Appendix 3. Characteristics of included studies

Table 1. Characteristics of included studies that didn't use in data pooling (quality assessment score/ risk of bias score)

	First author	Year	Language	Sample size	n Athletes	Age	Gender	Sports fields	Sports activity levels	Assessment method	Quality score	Risk of bias
1	Becker	1986	English	336	336	Adolescent	F& M*	Swimming	Competitive	Adam's test**	5	1
2	Hamilton	1992	English	28	28	Adult	F& M	Ballet dance	Competitive	Adam's test	5	3
3	Ogon	2001	English	120	120	Adolescent & adult	F& M	Ski	Competitive	X-ray (thoracolumbar and lumbar spine)	5	2
4	Vařeková	2011	English	62	62	Adult	F	Volleyball	Competitive	Adam's test	5	3
5	Zaina	2014	English	329	112	Adolescent	F& M	Swimming	Competitive	Scoliometer	6	3
6	Ramos- Álvarez	2016	English	102	102	Adolescent	F& M	Swimming, fencing and badminton	Competitive	X-ray	6	2
7	Langdon	2016	English	207	207	Adolescent & adult	M	Rugby	Recreational & competitive	Posture Analysis (WMPA)	5	4
8	Lotfian	2017	English	244	244		M	Football	Competitive	Spinal mouse	5	4
9	Snodgrass	2021	English	263	263	Adolescent	M	Football	Competitive	Photograph, modified Watson and Mac Donncha scale	5	4

^{*}F=Female, M=Male **researchers just used this test without using scoliometer.

Table 2. Characteristics of studies included in data pooling (quality assessment score/ risk of bias score)

	First author	Year	Language	Sample size	n Athletes	Age	Gender	Sports fields	Sports activity levels	Assessment method	Quality score	Risk of bias
1	Warren	1986	English	75	75	Adult	F	Ballet dance	Recreational	X-ray	7	3
2	Hellström	1990	English	173	143	Adolescent & adult	F&M	Wrestlers (30), Gymnasts (F:26/M:26), Male athletes pooled (117), Tennis (30), Soccer (31)	Elit	X-ray, lower half of the thoracic and lumbar and half of sacrum	7	0
3	Campos 1	1997	English	371	371	Adolescent	F&M	27 different types	Elit	X-ray	7	2
4	Campos 2	1997	English	70	70	Adolescent	F&M	Basketball	Elit	X-ray	7	2
5	Tanchev	2000	English	4900	100	Adolescent	F	Gymnastic	Recreational	X-ray	8	2
6	Heitkamp	2005	German	41	41	Child & adolescent	F	Gymnastic	Recreational	X-ray	6	4
7	Modi	2008	English	46544	116	Adolescent	F&M	Volleyball	Recreational	X-ray	8	2
8	Trexler	2013	English	15	15	Adult	F	Gymnastic	Competitive	X-ray	6	2
9	Steinberg	2013	English	1288	1288	Child & adolescent	F	Ballet dance	Recreational	Adam's test*	7	3
<i>10</i>	Longworth	2014	English	60	30	Adolescent	F	Ballet dance	Recreational	Scoliometer	6	4
11	Zaina	2016	English	305	102	Adolescent	F&M	Tennis	Competitive	Scoliometer	7	2
12	Watanabe 1	2017	English	944	944	Adolescent	F	Swimming	Recreational	X-ray	9	2
13	Watanabe 2	2017	English	117	117	Adolescent	F	Rhythmic gymnastics	Recreational	X-ray	9	2
14	Watanabe 3	2017	English	344	344	Adolescent	F	Classical ballet	Recreational	X-ray	9	2
15	Watanabe 4	2017	English	320	320	Adolescent	F	Dancing	Recreational	X-ray	9	2
16	Watanabe 5	2017	English	93	93	Adolescent	F	Artistic gymnastic	Recreational	X-ray	9	2
17	Watanabe 6	2017	English	340	340	Adolescent	F	Tennis	Recreational	X-ray	9	2
18	Watanabe 7	2017	English	217	217	Adolescent	F	Basketball	Recreational	X-ray	9	2
19	Watanabe 8	2017	English	229	229	Adolescent	F	Badminton	Recreational	X-ray	9	2
20	Watanabe 9	2017	English	213	213	Adolescent	F	Volleyball	Recreational	X-ray	9	2
21 22	Aydin	2020	English	679	679	Adult Adolescent	F&M F	Swimming	Competitive Recreational	X-ray Adam's test	6	3 4
22	Steinberg	2021	English	132	132	Adolescent	Г	Dance	Recreational	Adam's test	6	4

^{*}Adam's test= researchers just used this test without using scoliometer

Table 3. Characteristics of included studies (IS* prevalence/sample size)

	First author	Year	Country	Sample	n Athletes	n Control	IS* prevalence in athletes	IS prevalence in control
1	Becker	1986	USA	336	336	-	16% Mild Functional, structural idiopathic 6/9%	-
2	Hamilton	1992	USA	28	28	-	50% of the women and 27% of the male	-
3	Ogon	2001	Austria	120	120	-	24 (20%) mild scoliosis	-
4	Vařeková	2011	Czech	62	62	-	2 (3.2%)	-
5	Zaina	2014	Italy	329	112	217	Odds ratio: 1.86	Not reported
6	Ramos-Álvarez	2016	Spain	102**	102	54**	2 (8%), a left-thoracic curve, 18° and 20° Cobb for both cases.	-
7	Langdon	2016	Australia	207	207	-	S-Scoliosis, Moderate deviation: (8.2) and Marked deviation: (0.5)-C-Scoliosis, Moderate deviation: (23.7) and Marked deviation: (0.0).	-
8	Lotfian	2017	Iran	244	244	-	Players with scoliosis 3 (1.2%)	-
9	Snodgrass	2021	Australia	263	263	-	17 (6.5%), Odd ratio: 0.76	-
10	Warren	1986	USA	75	75	-	18 (24%)	-
11	Hellström	1990	Sweden	173	143	30 Males	29 (20.27%)	Not reported
12	Campos 1	1997	Spain	371	371	-	44 (11.85%.)	-
13	Campos 2	1997	Spain	70	70	-	(27.27%)	-
14	Tanchev	2000	Bulgaria	4900	100	4800	12 (12%)	53 (1.1%)
15	Heitkamp	2005	Germany	41	41	-	2 (4.8%)	-
16	Modi	2008	South Korea	46544	116	46428	6 (5.2%). Cobb Me=12, Range: 10-15. Odds ratio:6.1	465(1%). Cobb Me: 24.5, Range: 10-55
<i>17</i>	Trexler	2013	USA	15	15	-	3 (20%)	-
18	Steinberg	2013	Israel	1288	1288	-	307(23.8%)	-
19	Longworth	2014	Australia	60	30	30	9 (30%)	1 (3.33%)
<i>20</i>	Zaina	2016	Italy	305	102	203	6 (0.05%)	12 (0.05%)
21	Watanabe 1	2017	Japan	944	944	-	469 (49.7%), Odds ratio: 1.10	-
22	Watanabe 2	2017	Japan	117	117	-	56 (47.9%), Odds ratio: 1.04	-
23	Watanabe 3	2017	Japan	344	344	-	192 (55.8%), Odds ratio: 1.38	-
24	Watanabe 4	2017	Japan	320	320	-	156 (48.8%), Odds ratio: 1.04	-
25	Watanabe 5	2017	Japan	93	93	-	49 (52.7%), Odds ratio 1.19	-
26	Watanabe 6	2017	Japan	340	340	-	154 (45.3%), Odds ratio: 0.88	-
27	Watanabe 7	2017	Japan	217	217	-	83 (38.3%), Odds ratio: 0.69	-
28	Watanabe 8	2017	Japan	229	229	-	82 (35.8%), Odds ratio: 0.61	-
29	Watanabe 9	2017	Japan	213	213	-	89 (41.8%), Odds ratio: 0.76	-
30	Aydin	2020	Turkey	679	679	-	28 (4.1%)	-
31	Steinberg	2021	Israel	132	132	-	38 (28.8%)	-

^{*}Idiopathic scoliosis, **Asymmetrical: fencing, badminton=48, symmetrical: swimming=54 that considered control group.