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ABSTRACT

Background Camogie is a stickhandling, high-velocity, multidirectional field sport for females which is native to Ireland, but is also played internationally.

Aim To establish the incidence, nature and severity of injuries in elite camogie players.

Methods A prospective, observational cohort study was carried out during the Provincial Championships and extending into the All-Ireland Championship until a participating team was eliminated (11 weeks). A purposive sample of 62 players from 3 of the total 11 senior intercounty teams participated to generate geographical representation. Injury data were recorded and classified by team physiotherapists using a time loss definition, consistent with consensus statements. A concurrent measure of exposure to matches and training was recorded.

Results Twenty-one incidents of injury were recorded with 14 players injured. Injury incidence rate was 26.4 (95% CI 13.44 to 47.16) per 1000 match hours and 4.2 (95% CI 2.12 to 7.46) per 1000 training hours. There were 11 contact and 10 non-contact injuries. The lower limb accounted for 71.4% (n=15), the upper limb 9.5% (n=2) and the trunk and head 19.1% (n=4) of injuries. The main tissues injured were both muscle and ligament, representing 57.2% (n=6 each). The mean duration time loss from sport per injury was 12.14 days.

Conclusion These results provide data on the incidence, nature and severity of camogie injuries using definitions that reflect international consensus statements. Further study of injury incidence over a full season or multiple seasons is recommended.

INTRODUCTION

Camogie, like hurling, is played on a rectangular grass pitch 130–145 m long and 80–90 m wide. Goal posts with a crossbar are located at either end of the field. Two teams of 14 outfield players and a goalkeeper compete against each other in a 60-min match, comprised of two 30-min periods. Five substitutions are permitted per team. Players attempt to play a hard leather ball (sliotar) through their opponent’s goalposts using a hurley made of ash timber, either below the crossbar for a goal (three points) or above the crossbar for a point. Players may strike, hand pass, solo or kick the sliotar and may block, flick or hook the opponent’s hurley when not in possession of the sliotar. The sliotar moves quickly and can reach speeds of up to 112 km/hour. Players accelerate, decelerate, jump, land and change direction while playing camogie, movements which put players at risk of injury to the lower limb. Injury can also occur due to direct force from another player, a hurley, the sliotar or the ground. Intercounty camogie is the highest level of competition, with the best players from club teams selected to represent their respective counties.

The camogie intercounty season includes the National Senior Camogie League from February until April, the Provincial Championships in May and the All-Ireland Senior Camogie Championship (the most prestigious competition) from June until September. Intercounty camogie teams usually have collective on-field 90 min training sessions two
to three times per week and play a match at the weekend. Physical conditioning training varies between teams.

At present, there are no prospective data to indicate injury incidence in camogie at any level. A previous study focused on injuries presenting to a hospital emergency department, but this study dates back to 1989. There have since been changes in the rules of camogie, including the compulsory wearing of a helmet, changes to the competition structures as well as a change in training techniques and the general style of play, which may influence the incidence, nature and severity of injuries. Comprehensive studies have been carried out recently in camogie’s male equivalent game of hurling. These provide an excellent record of incidence, nature and mechanism of injury in senior intercounty hurling. However, differences exist in the rules and competition structures between camogie and hurling. There are differences in the incidence of injury in the male and female versions of Gaelic football and ice hockey, which may be due to rule differences in the respective games. The injury rate in male and female soccer is similar, but the nature of injury sustained by males and females differ somewhat. As the rules in soccer are the same for both males and females, this may be due to innate differences between the sexes. These discrepancies in injury incidence and nature between male and female versions of the same sport and the gap in injury epidemiology data in camogie warrant further investigation.

This study, the first of its kind, aims to establish the incidence, nature and severity of injury in intercounty camogie. Describing injury profile is important in all sports. It is the first step van Mechelen et al used in their ‘sequence of prevention’ model of sports injuries.

METHODS
The surveillance period focused on the premier competition phase of the season, encompassing the Provincial Championships and the All-Ireland Championship until the knockout stages. This resulted in an 11-week time span from 1 May until 16 July.

A purposive sample from all 11 teams participating in the 2016 Senior Inter-County Camogie Championship was sought to get geographical representation. One team from each of the four provinces was selected to participate. Inclusion criteria for player participation was being a member of the senior intercounty panel (squad).

All players, both adult and those under 18 years, were informed of the details of the study and informed consent was obtained from players and parents/guardians of those under 18 years. All data recorded were coded and player anonymity was preserved. Injury was defined as a time-loss injury, that is, ‘any injury that prevents a player from taking a full part in all training and match play activities typically planned for that day, where the injury has been there for a period greater than 24 h from midnight at the end of the day that the injury was sustained’. This definition used by Brooks et al has been used previously in studies relating to injury in hurling. It also conforms to the consensus for time-loss injury definitions proposed for the soccer and rugby union. Injuries were classified as new, if this was the first incident of injury, or recurrent, if located in the same site and involving the same tissue as the previous injury, with the time since the last incidence of injury recorded in line with the description by van Mechelen et al. Contact injury was described if there was direct contact with either another player, hurley/sliotar or the ground. Non-contact injury was recorded if the injury occurred without contact as above, for example, in turning/cutting, sprinting, landing or push-off actions. Overuse injury was described if there was no history of a single inciting event, but a gradual onset with worsening over-repeated exposure to training or match play.

At the beginning of the study, the participating players provided their demographic details (age, height, weight, occupation, position of play) and their history of previous injuries sustained. The team physiotherapist recorded each new injury as the player presented for treatment using a standard injury recording form. The date of the injury, mechanism of injury, main tissue type injured, body region injured and whether the injury was new or recurrent.

Data were analysed with IBM SPSS V.24 (IBM released 2016). The injury rate per 1000 hours of training and match play and the average number of injuries per team were calculated. Means with SD were calculated for continuous variables. Percentages with 95% CIs were calculated to describe the different mechanisms of injury, tissue type injured, body region injured and whether the injury was new or recurrent.

RESULTS
Three of the four teams selected complied fully with the data collection and 62 players from these were followed during the 2016 Senior Inter-County Camogie Championship. The mean age of the players studied was 22.9...
Eight players (13%) sustained one injury and six injured in total, a measure of overall injury proportion. With 14 (23%; 95% CI 14% to 34%) of the 62 players (45.16%) of the participants were full-time students.

During the study, 21 injury incidents were recorded, with 14 (23%; 95% CI 14% to 34%) of the 62 players (45.16%) of the participants were full-time students. Eight players (13%) sustained one injury and six (10%) had multiple injuries; one player (2%) sustained three injuries and five players (8%) sustained two injuries. The 21 injuries were recorded over 2769 hours of match play and training. The incidence of injury was 26.4/1000 hours (95% CI; 13.44 to 47.16) per 1000 hours of match play and training. The main body region injured was the lower limb accounting for 71.4% (n=15) of all injuries, with the thigh responsible for 23.8% (n=5) of total injuries. The upper limb accounted for 9.5% (n=2) of all injuries, with a further 19.1% (n=4) located in the trunk or the head (table 4).

Hamstring strain and Achilles tenosynovitis were the most prevalent injuries recorded with three incidents (14.3%) each. Two players suffered a single hamstring strain with one player having a reoccurrence during the 11 weeks. One player developed a mid-portion Achilles tenosynovitis during the study which represented three separate periods of time out from playing. Hamstring sprains and quadriceps contusions accounted for two injuries (9.4%) each. There were two incidents of fractures (9.4%), one to the ribs and one to a finger.

The main tissues injured were both muscle and ligament, constituting 57.2% (28.6%; 95% CI 12.2% to 52.3% each) of total injuries, while tendon, bone and concussion made up the remaining 42.8%.

Table 5 presents details of injured players stratified by positions of play. Goalkeepers had the highest injury risk relative to the other positions. Of the 62 players followed, 55 self-reported sustaining an injury previously (table 6).

Included in the 90 previous injuries to the lower limb were 23 ankle sprains, six anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) ruptures and three posterior cruciate ligament ruptures. Of the 18 previous injuries to the trunk, 6 were concussion injuries.

### DISCUSSION

#### Injury rate

The major finding of this study was that the incidence of injury during camogie match play was 26.4/1000 hours (95% CI; 13.44 to 47.16), which is over six times greater than the incidence of injury during camogie training of 4.2/1000 hours (95% CI; 2.12 to 7.46). As this study used the same injury definition as Brooks et al, direct comparison between camogie and other...
Increased risk of injury during match play compared with training is evident in other field sports such as hurling (61.75/1000 hours vs 2.99/1000 hours),
Gaelic football (61.86/1000 hours vs 4.05/1000 hours), professional rugby union (91/1000 hours vs 2/1000 hours) and soccer (23.92/1000 hours vs 3.01/1000 hours). A higher incidence of injury in match play versus training is also evident in women’s field sports such as women’s soccer (21.79/1000 hours vs 2.79/1000 hours) and women’s collegiate field hockey (7.78/1000 hours vs 2.99/1000 hours). These figures reflect the higher intensity and consistently competitive nature of match play across field sports.

Rule and gender differences

The major difference in rules between camogie and hurling from an injury perspective is that camogie is non-contact, while shoulder to shoulder challenges are permitted in hurling. This is similar in ice hockey, another stickhandling sport, where body checking is permitted in the men’s game at adult level but not in the women’s game. The rule differences which allow physical contact in men’s hurling and men’s ice hockey surely contributes to the overall higher match injury rate in hurling versus camogie matches (61.75/1000 hours vs 26.4/1000 hours) and in collegiate men’s versus women’s ice hockey matches (18.69/1000 athlete exposures vs 12.10/1000 athlete exposures). Differences in rules between men’s and women’s versions of the same sport may not be the only cause for a difference in injuries reported. We know in soccer, a sport which has the same rules for men and women, the incidence of injury is similar in men’s and women’s match play (23.92/1000 hours for men and 21.79/1000 hours for women). However, the nature of injuries can differ between the population groups, with lower incidence of hamstring and adductor strains and a higher rate of ACL injuries among females. It was interesting to note that in this study, there was no ACL injury, but 9.6% of players reported having previously ruptured their ACL. It is likely that differences in injury incidence and nature between hurling and camogie is multifactorial, with rule differences and innate gender differences making contributions.

Injury severity and mechanism

The mean time loss per injury in camogie was 12.14 days, which is similar to hurling’s average of 12 days absence from training and match play per injury. ‘Recurrent’ injuries account for 38% of injuries in camogie, which is over twice as high as ‘recurrent’ injuries in hurling (17%). Eleven of the 21 (52.4%) injuries recorded were contact injuries. Both player-to-player contact and ground contact represented 19% of total injuries each.
and 14.3% of injuries were from hurley or sliotar contact. Overall, 38.6% of hurling injuries have been reported to result from player to player contact. This reflects the higher contact between players in hurling. Approximately 60% of match injuries in collegiate women’s field hockey result from stick or ball contact while 13% result from player to player contact. Differences in the stick and the ball between camogie and hurling as well the compulsory wearing of a helmet in camogie may contribute to these differences in injury rates. Protective gloves (ash guards) are available for camogie players to protect from hurley injury to the hand. However, of the 62 players who participated, only two wore ash guards, possibly as wearing ash guards makes catching the sliotar more difficult. Ten of the 21 injuries (47.6%) were non-contact injuries, 19% resulting from a sprint, 14.3% resulting from a cut/turn, 9.5% resulting from overload and 4.8% resulting from a push-off. Of these non-contact injuries 77.8% occurred at training. Similarly, in women’s collegiate field hockey the percentage of non-contact injuries at trainingings (64%) is much higher than in match play (26%). This may be due to more skills and fitness work and less contact from opponents, stick/hurley, ball/sliotar and the ground at training sessions compared with match situations.

**Body region injured**

The lower limb was most frequently injured, contributing to 71.4% of all injuries recorded. Of these injuries, 23.8% were to the thigh, 19% were to the knee and 9.5% were to the ankle. These results were similar to hurling where lower limb injuries accounted for 68.3% of injuries, with the thigh contributing 22.9%, the knee 11.3% and the ankle 9.3%. Lower limb injuries represent a smaller proportion of total injuries in collegiate field hockey (40% of match injuries and 60% of training injuries) and surprisingly in ladies’ Gaelic football (58%). Like camogie, upper leg muscular strain, ankle ligament strain and knee internal derangement are the most common reasons for injury to the lower limb in collegiate field hockey. Women’s soccer matches on grass surfaces had a higher incidence of lower limb injury (81.1%), with the knee and ankle most commonly injured, most likely due to players competing for the ball on the ground with their feet.

Upper limb injuries accounted for only 9.5% of injuries and were all contact injuries. It is suspected that many of the injuries to the upper limb did not cause an absence from training and hence were not recorded in this study. Studies on other sports report upper limb injuries contributing a higher proportion of overall injury, in hurling (18.6%), in women’s collegiate field hockey match play (20%) and in ladies’ Gaelic football (23%). Higher levels of physical contact in hurling, a harder ball and stick used in women’s hockey and tackling with the hand as opposed to a stick in ladies’ Gaelic football may contribute to higher rates of upper limb injury in these sports.

**Strengths and limitations**

While camogie is one of the most popular sports in Ireland, to date there is no descriptive injury epidemiology published on the sport. The prospective methodology, standardised injury definitions which agree with international consensus statements and recruitment of chartered physiotherapists to record injury add confidence to this work. An online standardised assessment and data collection system would have added uniformity to data collection, but was not favoured by those collecting data. The amateur status of the game made the study difficult as the researcher was relying on the goodwill of physiotherapists, coaches and players involved. The current study should be interpreted with caution as it’s duration did not cover an entire season. This makes comparisons with other studies over the course of a season or multiple seasons limited, as injury incidence usually goes through peaks and troughs at distinct stages within a season. The time frame was chosen as it encompassed the Provincial Championships and the All-Ireland Championship, before any team was knocked out. This is the premier competition phase in intercounty camogie. Therefore managers, coaches and medical teams wish to prevent or reduce the burden of injury during this stage of the season.

In conclusion, these findings represent a commencement in describing injury profile in camogie, which is the first step van Mechelen et al used in their ‘sequence of prevention’ model of sports injuries. It is recommended that injury surveillance over a season or a number of seasons be carried out to get a clearer picture of injury incidence patterns in intercounty camogie.
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