Health promotion activities of sports clubs and coaches, and health and health behaviours in youth participating in sports clubs: the Health Promoting Sports Club study
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ABSTRACT
Introduction: Sports clubs form a potential setting for health promotion, but the research is limited. The aim of the Health Promoting Sports Club (HPSC) study was to elucidate the current health promotion activities of youth sports clubs and coaches, and to investigate the health behaviours and health status of youth participating in sports clubs compared to non-participants.

Methods and analysis: The study design employs cross-sectional multilevel and multimethod research with aspirations to a prospective cohort study in the next phase. The setting-based variables at sports clubs and coaching levels, and health behaviour variables at the individual level, are investigated using surveys; and total levels of physical activity are assessed using objective accelerometer measurements. Health status variables will be measured by preparticipation screening. The health promotion activity of sports clubs (n=154) is evaluated by club officials (n=313) and coaches (n=281). Coaches and young athletes aged 14–16 (n=759) years evaluate the coaches’ health promotion activity. The survey of the adolescents’ health behaviours consist of two data sets—the first is on their health behaviours and the second is on musculoskeletal complaints and injuries. Data are collected via sports clubs (759 participants) and schools 1650 (665 participants and 983 non-participants). 591 (418 athletes and 173 non-athletes) participants. 591 (418 athletes and 173 non-athletes) youth, who have already participated in preparticipation screening. Screening consists of detailed personal medical history, electrocardiography, flow-volume spirometry, basic laboratory analyses and health status screening, including posture, muscle balance, and static and dynamic postural control tests, conducted by sports and exercise medicine specialists.

Ethics and dissemination: The HPSC study is carried out conforming with the declaration of Helsinki. Ethical approval was received from the Ethics Committee of Health Care District of Central Finland. The HPSC study is close-to-practice, which generates foundations for development work within youth sports clubs.

Sports clubs are the main setting for leisure for youth in many parts of the world, and especially in Nordic countries. In Finland, club activity draws about half (46%) of the child and adolescent population aged 10–16 years.1 Owing to their wide reach and informal educational nature, club activities form a potential setting for health promotion.2 The model of a Health Promoting Sports Club was established in Finland in 2004.3 Prior to this, there were no concepts or models in the sports field following settings-based health promotion principles.

Studies on health promotion at the sports club level have demonstrated that youth sports clubs and/or coaches have a positive orientation on health promotion on average, but have not invested in implementation to the same degree; neither at club nor coaching levels.4–7 In addition, research indicates that comprehensive health promotion orientation at the club level generates a higher level of guidance activity at club level.8 Internationally, and particularly in Australia, studies have focused on upper level sports organisations, such as national/regional sports federations.9,10

Physical activity and health behaviours of youth participating in sports clubs
It is a common belief that those who participate in sports club activities automatically have a more physically active and healthier lifestyle than non-participants. Research findings on these issues are, however, inconsistent. A quarter (24%) of the general population of Finnish children and adolescents aged 11–15 years meet the current physical activity (PA) guidelines.1,11 At...
15 years of age, those figures are 17% for boys and 10% for girls. In addition, recent reports in Nordic countries have indicated that approximately one-third of sports club participants lack the recommended level of PA.12–14

From the perspective of comprehensive athletic development, the focus on PA and sports-based physical, technical and tactical factors is too narrow and the current health promotional challenges cannot be reached. Lifestyle-related challenges, for example, high levels of screen time, are the same for a group of sports club participants as for non-participants.14 The same applies, as an example, to substance use, as it has been shown that sports club participants smoke less, but are more likely to use smokeless tobacco;17 18 binge-drink,17 and use unnecessary supplements19 and performance enhancing drugs.20

Health of youth participating in sports clubs, and their risk of injury

Health benefits of PA are well documented, whereas health benefits of certain sports are much less studied and/or study designs have been weak.21 In addition to the health benefits of PA and sports, the risks need to be addressed. With increasing amount and intensity of PA, the risk of injuries and adverse events, such as sports injuries22 23 or unexpected sudden death,24 also increases. The incidence of asthma25 and its symptoms26 is higher among adult athletes.

THE AIMS OF THE HEALTH PROMOTING SPORTS CLUB (HPSC) STUDY

There is limited research on youth sports clubs as health promoting settings. In addition, there is little systematic research on the health behaviours and health status of sports club participants, including top-aiming young athletes, especially compared to their non-sporting peers. Usually, sports club participants have been classified as one homogenous group, without recognising their training volumes or level of completion, as has been carried out in this study.

The Health Promoting Sports Club (HPSC) study uses multicentre research and is executed in cooperation with the University of Jyväskylä, UKK Institute (Research Center for Health Promotion Research), and six national Sports and Exercise Medicine Centres of Excellence, namely Clinic of Sports and Exercise Medicine (Helsinki), LIKES Foundation for Sport and Health Sciences/Mehiläinen Sports Clinic (Jyväskylä), Kuopio Research Institute of Exercise Medicine (Kuopio), Tampere Research Center of Sports Medicine (Tampere), Paavo Nurmi Centre (Turku), and Department of Sports and Exercise Medicine, Oulu Deaconess Institute (Oulu).

The first aim of the study is to survey health promotion orientation and activity of youth sports clubs and coaches. The second aim is to examine the health behaviours and status of youth participating in sports clubs compared to their non-participating peers. The third aim is to investigate whether there are associations between sports clubs orientation and activity of clubs and/or coaches in health promotion, and health of the participants. Specific research aims are:

Health promotion orientation and activity of youth sports clubs and coaches:

1. To what extent do sports club representatives (club officials and coaches) perceive health promotion is included in their clubs operational orientation?

2. How actively do youth sports clubs guide their coaches in health promotion and sports injury prevention (club official and coach evaluations)?

3. To what extent do youth sports coaches implement health promotion and sports injury prevention as a part of their coaching practice (coach and participant evaluations)?

Health behaviours and health status of youth participating in sports clubs:

4. What kind of health habits (eg, overall PA, training history, training volume, screen time, diet, sleep, smoking, alcohol consumption, snuff/oral tobacco use) do youth participating in sports clubs have compared to non-participants?

A. For example, are there differences in overall PA and sedentary behaviour (measured using questionnaires, dairies and accelerometers) of those participating in sports club activities compared to those not participating?

5. What is the prevalence of sports-related injury (organised sports, school sports and other activities separately, and acute and stress injuries separately) and pain suffered by sports club participants and non-participants? Is there a difference in use of medication between these groups?

6. What kind of preparticipation screening status (medical history, electrocardiography, flow-volume spirometry, laboratory tests, and posture and muscle balance test) do sports club participants have compared to non-participants? Which components of the structured clinical examination should be included in the preparticipation screening of young athletes?

Associations between health promotion orientation, activity of sports clubs and coaches, and health of participants:

7. Are there differences in health behaviours and/or health status of young participants between higher and lower health promoting sports clubs: by the clubs’ orientation and/or clubs’ guidance activity and/or coaches’ implementation activity?

8. Are there differences in the prevalence and gravity of young participants’ sports-related injuries between higher and lower health promoting sports clubs: by the clubs’ orientation and/or clubs’ guidance activity and/or coaches’ implementation activity?
METHODS

Study design

This consortium and multidisciplinary study uses a cross-sectional design. The setting-based variables at sports club and coaching levels, and health behaviour variables at the individual level, are being examined by surveys; PA levels are assessed using objective accelerometer measurements. Health status variables were measured by preparticipation clinical examination and several tests.

The health promotion orientation and activity of sports clubs was evaluated by club officials and coaches. The coaches’ health promotion activity was evaluated by coaches themselves, as well as young sports club participants. Health behaviours, sports injuries and musculoskeletal health were self-evaluated by sports club participants (questionnaire via sports clubs) and non-participants (questionnaire via schools). Preparticipation screening was performed for 591 youth. The medical examination consisted of detailed personal medical history, resting ECG, flow-volume spirometry, basic laboratory tests, and clinical examination including posture and muscle balance tests, by sports and exercise medicine specialists. All youth were aged between 14 and 16 years and represented both genders.

Data collection

The HPSC data collection was started by surveys in the middle of the main competition season from January to May 2013 for winter sports, and from August to December 2013 for summer sports. Comparison data for non-sports club participants was collected via schools (9th grades) similarly in two stages and approximately within the same timeframe. Complementary data, including club-participants and non-participants, were compiled in Spring 2014. Preparticipation screening data were mainly collected between August 2013 and April 2014. All data sets will be supplemented by the end of 2015.

Sampling

The sampling of sports club-based data was carried out in a two-stage process, first, with the clubs, and second, with the respondents and/or study participants. A similar procedure was undertaken for school-based data. The data description below is for the data collected until April 2015.

The sports club sample

To produce a nationally representative sample of the most popular sports for youth in Finland, a total of 240 youth sports clubs from 10 sports disciplines were targeted; 24 from each discipline. The sample size of the clubs was power calculated by Stata V.11.0 using data from Kokko. The first step of club sampling was to stratify sports clubs by the following criteria: (1) winter and summer sports, depending on the main competition season, and (2) team and individual sports. Thereafter, the most popular sporting disciplines (winter sports: basketball, cross-country skiing, floorball, ice-hockey and skating; and summer sports: football (soccer), gymnastics, orienteering, swimming and track and field) were chosen.

The next step was completed separately for each discipline. Clubs were further stratified depending on: (1) geographical location (six areas of Centres of Excellence in Sports and Exercise Medicine covering the six largest cities, about a third of the population in Finland), (2) magnitude (larger and smaller), (3) certification by The Young Finland Association (yes or no), and (4) area type (city vs countryside). Thereafter, to ensure objective and representative sampling of the clubs, discretionary rather than randomised sampling of clubs was performed. Twenty-four clubs were selected from each sport and the total sample consisted of 240 youth sports clubs.

Ninety-one of 120 winter sports clubs were reached. Eighty-four clubs participated successfully, that is, had representatives from each target group (club officials, coaches and youth) and returned club-based background information (figure 1). Similarly, for summer sports, 84 of 120 clubs were reached and 70 participated successfully. In total, 154 youth sports clubs out of 240 participated (64%) in the HPSC study.

The sports club officials and coaches sample

Following the club sampling, the respondents (surveys) and study participants (youth preparticipation screening) from sports clubs were selected (figure 2). For the surveys, 3–5 club officials and 3–5 coaches were targeted from each club. Selection was discretionary, in cooperation with a contact person from each club to ensure that certain criteria were met. The criterion for being a club official was that the person held an official status, such as chairman of the club, member of the executive committee or head of coaching or junior activities. The criterion for coaches was that the coach in question was coaching youth 14–16 years of age (target group of the youth) at the time. Overall, 625 club officials and 593 coaches were contacted, of which 313 club officials (50%) and 281 coaches (47%) participated.

The sampling of youth participating in sports clubs for the health behaviour survey

The sampling of youth participating in sports clubs (for surveys) was tailored separately for team and individual sports and had some differences between winter and summer sports depending on the timing of the data collection. Fifteen-year old (9th graders) youth were targeted. Owing to differing timing for data collection, different age cohorts were targeted: for winter sports, youth born in 1997 (9th graders in Spring 2013), and for summer sports youth born in 1998 (9th graders in Autumn 2013). Plus-minus 1 year was accepted at sampling stage. For the analysis of data, only those who were 14–16 years of age were accepted. For each club, five boys and five girls was aimed for, but this criterion was reduced to a minimum of three per gender because...
there were simply not enough targeted age youth in each club, especially for the individual sports. Sample size was power calculated by Stata V11.0 using data from Kokko et al.14 Sampling was realised as follows: for team sports, in those clubs that had several teams, only one was randomly selected. If a club only had one team, that team was automatically used. Thereafter, a club was asked to provide a list of participants from the given team to the researchers, who selected the individuals randomly. For individual sports, a list of all eligible (given age range) youth was provided to researchers, and similarly in team sports, individuals were randomly selected. Overall, 1889 youth participating in sports clubs were invited to complete the questionnaires. Less than half (759/40%) completed the health behaviour questionnaire (figure 2).

The loss in data collection will be analysed with the information of those sports club participants who did

Figure 1  Cluster sampling (realised sample in brackets) of sports clubs in Health Promoting Sports Club (HPSC) study.

Sports club level

1) Sport clubs health promotion orientation Questionnaire
n=313 club officials

2) Sports clubs health promotion guidance activity Questionnaire
n=281 coaches

3) Coaches health promotion implementation activity Questionnaire
n=759 sports club participating youth

Individual level

4) Survey of health behaviors and 5) injuries (Questionnaires on overall PA, screen time, diet, sleep, smoking, alcohol consumption and sniff/oral tobacco use and injuries)
n=759 sports club participants via clubs

n=983 non-sports club participants via schools

173 non-sports club participants took part in PA measurement and health examination

418 sports club participants took part in PA measurement and health examination

6) Objective PA measurement by accelerometer (overall PA)

7) Preparticipation screening (including personal medical history)
not participate in the surveys, including which club and sport they represented, how many boys/girls, etc.

The school-based sample of non-sport club participants for health behaviour survey
School-based data (surveys) were collected in two portions following the sports clubs data collection timing. Ten secondary schools from every six districts of the Centres of Excellence in Sports and Exercise Medicine were targeted per data collection. Equal portions of large and small schools and city versus countryside were aimed for in sampling, but needed to be adjusted depending on city size and willingness of the schools to participate. In smaller cities, such as Jyväskylä and Kuopio, there were not enough smaller or countryside schools, or, these type of schools refused to participate. Overall, 159 schools were contacted and one hundred participated (63%). In Winter 2013, 65 schools were contacted and 46 participated (71%). Similarly, in Autumn 2013, 79 schools were contacted and 48 participated (61%). In early 2014, the preparticipation screening data needed additional non-sports club participants. Therefore, an extra 15 schools were contacted, of which six participated. A minor portion of the schools were the same in both data collections, but, as described earlier, the responding class was different. One class of 9th graders was asked to respond from each school. The class was randomly selected. In total, there were 2074 9th graders in these school classes, and 1650 (80%) completed the health behaviour questionnaire (figure 2).

The sample of youth for sports injury and musculoskeletal health survey
The data for the sports injury and musculoskeletal health survey were collected similarly to the health behaviour survey. The sports injury and musculoskeletal health survey was executed within 2 weeks after the health behaviour survey. The same youth were invited to answer the questionnaire. In total, 2096 youth completed the sports injury and musculoskeletal health questionnaire, of which 1168 were sports club participants (via sports clubs and schools) and 928 were non-participants (via schools).

The sample for youth preparticipation screenings
Sample size for the youth preparticipation screening was power calculated by DDS Research Researchers toolkit (used in 29 August 2011) using the prevalence of asthma (population 8% vs athletes 15%).27 Six hundred youth, of whom 372 were sports club participants and 228 non-participants, were aimed at for the sample. Half of the preparticipation screenings (300 in total, of which 186 were from sports club participants and 114 from non-participants) were planned to be completed in the timeframe of winter sports (January to May 2013) and half (300 with a ratio of 186/114) similarly, within the timeframe of the summer sports (August to December 2013). Both preparticipation screening timeframes were expanded in order to complete enough examinations. Each Centre of Excellence in Sports and Exercise Medicine was aimed to execute one hundred preparticipation screening visits, 50 in both timeframes, divided between 62 (31 by 2) sports club participants and 38 (19 by 2) non-participants.

Youth who were invited to engage in preparticipation screening were randomly selected from the survey data mentioned above (respondents)—sports club participants from sports club-based data and non-participants from school-based data. Overall, 591 preparticipation screening visits were completed, of which three failed to be completed (table 1). The ratio between sports club participants and non-participants was not achieved as planned, due to lack of interest among non-sports club participants. In the final data for analysis, 415 sports club participants and 173 non-participants, 588 in total (figure 2), were included. The completion of preparticipation screening visits varied between 92 and 107 among the Centers of Excellence in Sports and Exercise Medicine.

Measurements
Surveys
Six different questionnaires were used in this study. All were internet-based and answered online by club officials, coaches and sports-club participants during their spare time, and by pupils during a school day in a class supervised by a teacher.

The first questionnaire was used to verify the background information of clubs. This questionnaire consisted of nine questions regarding the club in question, for example, whether the club was a general club or specialised in one sport, what the discipline of the club was, if the club was certified or not, and what the membership was. This questionnaire was answered by the contact person at each club.

The second questionnaire was directed at sports club officials, who evaluated the club’s health promotion orientation and guidance activity. The health promotion orientation was examined by the HPSC index3 and health promotion guidance activity by three questionnaire batteries created in the initial HPSC study.28

The third questionnaire was completed by coaches, who evaluated three aspects: (1) the club’s health promotion orientation, (2) the club’s health promotion guidance activity and (3) their own health promotion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Study participants of preparticipation screening by their gender and sports club participation (frequency)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Boys</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports club participants</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-participants</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failed to complete</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In total</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and injury prevention implementation activity. In addition to the aforementioned measurements of HPSC index and the clubs’ health promotion guidance activity, coaches’ health promotion and injury prevention implementation activity were examined by three existing question-batteries. The questionnaires for club officials and coaches also consisted of self-evaluations on their health behaviours.

The fourth and fifth questionnaires were targeted at boys and girls aged 14–16 years. The fourth questionnaire focused on the health behaviours of the adolescents, including self-evaluated overall PA. The questionnaire for youth participating in sports clubs included extra questions compared to non-participants. These additional questions specified their sports activities and included young athletes’ evaluation of their coaches’ health promotion and injury prevention activity. The fifth questionnaire focused on injuries and musculoskeletal health of the adolescents. The sixth questionnaire was targeted at those adolescent who attended the preparticipation screening, and ascertained family history of diseases, as well as family history and information on growth, nutrition, sleeping and health status of the adolescents. The queries used in these questionnaires were compiled from previously validated questionnaires in other studies, such as the Health Behaviour in School-aged Children study and similar reports.

**Objective PA measurement**

PA level of the youth was objectively measured by a Hookie Meter V2.0 accelerometer (Hookie Technologies Ltd, Helsinki, Finland). The accelerometer was set up during the first preparticipation screening appointment, kept for a week and returned at the second appointment. One unit (Jyväskylä) used a different protocol—without second appointment. There the accelerometers were returned via postal mail. In addition, PA was recorded by a diary, in which the adolescents were asked to record the time of waking up and going to bed as well as all PAs performed during the day (before, during and after school).

**Preparticipation screening**

The preparticipation screening protocol consisted of two separate visits, a main session and a feedback session. The main visit lasted approximately two and half hours and consisted of detailed personal medical history, resting ECG, blood pressure measurement, flow-volume spirometry, fasting blood samples and health status examination including assessment of risk of future injury (Box 1). The examination was performed by specialists in sports and exercise medicine.

Resting blood pressure was measured in sitting position from the left arm after a 5-min rest. The measurement was performed with a validated, cuff-style oscillometric (automated) device. A correct sized brachial cuff was placed with the lower edge about 2–3 cm above the elbow crease. The device recorded the oscillations of pressure in a cuff during gradual deflation, and systolic and diastolic pressures were estimated indirectly according to an empirically derived algorithm. Two independent consecutive measurements were taken at an interval of 1 min. If there was >10 mm Hg difference in systolic or diastolic pressure between the first and second measurements, a third reading was obtained after an interval of 1 min. The pulse pressure was calculated as the difference between systolic and diastolic pressure.

A standard 12-lead resting ECG was recorded after a 5 min rest with participants in supine position. ECGs will be analysed digitally to provide detailed data on the duration and amplitudes of all segments of the P wave, QRS complex and T wave in all 12 leads, with amplitudes recorded to the nearest 100th of a millivolt and times recorded to the nearest millisecond. Seven quantitative ECG measurements will be extracted for each subject: heart rate; PR interval; QRS duration and axis; the sum of S wave amplitude in lead V1 and the maximum R wave in lead V5 or V6; T axis; and QT interval. These ECG measurements are believed to be correlates of heart rate, conduction, left ventricular mass and repolarisation.

Blood samples were taken after fasting for at least 10 h. Basic blood count was analysed by normal laboratory method using automatic equipment. Serum was separated by normal methods and stored in −75°C for later analysis.

Flow-volume spirometry was measured according to American Thoracic Society (ATS)/European Respiratory

---

**Box 1 Contents and timetable of the pre-participation screening in the Health Promoting Sports Club (HPSC) study**

1. Health Screening Questionnaire was filled at home with the parents. Informed written consent was included.
2. Examination started with filling in the questionnaire focused on previous injuries and musculoskeletal health of the adolescents (those who had not fulfilled the questionnaire previously). Time allocation: 30 min.
3. The measurements during the examination were obtained in the following order. Time allocation: 60 min.
   - height, weight
   - resting blood pressure
   - resting ECG
   - fasting blood samples
   - spirometry and bronchodilatation tests
4. Preparticipation screening by a physician. Time allocation: 60 min.
5. A Hookie Meter V.2.0 accelerometer (Hookie Technologies Ltd) and 1 week physical activity questionnaire were distributed with instructions, and the device was set up. Time allocation: 30 min. The accelerometer was returned 1 week later and the physician gave verbal and written feedback from the findings and results of the pre-participation screening performed and feedback session. Time allocation: 15 min.
Society (ERS) guidelines, using a flow-volume spirometer (Medikro Pro 909, Medikro oy, Kuopio, Finland). Spirometry results were expressed as per cent of personal age-matched, size-matched and sex-matched predicted values for the Finnish population. Furthermore, to assess the reversibility of possible airway obstruction, a bronchodilation test was performed according to ATS/ERS guidelines. Salbutamol 0.4 mg was the drug of choice for the bronchodilatation test in all study units. The main focus was on forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) and percentage of FEV1 in FVC (FEV%), in order to observe possible obstruction and its reversibility (ie, asthma). Furthermore, peak expiratory flow and mean forced expiratory flow at 50% of the FVC (FEF50), and their reversibility, were taken into the analysis.

The preparticipation screening, performed by a physician, included normal clinical investigation and also several previously well-documented static and dynamic posture, movement control and musculoskeletal balance tests (table 2, see online supplementary appendix 1). Also, a structured examination protocol for recording current and previous apophyseal injuries such as Osgood-Schlatter’s disease was used. Contents and protocol were adjusted and pre-tested in two separate sessions with two study physicians (HS and JP) and voluntary adolescents. After these sessions, all study physicians participated for a 1 day session, where tests and measurements were introduced and training was given.

Statistical analyses
Normality of the data will be tested. Differences between categorical club-level and individual-level outcomes will be analysed using χ² or Fisher’s exact tests. Dichotomous versus continuous variables will be analysed using the conventional t tests and Mann-Whitney if the data is not normally distributed. An analysis of variance will be used to compare the differences between more than two groups. In addition, multilevel logistic regression will be used to analyse the associations between health promotion orientation, activity of the sports clubs and coaches, and health of the participants. Statistical analyses will be performed using SPSS V20.0 statistical software.

Ethical issues
The HPSC study is carried out in conformance with the declaration of Helsinki. Ethical approval was received from the Ethics Committee of Health Care District of Central Finland (record number 23U/2012). All sports clubs participated in the study by free will. This was secured by requesting club permission at the beginning of the study. All respondents were notified that they had the right to refuse to participate and could withdraw from the study at any time.

The ethical statement required written consent from the participating youth for the questionnaire data, and written consent from a guardian and the adolescent him/herself for the preparticipation screening. All permission papers included detailed information of the study. The consent form for preparticipation screening, for example, consisted of five separate items: (1) approval for participation in the study and permission to use the information for research purposes, (2) permission for the information gathered in the surveys and preparticipation screening to be linked with existing nation-wide health registers, (3) permission for the blood samples to be saved and analysed during the next 15 years, (4) permission for the researchers to later contact the participant to ask if he/she would be interested in participating in a longitudinal study and (5) permission for the information gathered in the study to be used in international research collaboration.

The adolescents were notified that they had the right to refuse to participate and could withdraw their consent later without giving a reason. National and international standards and recommendations concerning research involving children and young people were followed. Specially trained staff members were responsible for the examinations and measurements, and measuring instruments were regularly calibrated. The personal data of the study participants are protected using code numbers. All research data will only be viewed and used by members of the research group, and they will not be distributed to outsiders. Data transfer and protection will be followed throughout the study, especially within the network. Proceedings of the study will be followed in Consortium Steering Group meetings, project group meetings and in daily communication.

DISCUSSION
This paper describes the rationale and design of the national Health Promoting Sports Club study. The study design uses a cross-sectional multidisciplinary approach. A prospective cohort study is planned as the next phase of the study.

Combining the settings approach with leisure time PA
In health promotion, a settings-based approach has been developed in many environments, such as schools and workplaces, but less in those relating to leisure time. This HPSC research project corresponds to both preceding claims by illustrating, for the first time, nationally and internationally, and comprehensively with multiple approaches, the current situation of health promotion and injury prevention policies, and activities of the youth sports clubs and coaches; in regard to health behaviours and the health status of adolescents participating in sports club.

Comparison of club and individual-level variables/characteristics provides unique knowledge of the associations of club-based health promotion activity to coaches’ implementation activity, and further to health behaviours and status of adolescents participating in sports clubs. Furthermore, a unique aspect of this study is that...
youth participating in sports clubs are compared with their non-participating counterparts, not only using surveys, but also with objective PA measurement and pre-participation screenings.

Health promotion for youth participating in sports clubs is important from a perspective of athlete development, as there are many shortcomings in the health behaviours of young athletes, implying that more health and physical education also needs to be provided by sports clubs. Health promotion through sports clubs has several advantages when compared to that in formal educational settings. The most important factor is that the educational nature of the clubs, due to voluntary participation of the youth, is informal.\textsuperscript{8} The youth participate because they have an interest in a given sport, which provides a great opportunity to tailor health promotion to a particular sport and development, together with providing a more effective setting for health promotion.\textsuperscript{41} This, in turn, highlights a need for clubs to consider how to draw more people and/or other activities to start developing their concepts.

Good muscle balance is based on the control of posture, maintenance of adequate range of motion in joints and flexibility of muscles as well as good neural control of motion. Disturbances in posture and muscle balance can lead to increased overuse symptoms and a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Domains and contents of the preparticipation screening performed by a physician</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Domain</strong></td>
<td><strong>Contents</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal medical history</td>
<td>▶ Review of the screening questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posture</td>
<td>▶ side-to-side difference in iliac crest height (no-yes) if yes, which side is in higher position?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ scoliometer value in forward bending from the level of sacrum (degrees, right+, left–) iliac crest (degrees, right+, left–) lower scapula (degrees, right+, left–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posture</td>
<td>▶ side-to-side difference in shoulder height (no-yes) scoliometer value in acromioclavicular joint level (degrees, right+, left–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posture</td>
<td>▶ side-to-side difference in humerus anteroposterior position (no-yes) if yes, which side is in front? (left, right, both) is adolescent able to correct asymmetrical position? (no-yes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of motion</td>
<td>▶ shoulder neck mobility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of motion</td>
<td>▶ deep squat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of motion</td>
<td>▶ Bignston index, Horan mobility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of motion</td>
<td>▶ Thomas test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of motion</td>
<td>▶ hip joint rotation, passive internal and external rotation degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of motion</td>
<td>▶ Navicular drop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of motion</td>
<td>▶ side-to-side difference in humerus anteroposterior position (no-yes) if yes, which side is in front? (left, right, both) is adolescent able to correct asymmetrical position? (no-yes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core and knee control</td>
<td>▶ two-leg drop jump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core and knee control</td>
<td>▶ modified Hoover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core and knee control</td>
<td>▶ Trendelenburg test with 20 cm stance:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core and knee control</td>
<td>– lateral movement to right and left (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core and knee control</td>
<td>– lateral tilt (degrees) and its direction (right+, left–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart r auscultation (supine and sitting)</td>
<td>▶ pulses (palpable, symmetrical, normal vs description of abnormal findings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung auscultation (normal and forced breathing)</td>
<td>▶ lymph nodes in neck, on clavicles and groin (normal vs description of abnormal findings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpation</td>
<td>▶ thyroid gland (normal vs description of abnormal findings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpation</td>
<td>▶ stomach (normal vs description of abnormal findings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palpation</td>
<td>▶ testes, resistances in testes? (description of abnormal findings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological age evaluation</td>
<td>▶ in girls: menarche was enquired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological age evaluation</td>
<td>▶ in boys: pubis hair Tanner grading 1–6, size of testes was measured (larger or smaller than 20 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological age evaluation</td>
<td>▶ Acne (no-yes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological age evaluation</td>
<td>▶ Normal versus description of abnormal findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological age evaluation</td>
<td>▶ present pain (no-yes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological age evaluation</td>
<td>▶ previous pain lasting more than 3 weeks disturbing physical activity (no-yes) if yes, at which age pain existed and how long time-period it lasted?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological age evaluation</td>
<td>▶ previous tendon avulsion? (no-yes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity and sedentary behaviour</td>
<td>▶ Objective assessment with accelerometer for 7 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*References in online supplementary appendix 1.
adolescents. At the same time, a protocol for and platform for improving the well-being of children and of Finnish youth sports clubs and generate a modern current health promotion and injury prevention activity diagnosis. Thus important to assess lung functions in connection with motion. These variables can be modified by training and counselling, to prevent injuries.43 44 Asthma is a common disorder in children and adolescents, and undiagnosed and untreated asthma may prevent participation in normal PA in children.45 It is thus important to assess lung functions in connection with health screening. An asthma programme in Finland showed screening for asthma is effective in its diagnosis.

As expected, the results of this study clarify the current health promotion and injury prevention activity of Finnish youth sports clubs and generate a modern platform for improving the well-being of children and adolescents. At the same time, a protocol for and content of young sports club participants’ preparticipation screening and healthcare network will be further developed. This, in turn, will make it possible to standardise what a young sports club participant’s preparticipation screening should consist of.

Challenges—real life research

There were many challenges to this multilevel research. First, although being positive, many sports clubs were unable to deliver the information needed, thus either clubs or study participants could not participate. This illustrates well the challenges faced when studying voluntary activity-based settings. People participate on their free time and of their own free will. The same is true under the coaches’ participation in the study, as about half failed to answer the questionnaire. It would be important for researchers and research projects to invest even more in motivating people to take part in the studies.

The age group of youth in the HPSC study was challenging in terms of participation. The same applies to the surveys and preparticipation screening. The survey via schools succeeded better than via sports clubs. The key factor is that the school-based survey was executed during a class and under supervision of a teacher. Despite using a web-based questionnaire, a postal-based invitation for sports club participants was not enough to get them to answer. For the preparticipation screenings, more sports club participants than non-participants, and more girls than boys, took part in the examinations. This reflects higher interest of these groups over the others. At the same time, this may have caused bias in the data, which needs to be acknowledged in the analyses.

In conclusion, this is the first time, to our knowledge, that sports clubs and coaches’ health promotion activities and health of youth participating in sports clubs compared to non-participants are simultaneously studied. The research project is close-to-practice, which generates foundations for development work for youth sports clubs to systematically execute health promotion, and recognise environmental and individual factors. At the same time, foundations for future intervention studies are created. The instruments created, used and validated can also be employed internationally and adapted to the school and working environment.
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