Weaker lower extremity muscle strength predicts traumatic knee injury in youth female but not male athletes
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ABSTRACT

Background The role of lower extremity (LE) muscle strength for predicting traumatic knee injury in youth athletes is largely unknown.

Aims The aim was to investigate the influence of LE muscle strength on traumatic knee injury in youth female and male athletes.

Methods 225 athletes (40% females) from sport senior high schools in Sweden were included in this case-control study. The athletes recorded any traumatic knee injury that had occurred during their high-school period in a web-based injury form. A one repetition maximum (1RM) barbell squat test was used to measure LE muscle strength. The 1RM was dichotomised to analyse ‘weak’ versus ‘strong’ athletes according to the median (weak<median vs strong≥median).

Results 63 traumatic knee injuries, including 18 ACL injuries, were registered. The majority of injured female athletes were in the weak group compared with the strong group (p=0.0001). The odds of sustaining a traumatic knee injury and an ACL injury was 9.5 times higher and 7 times higher, respectively, in the weak group compared with the strong group in females (p<0.011). A relative 1RM squat ≤1.05 kg (105% of bodyweight) was established as the best cut-off value to distinguish high versus low risk of injury in female athletes. No strength–injury relationships were observed for the male athletes (p>0.348).

Conclusions Weaker LE muscle strength predicted traumatic knee injury in youth female athletes, but not in males. This suggests that LE muscle strength should be included in injury screening in youth female athletes.

BACKGROUND

The rate of traumatic knee injury in sports remains high and is still an urgent priority.1,2 The risk profile for traumatic knee injury, such as injury to the ACL injury, appears multifactorial with several theories described in the literature. For example, increased knee valgus, high knee abduction loads and medial knee displacement are factors suggested to be associated with ACL injury.3-5 Plausible explanations for the higher rate of ACL injury in females compared with males have also been proposed, that is, worse neuromuscular control strategies cutting manoeuvres6 and lower hamstring to quadriceps torque ratios.7 However, the role of lower extremity (LE) muscle strength as a predictive factor for traumatic knee injury is still relatively unknown, and existing studies report contradictive results. In one study, lower hip muscle strength was found to be a risk factor for future non-contact ACL injury in athletes competing in various sports,8 whereas in another study, no relationship was observed between LE muscle strength and future ACL injury in female elite soccer players.9

Previous studies on risk factors for traumatic knee injury mainly include adult athletes and often comprise only one gender or analyse.

What are the new findings?

- Female youth athletes with weaker lower extremity (LE) muscle strength, assessed with the one repetition maximum (1RM) barbell squat, were at higher risk of sustaining a traumatic knee injury compared with those with stronger muscles.
- The odds of sustaining a traumatic knee injury for weaker female athletes was 9.5 times higher compared with stronger females.
- There was no strength–injury relationship in youth male athletes.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the near future?

- The 1RM barbell squat test may be used in the screening of knee injury risk in female youth athletes, with an 1RM relative value ≤1.05 kg used as a clinical cut-off for high-injury risk.
- Injury prevention programmes aimed to increase LE muscle strength may be an important modifiable factor to prevent knee injuries in youth female athletes.
- Modifiable risk factors for knee injury remain to be evaluated in male youth athletes.
However, ACL injuries occur also during adolescence, particularly in female athletes, stressing the need to evaluate factors that contribute to knee injury risk in this population. To our knowledge, there are no studies on the relationship between LE muscle strength and the risk of traumatic knee injury, including ACL injury, among youth athletes. Such studies are important because muscle strength is a modifiable factor, which can improve by targeted training. Moreover, since LE muscle strength increases significantly less with age among females compared with males, it is important to evaluate females and males separately.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the influence of LE muscle strength on traumatic knee injury in youth female and male athletes. We hypothesised that weaker LE muscle strength would be associated with a higher risk of traumatic knee injury.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Study design and procedure
Data for this case–control study, adhering to the STROBE statement, were collected during 2013–2015. Coaches of 2144 athletes involved in sports related to high risk of knee injury, at 90 high schools (161 sport programmes) in Sweden, were contacted and introduced to the study through email (figure 1). The team coach at each school distributed a web-based form to the athletes during their first, second or third year of the high-school period by email or through the school’s in-net system. A reminder email was sent out if no response was received by the school team coach within 1–3 months. Once the form was completed, the athlete could not re-enter.

A one repetition maximum (1RM) barbell squat test, assessed during the athletes’ first or second year by an instructor at each school, was used to measure LE muscle strength. This test is used as a part of “Fysproﬁlen”, which is a battery of tests developed on behalf of the Swedish Olympic Committee, and is frequently used for assessment of different aspects of physical performance in Swedish athletes.

PARTICIPANTS
Inclusion criteria were male and female athletes, aged 15–19 years, participating and competing in ice hockey, handball, soccer, alpine skiing, basketball or floorball. Exclusion criteria were (1) athletes that did not perform the 1RM barbell squat test, (2) knee injury prior to 1RM test and (3) pain during the test session. Finally, 225 athletes were included in the analysis (figure 1 and table 1). Written information was given to each athlete and informed consent was obtained online.

A non-response analysis (independent sample t-test) was performed to compare the result of the 1RM, between the non-participants (n=145) and the participants (n=225), and the gender distribution in each sport was compared with previous data. The mean difference for the 1RM absolute value between non-participants and participants was 3.7 kg (95% CI 9.1 to 2.4) for females and 2.4 kg (95% CI 6.8 to 2.1) for males, indicating no statistically significant or clinically relevant differences. The gender distribution in the present study (43% females) is in line with the gender distribution in each specific sport and age range in Sweden (39%).

OUTCOME MEASURES
Injury registration
The web-based form (Survey Monkey) was designed by the authors and was pilot-tested on youth athletes not included in the study, to obtain views about the design and to achieve face validity. A final version of the form was then constructed and used in the present study. The athletes recorded any traumatic knee injury that had occurred during their high-school period. A traumatic knee injury was defined as an injury to the ACL, other ligaments, menisci, cartilage damage or fracture. This definition was provided in the form. The form also included questions regarding the anatomical localization of the injury (left or right knee), date of sustaining the injury, any surgery, rehabilitation, as well as any injury/complaints during the 1RM test session.
Muscle strength test

The 1RM barbell squat test is a measure of maximum muscular strength of the thigh and hip muscles (quadriceps, the gluteus muscles, hamstrings and hip adductors) and the relative 1RM, 1RM value expressed relative to bodyweight (r1RM), was used. The 1RM barbell squat was performed according to Fysprofilen. All athletes were tested by well-educated instructors. This test has high inter-rater reliability (ICC\textsubscript{2,1}=0.85, SEM 6.9).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistics were calculated using IBM SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23.0. IBM, Armonk, NY). The primary outcome was the rate of traumatic knee injury, and the secondary outcome was the rate of ACL injury. The level of significance was set at $p\leq 0.05$. The strength variable was dichotomised to analyse ‘weak’ versus ‘strong’ athletes according to the median (weak\textsubscript{median} vs strong\textsubscript{median}), and, when possible, the lower (25\textsuperscript{\textdegree}) and upper (75\textsuperscript{\textdegree}) quartiles (weak\textsubscript{quartile} vs strong\textsubscript{quartile}). The Fisher’s exact test was used to assess the association between muscle strength (r1RM) at baseline (weak vs strong) and the outcome of sustaining a knee injury (yes/no). OR with 95% CI was calculated to estimate the magnitude of muscle strength as a risk factor for injury. The binary logistic regression was used to verify whether muscle strength (r1RM) had a significant impact on the risk of knee injury. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were constructed to determine the discriminative accuracy of the r1RM to predict traumatic knee injury, and the optimal clinical cut-off value for classifying high versus low risk of injury. The overall accuracy of the strength test was measured by evaluating the area under the curve (AUC), ranging from 0 to 1. The optimal clinical cut-off point for the muscle strength measurement was selected by maximising sensitivity and specificity across various cut-off points. The independent sample $t$-test was used to compare means in injury prevalence between gender.

Based on results from previous studies, we estimated that about 10% would suffer a traumatic knee injury and 2% of the athletes would suffer an ACL injury. A preliminary sample size calculation, using these data, indicated that approximately 450 athletes would be needed in each group (weak\textsubscript{median} and strong\textsubscript{median}, respectively) to detect a 50% difference in injury prevalence between weak and strong athletes, with a power of 0.80 ($\alpha=0.05$). When 150 participants were included, a new sample size calculation with empirical data was performed. As the prevalence of traumatic knee injury was 30%, this second sample size calculation indicated that approximately 260 athletes (n=130 in the weak\textsubscript{median} and strong\textsubscript{median} groups, respectively) were required to detect a 50% difference in the odds of outcome between the weak\textsubscript{median} and strong\textsubscript{median} groups for females and males, respectively.

RESULTS

Injury data

There were 63 traumatic knee injuries registered (table 2), with a higher prevalence in female (42%) than male athletes (21%) ($p=0.006$). Eighteen were ACL injuries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female, n</td>
<td>26 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injured knee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>20 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>35 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>8 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of knee injury*</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic knee injury</td>
<td>18 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACL injury</td>
<td>5 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant injuries†</td>
<td>13 (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinjury</td>
<td>3 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>60 (95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reconstructive ACL surgery</td>
<td>9 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revision reconstructive surgery</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ACL: other ligaments, menisci, cartilage damage or fracture.
†Meniscus (n=10), collateral ligament injury (n=1), several associated injuries (n=2).

Table 1 Participant characteristics for female and male athletes (n=225)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Females (n=89)</th>
<th>Males (n=136)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>17 (1)</td>
<td>17 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>170 (6.5)</td>
<td>182 (10.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>66 (6.7)</td>
<td>81 (10.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of competition</td>
<td>10 (2.5)</td>
<td>10 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training hours/week</td>
<td>12.3 (5)</td>
<td>11.5 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Characteristics of traumatic knee injuries reported by participants (n=52)
giving an overall ACL injury prevalence of 8%, which was higher in females (17%) than males (2%) (p=0.001).

Influence of lower extremity (LE) muscle strength on traumatic knee injury

The majority of injured females were in the weak_median group (n=22) compared with the strong_median group (n=4) (p=0.0001), while there were no differences between the weak_median (n=13) and strong_median (n=13) groups for males (p=0.830) (table 3 and figure 2). The injury prevalence was higher in weak females (0.66 injuries/athlete) than in weak males (0.19 injuries/athlete) (p<0.0001), whereas there was no gender difference for strong athletes (p=0.317). The odds of sustaining a traumatic knee injury was 9.5 times higher in the weak_median group compared with the strong_median group of female athletes (p=0.0001), whereas this relationship was not found for the male athletes (p=0.486) (table 3). In addition, the binary logistic regression showed that the odds for having a knee injury decreased when muscle strength increased (OR 0.086; 95% CI 0.012 to 0.631; p=0.016).

For the quartiles, most injured females were in the weak_quartile group compared with the strong_quartile group, while there were no differences between the groups of males (table 3).

The ROC curve analysis identified a r1RM squat ≤1.05 kg (105% of bodyweight) as the best cut-off value to distinguish female athletes who sustained a traumatic knee injury and those who remained non-injured (AUC=0.71 (95% CI 0.59 to 0.83), p=0.002). The cut-off value of 1.05 corresponded to a sensitivity of 77% and a specificity of 71% (figure 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strength groups</th>
<th>Median Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Traumatic injury n</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>ACL injury n</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weak_median females (n=45)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.15)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9.56 (2.93 to 31.20)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.64 (1.60 to 36.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong_median females (n=44)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.2)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak_median males (n=68)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.18)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.90 (0.38 to 2.31)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.30 (0.03 to 2.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong_median males (n=68)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.1)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Injury and muscle strength characteristics according to gender and strength groups (n=225)

For the quartiles, most injured females were in the weak_quartile group compared with the strong_quartile group, while there were no differences between the groups of males (table 3).

Figure 2 Number of injured athletes with a traumatic knee injury in strong_median versus weak_median groups for female and male athletes.

Figure 2 Number of injured athletes with a traumatic knee injury in strong_median versus weak_median groups for female and male athletes.
Influence of LE muscle strength on ACL injury
Most injured females were in the weak\textsubscript{median} (n=12) compared with the strong\textsubscript{median} group (n=2) (p=0.007), while there were no differences between the weak\textsubscript{median} (n=1) and strong\textsubscript{median} (n=3) groups in the male athletes (p=0.348) (table 3 and figure 3). The weak females had significantly higher rates of ACL injury than weak males (p=0.001), whereas there was no gender difference for strong athletes in the rate of ACL injury (p=0.364) (figure 3). The odds of sustaining an ACL injury was seven times higher in the weak\textsubscript{median} group compared with the strong\textsubscript{median} group of female athletes (p=0.011), whereas this relationship was not observed for the male athletes (p=0.348) (table 3).

DISCUSSION
The main results of the present study were that weaker LE muscle strength was associated with a higher risk of traumatic knee injury, including ACL injury, in youth female athletes but not in males. We observed a 9.5 times higher odds of sustaining an injury among weak female athletes compared with strong females. Hence, weaker levels of LE muscle strength may be one important, and modifiable, risk factor for sustaining a traumatic knee injury in youth female athletes (figure 4).

Weaker LE muscle strength risk factor for knee injury in youth female athletes
Our results indicate that weaker LE muscle strength is a risk factor for traumatic knee injury in females. In support of this, the binary logistic regression showed that the odds for having a knee injury was lower with greater muscle strength. Furthermore, the ROC curve analysis indicated that an r1RM squat strength ≤1.05 kg/bodyweight increased the risk of injury in female athletes. In line with our findings, Khayambashi et al\textsuperscript{8} reported in a case-control study that weaker muscle strength was associated with a higher risk of ACL injury in 20-year-old athletes. When data were collapsed across sex, non-injured athletes had significantly greater hip external rotation and hip abduction strength compared with injured athletes. However, no strength–injury relationship was presented for females and males separately.\textsuperscript{8}

In contrast to our findings, a Norwegian study\textsuperscript{9} did not observe any relationship between strength and knee injury in elite female soccer players. One reason for the differences between our study and the Norwegian study could be derived from the measurements of muscle strength. They tested athletes for dynamic muscle strength with a test of 1RM during a seated leg press,\textsuperscript{9}
while we used a barbell squat test. Both the barbell squat and the leg press tests target the LE in a closed-kinetic chain position. However, compared with a seated leg press, a free barbell squat generates greater quadriceps and hamstrings activity, and challenges the neuromuscular system of the prime movers to a greater extent. Another possible reason for the contrasting findings could be due to the included population. Nilstad et al included adult elite female athletes, whereas we included youth athletes. In general, adult elite athletes are already at a high level of physical performance, including muscle strength, compared with non-elite youth athletes. In a recent International Olympic Committee consensus statement, the authors argued that participation in organised sports does not alone ensure appropriate levels of strength and neuromuscular capacity to sufficiently meet the physical demands of sports. Although improvements in performance can be attained to a certain degree in youth, the full development of a specific skill and muscle strength depends on full maturation of the nervous system. Muscle strength improves until full maturity is reached; usually by age 20 in women and between the ages 20 and 30 in men. Hence, it could be that the level of muscle strength influences knee injury risk in youth female athletes, but not in females who have reached maturity and elite level. Injury risk factors in youth may differ from those in adults and, therefore, injury risk screening may need to be adjusted depending on the age of the target population.

Aspects of gender differences
Our hypothesis that weaker LE muscle strength was associated with future traumatic knee injury, and ACL injury, was confirmed for female athletes, but not for males. There may be several explanations for this gender difference. It has been suggested that muscle strength, in terms of lower hamstring to quadriceps torque ratio, is a risk factor for ACL injury in female but not in male athletes. Also, LE muscle strength increases significantly less with age among youth females compared with youth males. Furthermore, gender differences have been reported for muscle activation patterns during side-cutting manoeuvres, possibly providing inadequate joint protection and stability in females. Another gender-related factor is that muscle fatigue increases knee abduction moment during a drop jump to a greater extent in young female athletes compared with male athletes; a factor thought to be associated with the higher risk of ACL injuries observed in women than in men.

Taken together, muscle function features such as muscle strength, endurance and neuromuscular control appear to differ between genders. These factors may be especially important to consider for preventing knee injury in young female athletes, whereas other factors may be more important for males. Hence, the findings from our study and previous studies suggest that injury screening for modifiable factors such as muscle strength, and injury prevention training including LE strength, is needed in female youth athletes.

Injury in youth athletes
The rate of ACL injury seems to have increased in children and adolescents over the past couple of decades, with the greatest risk seen in female high-school soccer and basketball players. One explanation for this could be due to the increased demands on young athletes with an increasing trend in sports specialisation already during childhood. Together with less spontaneous physical activity, might have a negative effect on children’s body tissue that may not be prepared for the required load. Optimal recovery between practice.matches is crucial for neuromuscular and strength improvements, and to avoid excessive musculoskeletal stress and risk of injury. The present study, we found no differences between females and males for number of training hours. However, we did not have data for the number of total exposure hours. It has been a concern that talented young female soccer players frequently play additional matches with other teams than their own and with a team at a senior level with older, more physically matured and skilled opponents, putting them at a higher injury risk. It has also been recognised that soccer athletes playing two matches with a maximum of 4 days recovery between matches, compared with one match per week, increases the risk of injury. Hence, the issue of load management in youth athletes should be taken in consideration and be further investigated in youth athletes.

Strengths and limitations
The main strength of the present study is that the sample consisted of a homogeneous group of athletes, within the same age, at high-school sport programmes, and involved in high-risk sports. Another strength is that the gender distribution is similar to that presented in each specific sport and age range in Sweden, suggesting that the present study provides a typical representation of these athletes. Some limitations in the present study need to be acknowledged. First, traumatic knee injury was reported by the athletes rather than by a more valid approach such as acquiring data from medical records. In the injury section of the form, we asked specifically about traumatic injury, including a definition of such injury. Due to the nature of a traumatic injury, with pain, functional limitations and inability to participate in sports, it is likely that the athletes did recall such an injury. Thus, an underestimation of injuries was likely not present, although the presence of any overestimation is difficult to value. Second, data from the 1RM test were collected retrospectively, possibly affecting reliability. Although good reliability has been reported for this test, no inter-rater reliability data were collected in the present study. However, the 1RM squat was assessed by experienced instructors, all had taken the same education, and they all followed the test procedure of Fysprofilen. The barbell squat is widely used in sports, easily used in various
settings and is thought to be a functional exercise relevant to movements in several sports. Still, a possible disadvantage of the squat test could be the difficulty to distinguish the relative contribution of factors (ie, LE and trunk strength, flexibility, neuromuscular and postural control) to failure in the squat test and, thus, to predict injury. Third, although there were no differences in 1RM absolute value between respondents and non-responders, we cannot conclude that some of the non-responders may have had a knee injury possibly affecting the strength results.

CONCLUSIONS

Weaker LE muscle strength predicted future traumatic knee injury in youth female athletes, but not in males. This suggests that LE muscle strength should be included in injury screening in youth female athletes. Intervention studies are needed to evaluate whether improvements in LE muscle strength can be obtained and thereby reduce the risk of traumatic knee injury in this population.
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