% higher than that measured during exergaming.

Conclusion Our preliminary data suggest that the cycling exergame we investigated can elicit moderate-to-vigorous intensities and may therefore be a viable alternative to conventional aerobic exercise. The exercise intensity during exergaming was overestimated when using HR alone.

INTRODUCTION

There is abundant evidence for the health benefits of regular physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness is a strong predictor of health and longevity. Still, only one out of three Norwegian adults fulfil current guidelines for physical activity for health benefits (>150 min of moderate intensity/week or 75 min of vigorous intensity/week). Two of the most frequently reported reasons for not being sufficiently physically active are a lack of time and a lack of enjoyment/motivation. Cardiorespiratory fitness is more strongly associated with health than physical activity; therefore interventions aiming to improve health outcomes should focus on improving maximum oxygen uptake (\(\dot{V}O_2\)peak). Vigorous intensity exercise, such as high-intensity interval training (HIIT), has been found to be more effective in improving health and \(\dot{V}O_{2\max}\) than both low/moderate-intensity exercise.

Health and \(\dot{V}O_{2\max}\) are more effectively improved by aerobic fitness. Exergaming, which is the play of videogames that require physical exertion, is an enjoyable alternative to traditional exercise. To our knowledge, there is no data on the prevalence of exergaming, but about one third of the Norwegian population play some form of digital game each day. Since we know that children and adolescents who play exergames are more likely to also play regular videogames, there should be a great potential for introducing exergames also in the adult population. However, many commercially available exergames simulate traditional exercise which may not be motivating for people who do not already enjoy exercise. In addition, studies on different exergames indicate that they at most elicit moderate exercise intensities, which can limit their potential as a viable alternative to improve cardiorespiratory fitness. Furthermore, many of the studies investigating exercise intensity during exergaming have been limited by only using heart rate (HR) as measure of intensity, which can be disproportionately higher than oxygen uptake (\(\dot{V}O_2\)) during exergaming. Therefore, we suggest that HR measurements should be supplemented with assessment of \(\dot{V}O_2\) to more accurately report exercise intensity in exergaming. Currently, data demonstrating moderate-to-vigorous intensities in exergaming have been limited either by relying on HR to assess exercise intensity and/or by simulating traditional exercise.
It is important to establish enjoyable, yet effective, ways of exercising for individuals who are not motivated by traditional exercise training.

The aims of this pilot study were therefore to assess exercise intensity, measured as \( \dot{V}O_2 \) while playing a cycling exergame, and to investigate associations between peak oxygen uptake (\( \dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}} \)) and attained exercise intensity during exergaming. Our hypothesis was that exercise intensity would be classified as vigorous (>64% of \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}} \)) in periods during the game and that relative exercise intensity would be negatively correlated with the individuals’ \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}} \). In addition, we hypothesised that HR measurements would overestimate exercise intensity in exergaming.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

Ten healthy men and women volunteered and took part in this study (characteristics in table 1). Subjects were recruited via word-of-mouth and to be included they had to be 18 years or more and able to ride a bike for minimum 45 min. All subjects gave written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. We submitted the study protocol to the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics (REK-midt 2017/506) who evaluated that the study would not need an ethical approval from them. Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting or dissemination plans of this research.

**Experimental design**

All participants completed two testing sessions on separate, non-consecutive days, 1 day of baseline testing and 1 day with an exergaming session. The baseline testing consisted of an incremental exercise test to exhaustion on a bicycle ergometer to determine \( \dot{V}O_{2\text{peak}} \) and an exergaming familiarisation session. On the second day of testing, the participants played the exergame ‘Pedal-Tanks’ for 45 min.

**Pedal Tanks exergame**

Pedal Tanks is a recently developed online multiplayer that capture the flag arena game played on a regular stationary bike. Each player control a tank by using the pedals and six buttons on the handlebar of the bike. The game is played with four players, in teams of two, where the aim of the game is to capture the opponent’s flag and bring it back to your own base. Movement in the game is encouraged through the aim, with an increased cycling cadence generating increased velocity of the tank and regeneration of ammunition (figure 1). Each game consists of a preselected number of rounds that end once one team manages to capture the flag and bring it home to their base or when the timer runs out, followed by a short break.\(^{21}\)

**Measurements**

Participants performed an incremental exercise test on an electronically braked cycle ergometer (Lode). The test began with a 10-min warm-up with a self-selected...
cadence before we increased work rate by 10–30 W·min−1, depending on the assumed fitness level of the participant, in order to reach volitional exhaustion in 8–12 min. Criteria for attainment of VO2peak were a levelling off in O2 uptake, respiratory exchange ratio >1.10 and/or volitional exhaustion.22 We reported VO2peak as the mean of the three highest 10 s values during the test and HRpeak as the highest 5 s HR measurement. Due to technical difficulties we were not able to obtain HRpeak for one participant and for this person we estimated HRmax using the formula 211−0.64 × age.23

Participants wore HR monitors (Polar H10 sensors) during the entire exergaming session. To minimise discomfort for the participants, we only measured VO2 during the last 20 min of exergaming and reported the average and peak VO2 as percentages of VO2peak HR for the last 20 min of exergaming is reported as percentage of HRpeak. For both HR and VO2, exercise intensity was classified as ‘Very light’ (<37% VO2peak/<57% HRpeak), ‘Light’ (37%–45% VO2peak/57%–63% HRpeak), ‘Moderate’ (46%–63% VO2peak/64%–76% HRpeak), ‘Vigorous’ (64%–90% VO2peak/77%–95% HRpeak) and ‘Near-maximal to maximal’ (≥91% VO2peak/≥96% HRpeak).24

For both the incremental exercise test and the exergaming session, we continuously measured and recorded ventilatory variables with a Metamax portable system (Metamax I; Cortex) or a MetaLyzer 3B system (Cortex) (the same system was used for both test sessions for each participant). We calibrated against ambient air and commercial gas with known concentrations of O2 (15.00%) and CO2 (5.00%) before each test session. O2 and CO2 concentrations of room air were measured and the flow transducer was calibrated using a 3 L high-precision calibration syringe.

**Statistical analysis**

We did not perform a sample size calculation due to the pilot nature of the study. We calculated mean and SD for all variables. We analysed the association between HR and VO2 during exergaming using linear regression after inspection of homoscedasticity and normality of the residuals. To determine whether using HR overestimated exercise intensity we calculated estimated relative peak and average VO2 from relative HR for all participants using the formula %VO2peak=1.369−(%HRpeak−40.99).25 We compared the estimated and measured values using paired samples t-tests. In addition, we assessed level of agreement between estimated and measured VO2 using the Bland-Altman plot.26 To investigate the correlation between VO2 peak and attained exercise intensity during exergaming we used Pearson’s product-moment correlation. All analyses were performed using SPSS V.25.0 programme for Windows and GraphPad Prism V.8.0 with level of significance set at p<0.05.

**RESULTS**

Average VO2 during exergaming was 25.0±5.9 mL·kg−1·min−1, corresponding to 61.7±10.1% of VO2peak, whereas peak VO2 during exergaming was 31.7±7.5 mL·kg−1·min−1, corresponding to 78.3±11.7% of VO2peak (figure 2). Average HR attained during exergaming was 145±20 beats·min−1, equivalent to 80.0±9.4% of HRpeak, whereas maximum HR during exergaming was 190±15 beats·min−1, equivalent to 91.5±6.7% of HRpeak (figure 2). During the 20 min of data collection during exergaming, VO2 was equivalent to very light intensity for 0.4±1 min, light intensity for 2.7±4.0 min, moderate intensity for 7.5±3.7 min, vigorous intensity for 8.9±5.3 min and with near-maximal to maximal intensity for 0.3±0.9 min (figure 3). For HR, the corresponding values were 0.9±1.7 min at light intensity, 7.4±6.8 min at moderate intensity, 10.6±7.1 min at vigorous intensity and 0.9±2.0 min at near-maximal to maximal intensity (figure 3). Figure 4 shows a representative HR and VO2 curve for one of the participants during exergaming.
Correlation between individuals’ $\dot{V}_O_{2peak}$ and exercise intensity

There was no significant correlation between $\dot{V}_O_{2peak}$ measured during the incremental exercise test and peak ($r=−0.279, p=0.44$) or average ($r=−0.275, p=0.443$) relative exercise intensity during exergaming.

DISCUSSION

The main findings of this pilot study were: (1) the average and peak $\dot{V}_O_2$ during the exergaming session were with moderate and vigorous intensity, respectively, (2) both average and peak HR were classified as vigorous intensity and (3) although HR variance could significantly explain a large portion of the variation in $\dot{V}_O_2$ during the exergaming session, HR overestimated exercise intensity. Taken together, our results indicate that exergaming can be a viable exercise alternative, not only as a means to increase physical activity levels but also as an efficient strategy to improve $\dot{V}_O_{2peak}$.

Exercise intensity

This is the first study to investigate $\dot{V}_O_2$ while playing the exergame Pedal Tanks. Our findings show that this particular exergame can elicit moderate-to-vigorous exercise intensities. This is in contrast to most previous studies on exercise intensity during exergaming, where light-to-moderate exercise intensities have been reported, which is inferior to isometric vigorous intensity exercise for improving health and fitness. However, some previous studies have demonstrated moderate-to-vigorous exercise intensities during exergaming. These studies contain some methodological differences, compared with our study, that should be highlighted. Although Viana et al showed that exergaming could elicit $\dot{V}_O_2$ classified as vigorous exercise intensity, the average intensity would be categorised as low. In addition, the exergame utilised in their study was a type that simulates regular exercise, which may have a limited reach for individuals who are typically not motivated by traditional training. Bailey and McInnis assessed several exergames and found that they all elicited moderate-to-vigorous intensities. However, $\dot{V}_O_2$ while exergaming was converted to metabolic equivalent task values by dividing by 3.5 mL·kg$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$, failing to take into account individual differences in $\dot{V}_O_{2peak}$, and therefore individual differences in relative exercise intensity. Finally, in their assessment of intensity for several exergames, Wu et al found one exergame where the average relative intensity was very similar to that in our study (58% vs 62% of $\dot{V}_O_{2peak}$). However, intensity was only assessed and averaged over 3 min versus 20 min in our study, which could indicate a lower intensity over longer duration. In a recent study, Farrow et al investigated the effects of virtual reality exergaming during HIIT, consisting of eight 60 s intervals at >70% of maximum power output with 60 s recovery in-between. They found that exergaming improved the power output that participants exercised at during HIIT and found average HR to be
around 88%–90% of HRmax for the 60 s intervals. However, compared with our study where both torque and cadence are self-selected, in that study torque was selected by the researchers and participants were encouraged to work at a minimum of 70% of their maximum power output. We are not aware of any previous studies on exergaming that have investigated time spent in different intensity zones. Our novel findings, where almost half of the exercisers have investigated time spent in different intensity zones. Our novel findings, where almost half of the exercisers that have investigated time spent in different intensity zones. Our novel findings, where almost half of the exercisers that have investigated time spent in different intensity zones. Our novel findings, where almost half of the exercisers that have investigated time spent in different intensity zones.

The measured intensity for exergame in this study is well within the The American College of Sports Medicine’s (ACSM) recommendations for developing cardiorespiratory fitness. Based on our initial results, playing this cycling exergame three times per week for 40 min per session should be more than sufficient to accumulate ACSM’s recommended levels of activity.

**Correlation between VO2peak and exercise intensity**

We hypothesised that those with highest VO2peak would achieve the lowest relative exercise intensities. However, our findings show no significant negative correlation between VO2peak and exercise intensity in this study. This finding indicate that irrespective of aerobic fitness, the exergame enables participants with a VO2peak lower or the same as the normal population (27.4–53.1 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) to exercise at an intensity that can improve cardiorespiratory fitness. These findings are in line with an earlier study in recreational 5-a-side soccer where there was no correlation between VO2peak and relative exercise intensity. In contrast, two studies in small- and large-handball reported a ceiling effect, where the players with the highest VO2peak attained the lowest relative exercise intensities. One reason for the differences between these studies could be that our participants had lower average VO2peak levels (40.9 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) compared with those in the studies by Hoff et al. and Buchheit et al. (67.8 and 57.3 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, respectively). Another possible explanation for the lack of significant correlation between VO2peak and attained exercise intensity during exergaming in this study is the low sample size and relatively heterogeneous fitness level among the participants. In future studies of exercise intensity during exergaming, individuals with higher aerobic capacities than the normal population should be included, although the critical target group for exergaming is those not already involved in traditional endurance training.

**HR–VO2 relationship**

Although previous studies have suggested that there is a discrepancy between HR and VO2 (17, 18) during exergaming, this is the first study to actually assess the association between HR and VO2. Our findings that HR variance could explain ~75% of the variance in VO2 are similar to reports on other forms of intermittent exercise. Furthermore, our results show large individual variation in how much of the HR variance can explain the variation in VO2. In addition, comparisons between estimated and measured VO2 show that HR overestimate VO2 when playing the exergame Pedal Tanks, which most likely is due to the intermittent nature of the game. Our results suggest that HR measurements are sufficient to monitor exercise intensity during exergaming on a group level, although it should be complemented with VO2 to fully capture individual differences and not overestimate aerobic involvement.

**Limitations**

This study is not without limitations. With only 10 participants, inter-individual differences may have affected our findings. Such a low sample size may have compromised our study’s power to detect the full range of intensity the cycling exergame can elicit. Using a cycling test to measure VO2peak could be considered as a limitation to our study, as cycling tests tend to produce lower values than tests performed on a treadmill. This means that the relative exercise intensity could be overestimated in our study. We only measured each participants’ VO2peak during a single exergaming session and cannot rule out that the intensity during exergaming can change over time. However, we have previously shown that HR is not changed over three sessions playing this cycling exergame. We only assessed acute responses and future studies should assess the long-term effectiveness of exergaming to improve VO2peak. Although average and peak intensity were moderate and vigorous, respectively, some individuals did not reach those exercise intensities. We therefore suggest that game developers involve heart-rate power-ups, which will reward players during the game whenever they reach a certain specified heart rate target zone. We believe this will further ensure high exercise intensities while playing, without compromising enjoyment.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The cycling exergame we tested elicits vigorous intensities for both HR and VO2 irrespective of the individuals’ aerobic capacity. Exergaming may therefore be a feasible alternative to traditional endurance training. Furthermore, our findings demonstrate that using HR to assess exercise intensity during exergaming is valid but that aerobic involvement may be underestimated. Larger studies on diverse samples of adults are required to confirm and expand on our results.
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