Patient pain drawing is a valuable instrument in assessing the causes of exercise-induced leg pain
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ABSTRACT

Aim We validated patient pain drawing (PPD) in establishing the diagnosis of chronic anterior compartment syndrome (CACS) in patients with exercise-induced leg pain.

Methods The study comprised 477 consecutive patients, all suspected of having CACS. The diagnosis was based on the patient’s history, a thorough clinical examination and measurements of intramuscular pressure (IMP) following an exercise test. Patients completed a PPD before their hospital visit. Two independent orthopaedic surgeons diagnosed the causes of leg pain based only on the PPD at least 1 year after admission. Based on the results of diagnostic tests, the patients were divided into three groups: CACS (n=79), CACS with comorbidity (n=89) and non-CACS (n=306).

Results The sensitivity of the PPD to identify CACS correctly was 67% (observer 1) and 75% (observer 2). The specificity was 65% and 54%, respectively. The interobserver agreement (n=477) was 80%, and the kappa value was 0.55. The interobserver agreement was 85%, and the kappa value was 0.48 among 168 CACS patients with or without comorbidity. The interobserver agreement was 85%, and the kappa value was 0.56 in 79 CACS, and CACS was correctly diagnosed in 79% (observer 1) and 82% (observer 2). The test–retest reliability coefficient was 0.7. Comorbidity was found in 53% of CACS patients.

Conclusion PPD might be a valuable instrument in diagnosing the causes of exercise-induced leg pain. It is useful in identifying CACS with and without comorbidity.

INTRODUCTION

Palmer introduced the concept of patient pain drawing (PPD) in 1949. The basic idea was to differentiate between functional and organic pain by using a pain chart made by the patient as a diagnostic instrument. PPD has been used first and foremost in the diagnostic evaluation of patients with low back pain, and it has shown high interobserver reliability and intra–observer agreement. However, the clinical usefulness of PPD in diagnosing the causes of exercise-induced leg pain and its sensitivity and specificity as a diagnostic tool has not been reported before.

Exercise-induced leg pain is a common problem in athletes, and it results from various conditions that involve different tissues, such as bones, muscles, blood vessels, nerves and tendons. Comorbidity has also been reported in many patients with exercise-induced leg pain. In a retrospective review of 150 athletes with exercise-induced leg pain, chronic compartment syndrome was the most common condition with a prevalence of 33%. In the same report, 25% of the athletes had stress fractures, 13% had medial tibial stress syndrome and 10% had nerve entrapment syndromes. These conditions can often coexist, making an accurate diagnosis difficult. To establish an accurate diagnosis, therefore, requires obtaining a thorough patient history, performing a comprehensive physical examination, reproduction of symptoms with exercise and coordinating the appropriate diagnostic studies to further distinguish between the different conditions.

Chronic compartment syndrome is an underdiagnosed cause of chronic exertional leg pain, and the syndrome is induced by exercise that impairs neuromuscular function within the involved compartment. The anterior compartment of the leg is the most commonly involved, followed by the lateral compartment, the deep posterior compartment and finally the superficial posterior compartment. Chronic anterior compartment syndrome (CACS) is characterised by...
the onset of leg pain after a specific amount of exercise and occurs only in the anterior aspect of the leg. The idea of using PPD as a screening instrument in patients with exercise-induced leg pain may help to assess the location of pain and distinguish anterior pain from posterior pain, in order to screen patients for pressure recording and to overview the comorbidity for diagnosing patients with overuse injuries of the leg. As the patients are symptom free at rest, a screening test would also be useful in general orthopaedic practice.

The purpose of this study was to validate PPD as an additional instrument for identifying CACS in patients with exercise-induced leg pain. The hypothesis is that PPD is a valuable diagnostic instrument for identifying CACS.

**METHODS**

**Patients**

The study comprised 477 consecutive patients, 258 men and 219 women, with a median age of 31 (range 15–70) years, all with exercise-induced leg pain and clinical signs of possible CACS. Most of the patients were involved in running activity several times a week or some other type of high-level organised sports. All patients were referred to the orthopaedic department between May 2009 and October 2013 for an evaluation of their leg pain. The patients were divided into different groups (CACS, CACS with comorbidity and non-CACS), according to diagnoses, for analytical purposes (figure 1).

**Observers**

Two independent orthopaedic surgeons (observers 1 and 2) established the diagnoses of the causes of leg pain based only on the PPD drawing. To avoid recall bias, the procedure was performed at least 1 year after the patient’s clinical appointment. In relation to the drawings, the observer was able to select one or more diagnoses from a list of six diagnoses and one group of miscellaneous, that is, CA, chronic lateral compartment syndrome, chronic posterior compartment syndrome, medial tibial syndrome (MTS), peroneal tunnel syndrome (PTS), muscle rupture and a group of miscellaneous.

**Patient pain drawing**

All the patients completed a questionnaire, which they received by mail 2 weeks prior to their visit to the orthopaedic department. The questionnaire included an outline of a human body with anterior, posterior and lateral views (figure 2). The patients indicated the afflicted areas of pain on the drawing and either single locations or larger regions could be marked. The PPD was later discussed during the meeting with the doctor.

The assessments of the drawings were divided according to the different diagnoses mentioned above. A drawing indicating pain on the anterior aspect of the leg was defined as chronic anterior compartment syndrome. Laterally, a general indicated area was assessed as lateral chronic compartment syndrome, while a local indication in the distal third of the lateral aspect of the leg was assessed as PTS. MTS was assessed as a pain drawing indicated medially over the distal third of the leg and, in some cases, over the dorsal aspect of the foot. On the posterior aspect of the leg, muscle rupture was assessed as a local indication over the proximal portion of the leg, while posterior compartment syndrome was assessed as a more general posterior indication on the pain drawing. Before the assessments by the observers were made, a pretest comprising 10 drawings was conducted to discuss the way the drawings should be interpreted.

One year after the primary assessment, the same observers re-evaluated 100 PPD forms to estimate the test–retest reliability.

**Diagnostic criteria**

**Chronic anterior compartment syndrome**

The correct diagnosis of CACS was regarded as the one registered by the doctor in the medical records, which was based on the patient’s history, a complete clinical examination and measurements of intramuscular pressure (IMP) following an exercise test that elicited the patient’s symptoms. The diagnostic criteria for CACS included the following: (1) exercise-induced leg pain with reversed symptoms at rest, (2) impaired muscle function during activity, (3) swelling and tenderness over
the anterior compartment immediately after exercise, (4) IMP of ≥30 mm Hg 1 min after exercise and (5) IMP of ≥20 mm Hg 5 min after exercise.16

Medial tibial syndrome
It was defined as exercise-induced pain along the postero-medial border of tibia, soreness at palpation over five or more consecutive centimetres.17

Peroneal tunnel syndrome
A PTS was diagnosed when there were decreased sensibility and pain over the dorsum of the foot at rest or during exercise. Pain at palpation, where the nerve emerges through the fascia, also confirmed the diagnosis. Miscellaneous includes muscle strains and partial muscle rupture, popliteal entrapment, venous insufficiency and suspected stress fractures; this group of diagnoses appeared with pain in the calf that increases during activity. Ultrasound-verified muscular damage or oedema indicated inflammatory reaction. Venous insufficiency such as varicose veins or other insufficiency diagnosed by ultrasound. Popliteal entrapment diagnosed by MRI. Stress fractures were diagnosed by radiological findings using radiographs or MRI.

Exercise test
All the patients performed an exercise test after the clinical examination. The test was individualised and aimed to elicit and reproduce the patient’s leg pain and symptoms. The test started with running up and down stairs or running outside in the park or on a treadmill, followed by repeated concentric dorsiflexion of the ankle joints in a standing position until the patient was unable to continue due to muscle exhaustion and/or leg pain.

Monitoring IMP
IMP was measured within 60 s after the exercise test in all patients. IMP was measured with the patient in the supine position using a microcapillary infusion system (Hemo 4, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) and monitor (Siemens SC 9000, Siemens, Gothenburg, Sweden).18 The skin was penetrated with a 1.2 mm diameter needle with four side holes at its tip. The needle was inserted at a 30° angle to the long axis of the leg in the distal direction to the belly of the anterior tibial muscle19 in the most symptomatic leg in all patients. A non-infusion of 0.9% saline solution was performed to maintain the bulging of fluid at the tip of the needle. The tip of the catheter and the transducer were placed at heart level to minimise the hydrostatic artefacts, and the position of the tip of the catheter was controlled by ultrasound. The patient lay supine in a relaxed position with his/her legs straight.20

Statistical analysis
Student’s t-test was used to determine the significance of intergroup differences. A P value of ≤0.05 defined significance. The sensitivity and specificity of PPD was calculated in relation to the correct diagnosis based on the complete clinical examination. The level of agreement between the observers was analysed using Cohen’s kappa test. The kappa test is a measurement of agreement for variables that can be categorised. Interobserver agreement was assessed.

RESULTS
IMP was 48 (SD 16) mm Hg in the 168 patients with CACS, while it was 17 (SD 6) mm Hg in the 256 patients without CACS.

The sensitivity, specificity and positive and negative predictive values based on PPD in diagnosing CACS among the 477 patients are shown in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Observer 1</th>
<th>Observer 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (%)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity (%)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive predictive value (%)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative predictive value (%)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CACS, chronic anterior compartment syndrome; PPD, patient pain drawing.

Intraobserver agreement
One hundred PPD forms were re-evaluated in a test–retest. The intraobserver agreement was 84% for both
observers, and the test–retest reliability coefficient was 0.7.

Comorbidity
In the present study, 53% of the patients with CACS were diagnosed with comorbidity. The distribution of the comorbidity among the patients with CACS (n=89) was MTS in 55%, PTS in 21% and miscellaneous in 24%.

DISCUSSION
The present study shows that the intraobserver agreement in correctly assessed PPD compared with the gold standard varied between 79% and 82% in identifying CACS and 75%–80% in identifying CACS with comorbidity. The fact that PPD can be used as an additional tool in assessing the diagnosis of CACS makes it valuable in the clinical assessment of patients with exercise-induced leg pain.

Sensitivity
The sensitivity ranged between 67% and 75% between the two observers. This was somewhat lower than expected and could be explained by several factors. A correct diagnosis of CACS was made by the two observers in about 70% of patients. The reason for this might be that the patient becomes confused during the process of filling out the drawing and uses the posterior side instead of the anterior when indicating a pain location in the leg on the PPD form. The anterolateral area may be difficult for patients to indicate the pain locations on the human outline. The patient’s experience of pain is not easy to draw, and it could also be an opportunity for the patient to make an excessive drawing in order not to miss any important pain locations or to underline severe pain even if the painful area is limited in reality. To increase the sensitivity and to obtain a more organised drawing from the patient, the human outline might need to be more specific and allow a more detailed pain drawing on the leg. The human outline used in this study might be insufficient for the patient’s need to illustrate leg pain. A grid might be helpful for the patients to identify specific painful areas, and also a more detailed guidance in text may enhance the quality of the pain drawing. Clinical knowledge of the patient has been shown to influence the kappa value and the interobserver reliability. The test–retest was therefore performed at least 1 year after the patient visited the clinic in order to avoid recall bias.

Interobserver reliability
The results show that the interobserver agreement of PPD varied between the different patient groups, CACS without comorbidity and CACS with comorbidity. The kappa values are approximately 0.5, which is interpreted as moderate. The agreement between the two observers assessing the different groups ranged between 77% and 85%. The highest agreement between the observers (85%) was found when assessing CACS patients without comorbidity. Most patients marked a single pain location on the anterior view of the leg (figure 3). The interobserver agreement was acceptable and shows that the highest values were found in patients without comorbidity. After 1 year, the agreement was 84% for both observers, and the test–retest reliability coefficient was 0.7. The results indicate that PPD is a reliable instrument over time and that time does not influence the results to any great extent. It also shows that the two observers have the same intrarater agreement.

Comorbidity
PPD is a useful instrument that clearly distinguishes between anterior and posterior pain and further analysis revealed that it is valuable in providing guidance in the clinical diagnostic evaluation. PPD identifies comorbidity and is also useful to determine which muscular compartment should be measured by IMP.

Exercise-induced leg pain is difficult to diagnose due to several factors. One factor might be the high incidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Agreement (%)</th>
<th>Kappa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All patients (n=477)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACS (n=168)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACS without comorbidity (n=79)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACS with comorbidity (n=89)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CACS, chronic anterior compartment syndrome.
of comorbidity. Most patients marked multiple pain locations on the leg (Figure 4). In the present study, we found that among 168 patients with CACS, 89 patients (53%) had one or more additional diagnoses. This result is high but in line with previous studies that have reported comorbidity of 42% and 47%. Undiagnosed and untreated comorbidity is a possible cause of unsatisfactory outcome after fasciotomy. If the patient is only operated on with fasciotomy for CACS, the codiagnoses are left untreated. PPD is most helpful to identify the number of pain locations and shows a clear picture whether it comes to one or several areas of pain. This could facilitate in the preoperative planning when it is obvious that the patient is suffering from one or more additional diagnosis/diagnoses. The patient could also realise the presence of comorbidity while studying the drawing together with the physician. Altogether, comorbidity may play a significant role in the diagnosis, treatment and results for surgical outcome. In our experience, PPD is a valuable clinical instrument that facilitates the process of reaching a correct diagnosis of exercise-induced leg pain. Another factor that makes the diagnostic process difficult is that the patients are frequently free from symptoms at rest during the consultation.

Detailed anatomical knowledge is important when it comes to understanding the cause of leg pain. Twenty-nine per cent of the patients with CACS had MTS. These patients indicated pain over the anterior part of the leg and over the medially on the midportion of the tibia. MTS is clinically seen as pain over the middle third of the posteromedial portion of the tibia that is tender at palpation. In 11% of the patients with CACS, PTS was diagnosed. The patients indicated pain on the distal third of the leg, laterally. This finding agrees with a previous report. Diagnoses such as muscle strains and partial muscle rupture, popliteal entrapment, venous insufficiency and suspected stress fractures were found in 13% of the patients with CACS.

**Limitations**

This study only considered the organic genesis of pain. No evaluation was added when it came to whether the drawings should be interpreted with regard to psychological aspects. Apart from exercise-induced leg pain, the patients were healthy individuals involved in full working activity. The procedure for diagnosing CACS among the causes of exercise-induced leg pain requires further development. The present PPD form can most probably be improved in order to increase the likelihood of correctly establishing a more detailed diagnosis in patients with exercise-induced leg pain.

**CONCLUSION**

PPD might be an additional valuable instrument in diagnosing the causes of exercise-induced leg pain. It is useful in identifying CACS with and without comorbidity.
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