Quantitative and qualitative analysis of head and body impacts in American 7v7 non-tackle football
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ABSTRACT

Objectives Non-tackle American football is growing in popularity, and it has been proposed as a safer alternative for young athletes interested in American football. Little is known about the nature of head contact in the sport, which is necessary to inform the extent to which protective headgear is warranted. The objective of this study was to identify the location, types and frequency of head and body contacts in competitive 7v7 non-tackle American football.

Methods Video analysis was used to document the type, frequency and mechanism of contacts across a series of under 12, under 14 and high school non-tackle tournament games. A subset of impacts was quantitatively analysed via 3-D model-based image matching to calculate the preimpact and postimpact speed of players’ heads and the change in resultant translational and rotational velocities.

Results The incidence rate of head contact was found to be low (3.5 contacts per 1000 athlete-plays). Seventy-five per cent of head contacts were caused by a head-to-ground impact. No head-to-head contacts were identified. Most contacts occurred to the rear upper (occiput) or side upper (temporal/parietal) regions. Head-to-ground impact was associated with a maximum preimpact velocity of 5.9±2.2 m/s and a change in velocity of 3.0±1.1 m/s.

Conclusion Non-tackle football appears to represent a lower contact alternative to tackle football. The distribution of head impact locations, mechanisms and energies found in the present study is different than what has been previously reported for tackle football. The existing tackle football standards are not appropriate to be applied to the sport of non-tackle football, and sport-specific head protection and headgear certification standards must be determined.

INTRODUCTION

Non-tackle American football is growing in popularity, and it has been proposed as a safer alternative for young athletes interested in American football.1 The game is characterised by the replacement of the tackle with movements involving less contact, such as detaching a flag worn at the ball carrier’s waist or touching the ball carrier with two hands below the neck, which has been suggested to reduce injury risk. Non-tackle leagues and governing bodies have begun to consider the requirements for protective headgear. However, little is known about the nature and extent of head injuries in non-tackle football nor the location, frequency and magnitude of head impacts.

Head and face injuries in adult non-tackle football have been reported to account for 12%–55% of injuries.2 3 6–8 Concussion rates range from 2% to 23% of all injuries,2 3 6–8 with an incidence rate of 1.78 concussions per 1000 athlete exposures (AE, defined as one athlete participating in one game).3 Peterson et al8 determined that youth flag football players experienced a concussion incidence of 1.33 per 1000 AE, which was more than tackle football players in the same study, similar to rates reported for youth tackle players elsewhere6–12 and less than youth rugby athletes.15–17 Lynall and colleagues18 recorded 0.66 head impacts per AE in a cohort of youth flag football players using a headband-mounted impact sensor. This rate is lower than reported for the tackle football cohort in the study and in other studies.19–21 The location of impacts in these studies is unknown, and no video was taken to confirm the frequency of impacts, therefore, the frequency could be overestimated.
The aim of this study was to expand current understanding of the location, types and frequency of head and body contacts in competitive 7v7 non-tackle American football. Video analysis was used to document the type, frequency and mechanism of contacts across a series of under 12 (12U), under 14 (14U) and high school (HS) non-tackle tournament games. Subsequently, a subset of impacts was quantitatively analysed via 3-D model-based image matching to calculate the preimpact and postimpact head speed to estimate impact severity (ΔV).

METHODS

In-game video recording

The study was designed after consulting with 7v7 coaches, players and parents about typical contact in the sport and their questions about player safety and appropriate protective equipment. Games were played by 12U, 14U and HS teams. The games took place between July 2018 and March 2019 at two sites: site 1, an outdoor American football field, and site 2, an indoor multipurpose playing field. Both of these sites had artificial turf. Qualitative impact data were collected at both sites but only data from site 1 were used for quantitative head impact reconstruction.

For site 1, the site was scanned with a 3-D colour laser scanner (LS120, Faro, USA). The laser scans were registered together using registration spheres and field markings in postprocessing to generate a 3-D point cloud of the stadium. This point cloud information was used for subsequent image calibration for head impact reconstruction. Fourteen stationary action cameras (Hero6, GoPro, USA) were mounted on tripods around the field such that any point on the field of play was within 30 m of at least two cameras. The cameras used 41° field of view (FOV) lenses and recorded at 2.7 K/120 fps with a shutter speed of 1/1920 s and a white balance of 5000 K. These parameters were selected following an internal validation study. For site 2, fifteen cameras were magnet mounted on tripods around the field of play. The site was indoors so the camera frame rate was reduced to 4K/60 fps and an automatic shutter speed to optimise the image exposure. At both sites an additional camera with a 120° FOV GoPro Hero6 lens with 4K resolution and 60 (site 1) or 30 (site 2) frame rate was placed to provide an overall view of the field. Camera times were synchronised via an external clock manually. There were two levels of synchronisation used. All cameras were first roughly synchronised to time of day using a clock. This synchronised the cameras to within approximately 1 s. After an impact was identified for tracking, the video was analysed frame by frame to align videos from multiple cameras. This synchronisation procedure was done by aligning a discrete event in the video, such as a hand or foot touching the ground.

Qualitative video analysis

The video analysis methods were based on previous work to evaluate the nature and frequency of head impact in contact sports. The overall camera was reviewed to identify plays with contact, and the game number, play number, play type, time of day and the approximate location on the field where the play of interest occurred were documented. The location on the field referenced the yardage line and the lateral position on the field. The play type was documented as a short pass (0–10 yards), medium pass (10–20 yards) or a long pass (>20 yards). The distance of the pass was identified as the distance the football travelled in the air from the quarterback to reaching the receiver.

Based on the field location of the contact, the play of interest was identified in three 41° FOV camera views. A 3 s video clip was extracted to assess the type of impact and the location of impact on the head (figure 1). If multiple contacts occurred, they were separated into first contact, second contact and third contact. Type of impact was classified as: body to body (B2B), body to ground (B2G), head to body (H2B), head to ground (H2G) and head to head (H2H). A worked example is provided in online supplementary material A.

Quantitative video analysis (head impact reconstruction)

All plays involving head contact recorded at site 1 were targeted for video-based reconstruction of head kinematics at impact. Additionally, a set of plays in which offensive and defensive players fell to the ground but no head contact occurred (B2G contact) were extracted for comparison. Model-based image matching was used to reconstruct head translational velocity (V) and rotational

Figure 1 Methods for analysis of head impact. (Upper left) The head coordinate system (HCS) axes used to express local translations and rotations. Not shown is the y-axis, which points from the left to the right side of the head. Extension is represented as +ve rotation about the y-axis. α is defined as the angle of the resultant head velocity vector relative to the XY plane. (Upper right) Definition of head impact locations for in-game video analysis. (Lower) Example of head impact reconstruction using 3-D model-based image matching for a head-to-ground (H2G) impact. Three frames (before, at and after impact) from a single-camera view are shown. T=0.000 s denotes the head impact frame. Note that the athlete’s hair has a large offset from the head and is moving throughout impact. Detail of the model-based image matching procedure for this example, including a second camera view, is provided in online supplementary material A.
velocity (ω) over time, similar to previously published methods. In a laboratory validation of our model-based image matching implementation, we determined the mean absolute errors in the estimated change in resultant translational velocity and rotational velocity (ΔV and Δω, respectively) during simulated H2G and H2H impacts to be ±0.24 m/s (±10.7%) and ±3.4 rad/s (±21.8%), respectively.

A worked example of the model-based image matching process is provided in online supplementary material A. For each impact, three separate camera views were identified and reviewed. The two primary (or best) views of the impact were used for reconstruction. Three-second video clips from the two primary views were extracted and uploaded into head tracking software (PFTrack, The Pixel Farm, UK) along with colour laser scan data of the stadium. Common points were selected in the video clips and laser scan data to align the camera views. Subsequently, the National Operating Committee on Standards for Athletic Equipment (NOCSAE) and Hybrid III headforms were fit to the head in both camera views in each video frame from approximately 150 ms preimpact to 150 ms postimpact or for 18 frames in the cases of no head impact (figure 1). Global head position, orientation and translational and rotational velocities were calculated from the positional tracking data. Transformation matrices were applied to transform the data to the local head coordinate system (HCS, figure 1). Rotational speed about the head x-axis and z-axis was reported as an absolute value, since biomechanically there would be no difference from a left/right z-axis rotation (i.e., the motion that results in ‘No’) or a left/right x-axis rotation (i.e., ear to shoulder motion). For the y-axis, flexion (−ve) and extension (+ve) were reported with their respective signs in place, because y-axis rotation can result in significantly different cervical spine kinematics. The positional and rotational data were verified by exporting them into CAD software (Polyworks, InnovMetric, Canada) while overlaying the laser scan data.

Several kinematical measures were calculated from the velocity data. The maximum preimpact translational velocity in the field coordinate system in the XY plane (i.e., field plane) were calculated as the maximal velocity in the 100 ms prior to impact or the maximal in cases with no head impact. The maximal rotational speed was reported as the maximal speed in the entire tracking sequence. The change in translational and rotational velocities due to impact (ΔV and Δω, respectively) were calculated by analysing the velocity curves and video to assess difference in speed between the time of contact and the end of contact. Contact was defined through frame-by-frame review of the video. ΔV and Δω were calculated along each axis, and the sum of the squares was taken to represent ΔV^2 and Δω^2. The angle of the head velocity vector relative to the XY plane in the HCS during the preimpact motion of the head was also calculated (α).

RESULTS
Quantitative video analysis
Total contact exposure
In total, 48 games and 1643 plays were recorded for video analysis (table 1). The majority of games were played by HS (n=38), followed by 14U (n=6) and 12U (n=4). 12U and 14U games lasted 20 min, while HS games lasted 25 min, resulting in 19 hours and 10 min of game footage. Table 1 details the number of contact-plays, contacts per play and contact type by age group. Significant contact identification was identified in 252 plays (15.3%), and 65.5% of contact-plays had more than one contact on the play. In a few cases, two separate players were involved in contacts. The overall contact incidence rate was 19.8 per 1000 athlete-plays.

Contact type
B2G and B2B were the most common contact types across all ages (figure 2). When the first contact was B2G, it typically involved a player falling to the ground after diving for a ball or tripping. When B2B was the first contact, the play was typically two players attempting to catch a pass resulting in B2B contact with one or both players falling to the ground subsequently. Second contacts were mostly B2G followed by H2G. Thirty-nine cases involved a third contact, which consisted of 28H2G, 9 B2G and 2 H2B. No H2H contacts were identified. The small sample size for 12U and 14U precludes statistical analysis by age group. Qualitatively, the results were similar across age groups.

Table 1 Summary of game exposure and contact incidence by age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>All contacts</th>
<th>Contacts on play</th>
<th>Head contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Games</td>
<td>Plays</td>
<td>Plays</td>
<td>Contacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12U</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14U</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1318</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1643</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AP, athlete-plays; HS, high school; IR, incidence rate; PH, player-hours (computed assuming 20 min games for 12U and 14U and 25 min games for HS); 12U, under 12; 14U, under 14.
Across the 13 plays involving H2G and B2G impacts, the maximal preimpact velocity of the player’s head in the ground (XY) plane was 5.0±1.8 m/s, with a downward vertical component of 3.7±1.0 m/s. Evaluating H2G in isolation produced similar results, with a maximum preimpact velocity in the ground plane of 5.0±2.0 m/s and a downward vertical component of 3.7±1.1 m/s (table 2). The velocity vector of the head relative to the HCS XY plane (α) was calculated to be −47.6±26.8°. Based on velocity of players running, the typical game speed was 7.4 m/s±1.2 m/s in the ground plane. The head ΔV_R for H2G cases was 5.0±1.1 m/s with a median of 3.0 m/s and a range of 1.5–4.9 m/s over a Δt of approximately 0.06 s (table 3). The head Δω_R was 23.4 rad/s±10.8 rad/s with a median of 21.8 rad/s and a range of 10.1–43.3 rad/s.

**DISCUSSION**

This study reports the frequency, mechanism and magnitude of head and body contact in youth and HS 7v7 non-tackle football. Video analysis of 16 100 player-hours of game footage indicated that contact frequency was relatively low at 19.8 contacts per 1000 athlete-plays, which supports the notion that non-tackle football represents a lower contact alternative to tackle football. Head impacts were found to be less common than body impacts. Head contacts typically involved contact of the rear or side of the head with the ground, although H2B contacts were noted as well. Preimpact head velocity for H2G contacts was estimated to average 5.9 m/s with an average ΔV_R of 3.0 m/s. To the authors’ knowledge, these represent the first data of this type to be reported for non-tackle 7v7 football. Understanding the nature of head and body impacts is critical to developing appropriate measures for ensuring athlete safety in the sport and also to inform the development of protective equipment standards.

**Head contact incidence**

Overall the frequency of head contact reported herein is lower than the rates for youth tackle football, even accounting for the longer duration of tackle football games. Differences in impact-recording methodology and definition of AE preclude direct comparison, particularly since sensor-based impact counts tend to overestimate impacts compared with video analysis. However, conservative estimates would suggest approximately two head impacts per player per 10 game-minutes compared with 0.1 head impacts per player per 10 game-minutes for non-tackle in the present study. Head contact incidence in 7v7 non-tackle appears to be less than non-helmeted contact sports, such as rugby, Australian Rules Football (ARF) and international football. Conservative estimates for participants in these sports suggest 0.2–0.7 head impacts per player per 10 game-minutes.

**Mechanism of head contact**

The mechanism of head impact was different from other contact sports. In international football, one of the most...
common sources of injurious head impacts was found to be another player’s head, which has led to rule changes and the development of soft protective headgear.11,42 Likewise in rugby, H2H and head-to-shoulder contact during tackle events were the most common sources of head injury.13,15,43,44 Similarly, reducing helmet-to-helmet contact in American tackle football has been a key target of injury prevention efforts until recently.23,44 No H2H impacts were identified in this study.

The most common source of head contact was impact with the ground, typically in a multicontact sequence of B2G-H2G or B2B-B2G-H2G. The player first made contact with their body to the ground, and as their body decelerated on impact with the ground their head followed to make contact. Attempting to catch a pass was the most common scenario producing H2G, often with the defender and receiver making contact when challenging for the ball, causing a fall to the ground. H2B was another notable source of head impacts. Unlike H2G, several H2B contacts occurred on the first contact of the play. In most cases, as with H2G, the defender and the receiver were challenging in the air for a pass and collided.

### Head contact location

The distribution of head impacts in non-tackle football favoured the side (44%) and rear (44%), with very few to the front (1%), face (10%) or top (1%). In contrast, tackle football players experience the highest percentage of impacts to the front of the head (33%–52%), followed by smaller and relatively even distribution across the rear of impacts to the front of the head (33%–52%), side (13%–19%) and top (10%–15%).27,46–48 Rugby and ARF players have been found to experience a relatively heavier distribution to the front of the head and a lower distribution to the rear of the head than 7v7.33,49 After excluding headers, international football players experience more front and top of head hits and fewer rear of head impacts than 7v7.50 The discrepancy in head impact distribution is likely due to the unique nature of each sport. Whereas the other football disciplines involve tackling, which is associated with high percentage of head impacts, 7v7 does not involve tackling, and instead, head impact results from colliding with another player’s body or falling to the ground when attempting to catch a pass. The unique distribution of head impacts in non-tackle football highlights the need for sport-specific head protection and likewise sport-specific headgear certification standards. For example, these data indicate a certification standard designed for American tackle football would not be applicable to non-tackle 7v7 football.

### Head impact speed and energy

Head velocities during nine H2G impacts and four B2G impacts were estimated with model-based image matching.25,26 Our internal validation study determined similar errors to previous work.26,51,52 For H2G impacts, maximum preimpact translational and rotational head velocities averaged 5.9±2.2 m/s and 21.5±9.2 rad/s, respectively. Kent et al.53 reported higher $V_x$ (mean: 8.3 m/s) and lower $\omega_R$ (mean: 13.5 rad/s) for H2G impacts associated with concussion in professional American Football (National Football League, NFL). The direction of the velocity vector (mean: -41.2°) was similar to the H2G impacts in the present study. Similarly, Pellman and colleagues51 reported higher head impact closing velocities for NFL head impacts resulting in head injury as well as non-injurious impacts. In international football, head contusions resulting from H2H impacts were associated with closing speeds of 1.3–2.5 m/s, while head injuries from elbow-to-head game impacts occurred at speeds ranging from 1.0 to 5.3 m/s (3.0±1.7 m/s).52 Examining $\Delta V_x$, the 7v7 H2G impacts were associated with a $\Delta V_x$ similar to the one non-injurious NFL H2G impact (2.9 m/s) and notably less than injurious head impacts.51,53 In contrast, $\Delta \omega_R$ is a biomechanical predictor of injury as there were no head injuries noted on these plays.

### Table 2: Head velocities for H2G and B2G impacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>H2G (n=9)</th>
<th></th>
<th>B2G (n=4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{xy}$ (m/s)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>$V_{xy}$ (m/s)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{y}$ (m/s)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>$V_{y}$ (m/s)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{R}$ (m/s)</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>$V_{R}$ (m/s)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_{R}$ (rad/s)</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>$\omega_{R}$ (rad/s)</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1.4–8.2</td>
<td>1.7–4.8</td>
<td>3.5–6.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Head velocities for H2G and B2G impacts

B2G, body-to-ground impact; H2G, head-to-ground impact; $\omega_{R}$, resultant rotational velocity; $V_{R}$, resultant translational velocity; $V_{xy}$, translational velocity in the field (horizontal) plane; $V_{y}$, downward translational velocity.

### Table 3: Change in translational and rotational head velocities due to H2G impacts in the HS age group

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta V_x$ (m/s)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>$\Delta \omega_R$ (rad/s)</td>
<td>-47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$ (°)</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>$\Delta \alpha$ (°)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1.5–4.9</td>
<td>10.1–43.3</td>
<td>-79.6 to 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\alpha$ (°), direction of head translational velocity vector with respect to the horizontal; (+) indicates the vector points below the horizontal; H2G, head-to-ground impact; HS, high school; $\Delta \omega_R$, change in resultant rotational velocity due to impact; $\Delta \alpha$, impact interval; $\Delta V_x$, change in resultant translational velocity due to impact.
Limitations
The study is limited by the relatively small sample size, particularly for 12U and 14U players, which precluded statistical comparisons across age groups. This study was not designed to evaluate injury epidemiology, and the majority of head contacts evaluated in this study were not associated with injury. At site 1 there were no injuries that required medical attention to our knowledge. At site 2, we observed two definitive injuries (clavicle fracture and a lower limb injury) and two instances where a player struck their head (one H2G, one H2B) and appeared shaken but returned to play on the next set. The lack of H2H contacts and the relatively low-peak impact severities ($\Delta V_g$) would suggest that non-tackle football incurs a lower risk of head injury compared with other contact sports, confirming prior epidemiological studies. However, future work should incorporate systematic medical reporting to identify the risk of head injury and the associated injurious head biomechanics.

CONCLUSIONS
This study has summarised the frequency, magnitude and locations of head impacts in 18U 7v7 non-tackle football. To the authors’ knowledge, these represent the first data of this type to be reported for non-tackle 7v7 football. Quantification of the nature of head and body impacts is critical to developing appropriate measures for ensuring athlete safety in the sport and also to inform the development of protective equipment standards. Non-tackle football appears to represent a lower contact alternative to tackle football with a unique distribution of head impact location, mechanism and energies. Head impacts were found to be infrequent and involved contact of the rear or side of the head with the ground or another player’s body. No H2H impacts were identified. Taken together, these findings indicate that existing tackle football and soccer headgear standards are not appropriate to be applied to the sport of non-tackle football. Sport-specific head protection and headgear certification standards are necessary. Future work to inform these standards should include a detailed epidemiological understanding of the types of injuries that occur, the rate at which they occur compared with similar sports, and continued work in understanding the location, frequency and magnitude of these head contacts in non-tackle football, as set out in this present research.

Acknowledgements
The authors acknowledge McCarthy Engineering, Mr Brian Gilbert and Mr Cole Tofflemire for their work in the head tracking analysis; the Pro Football Hall of Fame (Canton, OH) for the use of their field; and the Legacy Center (Brighton, MI) for their continued support in this research project.

Contributors
AE, GCG and RJ were responsible for study conception and design. RJ and EL were responsible for data collection and analysis. All authors were responsible for data interpretation, manuscript development, critical review and final approval.

Funding
The study was funded by Xenith, a manufacturer of helmets, gear and apparel for American football and related sports.

Competing interests
AE, GCG, RJ and EL are employed by Xenith. JZ is a paid scientific advisor for Xenith.

Patient consent for publication
Not required.

Provenance and peer review
Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement
Data are available upon reasonable request. Deidentified video analysis data by play (contact, contact type, location on field, play type, age group, location on head of impact, description of play) that underlie the results reported in this article are available upon reasonable request. Data will be available beginning 3 months after publication and ending 36 months following publication to researchers who provide a methodologically sound proposal for reuse in achieving the aims of this proposal. Proposals should be directed to jzendler@xenith.com.

Open access
This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD
Jessica Zendler http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4295-9917

REFERENCES


