Capturing effort and recovery: reactive and recuperative cortisol responses to competition in well-trained rowers
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ABSTRACT

Background/aim It is well known that physical strain is associated with increased cortisol production. And although mental stress elevates cortisol concentrations as well, little is known of the independent and/or combined effects of both on the secretion of cortisol. Aim of the study was to investigate the day-to-day cortisol dynamics associated with training, performance and recuperation and the immediate responses to mental stress and physical endurance under competitive conditions.

Methods Sixteen freshman competitive male rowers were prospectively followed from Thursday to Tuesday with an intermediate competition on Saturday and Sunday. On all days, three saliva samples were collected within 30 min after awakening to assess the cortisol awakening rise (CAR). Additionally, five saliva samples were collected previously to and immediately after all races during the regatta weekend.

Results CAR values peaked during competition days and recovered during the 2 days after. Cortisol concentrations significantly increased during and after all races. Furthermore, although response patterns did not differ, the morning races showed significantly higher cortisol levels compared with the levels measured during the afternoon races. This likely reflects the normal diurnal rhythm of corticosteroids.

Conclusions These results indicate that cortisol levels of athletes might be sensitive for both immediate responses to competition and, in case of CAR, (midterm) recovery phasing. Consequently, monitoring cortisol responses during training and competition may provide valuable information regarding how athletes cope with competition-induced stress and their recovery status during the days following. This insight might help to plan future training loads and recovery.

INTRODUCTION

Athletes are constantly exposed to a wide range of stressors.¹ Daily training load is the key source of physiological stress in athletes.² Intensity and duration of endurance exercise is positively related to cortisol elevation in the human body.³–¹² Furthermore, in official competitions, athletes are submitted to a wide range of psychological stressors, such as pressure to achieve optimal outcomes, the unpredictable environment related to official matches and the importance of the match.² These stressors might lead to negative affective states,¹³ which can result in increased levels of cortisol.

The degree and duration of the elevation of cortisol are considered indicative of stress. Mild increases in cortisol prepare individuals for action and lower cortisol levels or reactivity may indicate more resilience to stressful situations. On the contrary, extreme elevations in cortisol lead to poor performance, because it interferes with some cognitive processes and may suppress testosterone production.¹⁴ The cortisol awakening rise (CAR) is an established marker of more chronic and pervasive (either mental or physical) stress, for example, during the previous days to weeks.¹⁵ ¹⁶ To our knowledge, no studies in rowing have investigated whether the endurance and recuperation status might be mirrored in measurable (significant) day-to-day alternations in CAR. Therefore, the
first aim of our study was to investigate the day-to-day cortisol dynamics associated with training, performance and recuperation in oarsmen.

Furthermore, significant higher cortisol levels have been identified in competition versus simulated events in many different types of sports (eg, fighting sports like jiu-jitsu and judo, tennis and weightlifting).\textsuperscript{10}17–19 Studies in rowing investigated the effect of cortisol in response to various types of training and periodisation.\textsuperscript{20–22} To our knowledge, only one study has examined the effects of competition compared with training on the cortisol concentrations of rowers,\textsuperscript{23} and none using a repeated measurement design incorporating sequential samples immediately previous to and following a competitive event. Pearson et al\textsuperscript{23} collected urinary cortisol levels in two teams of Oxford college eights oarsmen. These were compared on training days, racing days and non-racing days. Results showed that (urinary) cortisol levels were elevated on racing and training days compared with non-racing days. One disadvantage of this study is that it by design used a wide time slot to measure cortisol levels: total urine samples were collected over a 3.5-hour period, whereas the race itself could take no more than 5–6 min.\textsuperscript{23} It is unclear whether cortisol levels were measured before or after the races. Therefore, the second aim of our study was to investigate the immediate effect of competition on cortisol levels in oarsmen.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

Two rowing crews (freshmen’s eights) were included in this study, overall including 16 competitive male rowers: one ‘lightweight’ eight (n=8, average weight 70 kg, no individual rower over 72.5 kg) and one ‘open class’ eight (n=8, no weight restrictions). The coxswains of both crews assisted in applying the study protocol, though they did not collect data themselves.

Before participation, all rowers were medically cleared according to the Lausanne recommendations\textsuperscript{14} and all rowers signed an informed consent. The Institutional Review Board of TNO granted ethical approval for the study. All participants finished the study and received a monetary reward afterwards.

Rowers in the Dutch freshmen’s competition generally start with approximately four training sessions per week as from September. From January, training intensity is increased to on average of six training sessions every week. The regatta season starts in the beginning of April and ends in the first weekend of July.

Each regatta consists of four races: both on Saturday and Sunday a preliminary and (if a crew indeed qualifies) a final. In a typical rowing race, up to six crews race side-by-side, each in their own lane, over 2000 m. The type of crew participating in the present study generally needs (depending on the weather) 6–7 min to complete the race. During the present study, weather conditions were generally good (dry, comfortable temperatures and not too much wind).

There is a regatta every other week, which means that generally a regatta is followed by a recovery week. In this week, the focus is on physiological recovery implying a more extensive training load. The last days before the regatta training sessions are relatively short though intensive, for example, to train tactical situations like starts and sprints.

**Procedures**

This study was conducted mid-season: in the first week of June 2011. Rowers were prospectively followed during one week from Thursday to Tuesday. In this week, the regatta was held on Saturday and Sunday, conveniently on their own training waters. On Saturday morning, both crews rowed a preliminary race shortly after each other and finals late in the afternoon; on Sunday, the preliminaries were clearly on separate times (heavyweight crew at 08:00 hours; lightweights shortly after 12:00 hours). The lightweight eight did not qualify for the finals on Sunday. They nevertheless collected saliva samples on the times when they would have raced the finals, thus providing unanticipated baseline cortisol saliva values (see figure 1B, online supplementary figure 1A, B).

To be able to collect (cortisol) data, the Royal Dutch Rowing Association (KNRB) provided a testing room at the national training centre, being close by the boat-house of the participating rowers and at the shores of the regatta track.

Saliva samples were collected on six consecutive mornings (Thursday to Tuesday) immediately after awakening (07:00, 07:15 and 07:30 hours) using Salivettes (Sarstedt, Germany). The collected samples were immediately brought to the testing room at the training site where they were stored at −20°C. These data were used to calculate for each rower a daily CAR, being the cumulative of the three values. Average CAR per crew (lightweight vs heavyweight) was calculated for each day: Thursday and Friday previously to the upcoming competition; Saturday and Sunday being the competition days and Monday and Tuesday, postcompetition recovery days.

Additionally, on competition days (Saturday and Sunday: seven races overall), additional saliva samples were collected 30 min before boarding; immediately before boarding (after mental preparation, though before any serious physical exercise); after warming up in the starting zone; immediately after the finish of the race and 20 min later after standardised cooling down in the boat. After data collection was completed, all samples were transported to the laboratories of the Academic Hospital Utrecht (U-Diagnostics, UMC Utrecht, The Netherlands) to be analysed by means of radioimmunoassays (Coat-A-Count; Diagnostic Products, Los Angeles, California, USA): lower detection
limit of 1 nmol/L; intra-assay variation of 4.4% and an interassay variation of 5.2%.

Of the 608 collected samples, only eight were either missing or contained too little saliva to be able to reliably derive cortisol concentrations from them.

The heavyweight crew classified on both days for the finals (consequently rowing four races in 2 days), whereas the lightweight crew won Saturday’s finals (against the other freshmen’s eights), though lost Sunday morning’s preliminary to a Dutch national crew. Consequently, the lightweight crew only rowed three races this weekend; however, this provided an excellent post hoc (Sunday afternoon) baseline for reference, as they all remained at the regatta course and collected saliva samples at the appropriate times (as if they would have competed in the finals, see figure 1B).

**RESULTS**

CARs per day are presented in figure 2, Supplementary file 2. The CAR data of one heavyweight rower were excluded in the analyses because he had significantly lower morning cortisol values than all other participants (<3 SD from overall average). Overall CAR values changed significantly over the complete 6-day period (F(5,9)=4.85, p=0.02). However, post hoc contrasts found no significant differences from day-to-day: While the heavyweight crew showed an overall decreasing trend in morning cortisol concentrations over the days, the lightweight crew seemed to peak with a higher CAR on Sunday morning as compared with the heavyweights as well, being reflected in a trend towards a significant difference in CAR (t(11.3)=−1.93, p=0.08). Overall CAR development seemed to be best captured in quadratic terms (F(1,14)=13.12, p=0.003) suggesting cortisol morning values to peak during, or shortly after, the regatta weekend.

Figure 3A, B show the preliminary and final results for the races on Saturday morning and afternoon, respectively. Over both the preliminaries (F(4,15)=11.11, p<0.0005) and finals (F(4, 15)=55.65, p<0.0005) cortisol concentrations increased significantly. Furthermore, comparing the cortisol levels of the Saturday morning and afternoon races showed a significant higher overall cortisol level in the morning race for both crews (p=0.04, two-tailed test), supplementary figure 3.
Cortisol data of Sunday (competition day 2) is presented in figure 1A (heavyweights) and figure 1B (lightweights): two races of the heavyweights, only preliminaries for the lightweights, with normal (non-racing) afternoon values. Again, a significant increase was found in cortisol concentrations around the preliminaries (F(4,15)=24.84, p<0.0005). In addition, due to the fact that the crew did not qualify for the finals on Sunday, we were able to quantify the race effect in corticosteroid production.

The prerace cortisol samples of the lightweight crew did not differ significantly between the Saturday and Sunday afternoon nor did it between the first (lightweight prerace) samples of Sunday morning and non-race samples of Sunday afternoon (all p’s>0.1). However, the difference between the last postrace concentrations after Saturday finals and the non-race samples of Sunday afternoon (the latter five data points showed no significant change over time (as to be expected, see figure 1B)) were highly significant (p =<0.0005). Compared with the heavyweights, on Sunday afternoon, the differences between both the first (prerace) cortisol values (p<.005) and the last postrace values (p<0.05) were all significant, with the heavyweights having the higher cortisol concentrations (see figure 1A, B).

Finally, the postrace Sunday afternoon differences between both crews seem to make utter sense from the obvious discrepancy in the physical workload provided by both crews (racing vs totally idle).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we assessed the salivary cortisol responses to competition and postcompetition recuperation in well-trained rowers. First, we found that 2 days after the regatta competition, early morning cortisol levels were recovered to precompetition levels. This finding seems to be in accordance with Pearson and colleagues, who also reported higher overall cortisol levels during race days compared with non-rowing days. Potential
Although urine do not need highly trained assessment. Salivary cortisol has some. In this design, no significant changes in cortisol levels measured after the afternoon race. This is in higher cortisol levels in morning race compared with were found or reported. Cortis...hormone release. petition testing to minimise the circadian variations in than 2 hours between the precompetition and postcompetition compared with precompetition. There was less focusing on a kumdo (kendo) team competition. A study investigated this research question in rowing. A study conducted in a group of male athletes (n=19) only compare these results with findings in other sports, because to our knowledge no other study has reaching lowest levels in the evening.\textsuperscript{16 27–29} Given the non-significant difference in the lightweight crew between the cortisol concentrations before the finals on Saturday, the early morning prerace sample on Sunday and the samples on Sunday afternoon (in inactivity), we must assume that circadian effects had at best only a mild effect on cortisol concentrations in this small sample. Consequently, the convincing differences with the racing crew on Sunday afternoon (the heavyweight), already in their prerace cortisol values, can only by explained by either physiological differences between both crews (like potentially the dietary consequences of weight restrictions), or, more likely, mental issues in preparation of the upcoming event.

Finally, comparing the ‘normal’ cortisol concentrations of the idle lightweight crew on Sunday afternoon with the race values of both crews indicates that at least some proportion of the increase in race-related corticosteroid production is likely to be caused by mental factors. However, the physical demands of a race overshadow this increase impressively: based on our data in a proportion of approximately 1:5.

The present study is to our knowledge the first to assess cortisol concentrations in a highly standardised procedure, both over six consecutive mornings, and previous to, during and immediate after seven rowing races. This was possible as in our study we used multiple saliva samples to assess cortisol concentrations on a relatively high frequency, whereas most previous studies used venous blood samples when measuring cortisol levels in rowers.\textsuperscript{20–23} Salivary cortisol has some considerable advantages compared with blood sampling and therefore, salivary diagnosis is an increasingly important approach in sports medicine.\textsuperscript{29} Salivary measurements avoid the stress caused by venipuncture,\textsuperscript{28 29} do not need highly trained assessment professionals, while the validity seems accurate: salivary steroids show equilibrium with blood concentrations and may provide a better measure than serum cortisol of the stress response, as it more accurately measures the amount of unbound cortisol compared with serum measures.\textsuperscript{29} However, some limitations have to be taken into account. Most primarily, despite the sophisticated procedure, the small sample size, including two quite different teams (in terms of physical fitness and mental status), should make us interpret the results with caution. Not least because the interindividual variability in cortisol production is considerable.

Second, comparing cortisol responses directly with other markers of physical strain during the races (eg, ECG derivatives or metabolic indicators) might be relevant for further research. And finally, although the agreement with previous research showing that cortisol exhibits a marked circadian rhythm, characterised by a rapid increase in levels on awakening peaking at around 30 min postawakening and declining thereafter reaching lower cortisol levels in the evening.\textsuperscript{16 27–29}
trend in early morning cortisol concentrations does suggest that recuperation is well under way 2 days after the competition, given the present data we can of course not be 100% sure. Future research might want to include more days after competition to ensure a complete overview of the recuperation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis.
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